## Subject: Defining Integer Field in a Structure Posted by sailfalmouth on Thu, 01 Nov 2001 21:07:58 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Is there a way to define a field within a structure whose type is integer? In my case, I want the field to be any integer from 0 to 4. I know you can assign an actual integer to the field, but I don't want to do this because I want the field value to change within certain procedures and to return that value to the procedures calling it. I would appreciate any help you might be able to offer.

Thanks,

Brian

Subject: Re: Defining Integer Field in a Structure Posted by David Fanning on Wed, 07 Nov 2001 01:30:35 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Harvey Rarback (rarback@slac.stanford.edu) writes:

- >> If you wish to create a stucture definition without
- >> actually creating an instance of a structure, you
- >> put the structure definition in a file, like this:

>>

- >> PRO newstruct\_\_define.pro
- >> struct = {NEWSTRUCT, a:0, b:0.0, c:fltarr(10)}
- >> END

>

- > This is something that has always confused me. It seems like the variable
- > "struct" is created (at least help will tell you that it exists). So, does
- > IDL
- > "actually create an instance of a structure" with this mechanism or not?

Well, of course, I was speaking metaphorically. :-)

Certainly an instance of this structure gets created in this routine, but its existence is fleeting. In fact, it exists only as long as it takes to establish the structure definition in IDL. Once that done, the struct variable goes out of scope and the memory that was allocated for its definition can be reused by the real structure you are trying to create. Think of it as smoke and mirrors.

Does that clear up the confusion?

Cheers,

## David

```
P.S. Harvey, it is good to hear from you again. I was afraid you had found something more productive than IDL to do. :-)
```

David W. Fanning, Ph.D. Fanning Software Consulting

Phone: 970-221-0438, E-mail: david@dfanning.com

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155

Subject: Re: Defining Integer Field in a Structure Posted by Harvey Rarback on Wed, 07 Nov 2001 02:09:33 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
"David Fanning" <david@dfanning.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.16523ca91d6c3e4a989757@news.frii.com...
> Harvey Rarback (rarback@slac.stanford.edu) writes:
>>> If you wish to create a stucture definition without
>>> actually creating an instance of a structure, you
>>> put the structure definition in a file, like this:
>>>
       PRO newstruct define.pro
        struct = {NEWSTRUCT, a:0, b:0.0, c:fltarr(10)}
>>>
       END
>>>
>> This is something that has always confused me. It seems like the
variable
>> "struct" is created (at least help will tell you that it exists). So,
does IDL
>> "actually create an instance of a structure" with this mechanism or not?
> Well, of course, I was speaking metaphorically. :-)
>
> Certainly an instance of this structure gets created
> in this routine, but its existence is fleeting. In
> fact, it exists only as long as it takes to establish
> the structure definition in IDL. Once that done, the
> struct variable goes out of scope and the memory that
> was allocated for its definition can be reused by
> the real structure you are trying to create. Think
> of it as smoke and mirrors.
```

> Does that clear up the confusion?

Yes. It's what I thought was happening. What bothered me was the overhead in creating the temporary structure.

--Harvey

Subject: Re: Defining Integer Field in a Structure Posted by Mark Hadfield on Wed, 07 Nov 2001 03:07:42 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

From: "Harvey Rarback" <rarback@slac.stanford.edu>

>>> PRO newstruct define.pro

>>> struct = {NEWSTRUCT, a:0, b:0.0, c:fltarr(10)}

>>>> END

- >> Certainly an instance of this structure gets created
- >> in this routine, but its existence is fleeting. ...
- > Yes. It's what I thought was happening. What bothered me
- > was the overhead in creating the temporary structure.

First, the overhead is very small unless there are large amounts of data in the structure. (And it's generally bad practice to include large amounts of data in a named structure, because this is inflexible, so one generally uses pointers instead.)

Second, the NEWSTRUCT\_\_DEFINE procedure is normally run only once, when IDL is asked to create a named structure and doesn't have a definition for it.

Of course, you can run it yourself as often as you like but this doesn't achieve a great deal...

---

Mark Hadfield m.hadfield@niwa.cri.nz http://katipo.niwa.cri.nz/~hadfield National Institute for Water and Atmospheric Research

--

Posted from clam.niwa.cri.nz [202.36.29.1] via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG