Subject: Re: array chicanery

Posted by David Fanning on Fri, 16 Nov 2001 00:20:21 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Rochelle Hatton (nrh@imag.wsahs.nsw.gov.au) writes:

- > I've experienced some wierd business with arrays, and I'm hoping somebody can explain.
- > I have been using the IDL function PCOMP, calculating the pricipal components of some data.
- > If I feed in a float array to the function,
- > eg.
- > result=PCOMP(data,coefficients=eigenvectors,eigenvalues=eigenvalues,/covariance,/standardize)

>

- > I get a result, but when I redisplay the data array, it has changed. Since PCOMP only returns
- > a result, what is it doing to my original array, and why? There are no common variables, as far
- > as I know, so the original data should not change. I apologise in advance if this is blindingly
- > obvious, and of course, I can just make a copy of the original data into another variable to use
- > later, I am just curious as to what is going on.
- > Any thoughts?

Lousy programming. On someone's part other than yours. :-)

Try this:

IDL> result=PCOMP(data+0,coefficients=eigenvectors,\$
 eigenvalues=eigenvalues,/covariance,/standardize)
IDL> Help, data

Does that help?

Cheers.

David

--

David W. Fanning, Ph.D. Fanning Software Consulting

Phone: 970-221-0438, E-mail: david@dfanning.com

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155

Subject: Re: array chicanery

Posted by nrh on Fri, 16 Nov 2001 00:28:46 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

That works. Thankyou. I think I know what happens now.

> Lousy programming. On someone's part other than yours. :-)

```
> Try this:
 IDL> result=PCOMP(data+0,coefficients=eigenvectors,$
      eigenvalues=eigenvalues,/covariance,/standardize)
 IDL> Help, data
  Does that help?
> Cheers,
>
> David
```

"For every complex problem, there is a solution that is simple, neat, and wrong."-H. L Mencken (1880 - 1956)

Rochelle Hatton Department of Nuclear Medicine and Ultrasound Westmead Hospital

Subject: Re: array chicanery Posted by Mark Hadfield on Fri, 16 Nov 2001 00:35:47 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

From: "Rochelle Hatton" <nrh@imag.wsahs.nsw.gov.au>

- > I've experienced some weird business with arrays, and I'm
- > hoping somebody can explain.

Sure can!

- > I have been using the IDL function PCOMP, calculating the principal
- > components of some data. If I feed in a float array to the function,
- > result=PCOMP(data, coefficients=eigenvectors, \$
- eigenvalues=eigenvalues, /covariance, /standardize) >

- I get a result, but when I redisplay the data array, it has changed.
- > Since PCOMP only returns a result, what is it doing to my original
- > array, and why?

PCOMP doesn't "only return a result".

Looking at the source code for PCOMP and searching for "Array" (the name of the first argument) one sees...

;Standardize the columns of the input array with a mean of 0.0

;and a variance of 1.0
if KEYWORD_SET(Standardize) ne 0 then \$
Array = STANDARDVAR(Array, Dimension, Double = Double)

So "Array" is modified and if this corresponds to an argument passed by reference then the original will be modified. In your case you have passed it an array ("data") so it is fair game. For more info on this issue see "Procedures and Functions/Parameter Passing Mechanisms" in "Building IDL Applications". This is a common source of confusion, usually in the opposite sense: people pass array segments and structure tags to routines and are surprised when they *aren't* modified.

The easiest way of protecting your original array is to pass PCOMP a copy of the data. This should work

result=PCOMP(reform(data),...)

BTW can anyone suggest a better general purpose function for this purpose?

I will refrain from commenting on whether PCOMP's behaviour represents good programming practice... But I do think they could have warned you.

Mark Hadfield m.hadfield@niwa.cri.nz http://katipo.niwa.cri.nz/~hadfield National Institute for Water and Atmospheric Research

--

Posted from clam.niwa.cri.nz [202.36.29.1] via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

Subject: Re: array chicanery
Posted by nrh on Fri, 16 Nov 2001 01:29:51 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Mark Hadfield wrote:

>

- > From: "Rochelle Hatton" <nrh@imag.wsahs.nsw.gov.au>
- >> I've experienced some weird business with arrays, and I'm
- >> hoping somebody can explain.

>

> Sure can!

>

>> I have been using the IDL function PCOMP, calculating the principal

```
>> components of some data. If I feed in a float array to the function,
>> result=PCOMP(data, coefficients=eigenvectors, $
      eigenvalues=eigenvalues, /covariance, /standardize)
>>
>> I get a result, but when I redisplay the data array, it has changed.
>> Since PCOMP only returns a result, what is it doing to my original
>> array, and why?
> PCOMP doesn't "only return a result".
Thanks - and yes I have had my doubts about PCOMP. I have used SVDC with
much more success, although I am even a bit sceptical about that, seeing it
uses code from Numerical Recipes...
> Looking at the source code for PCOMP and searching for "Array" (the name of
> the first argument) one sees...
>
   ;Standardize the columns of the input array with a mean of 0.0
   and a variance of 1.0
>
   if KEYWORD SET(Standardize) ne 0 then $
     Array = STANDARDVAR(Array, Dimension, Double = Double)
>
>
 So "Array" is modified and if this corresponds to an argument passed by
> reference then the original will be modified. In your case you have passed
> it an array ("data") so it is fair game. For more info on this issue see
> "Procedures and Functions/Parameter Passing Mechanisms" in "Building IDL
> Applications". This is a common source of confusion, usually in the opposite
> sense: people pass array segments and structure tags to routines and are
> surprised when they *aren't* modified.
>
  The easiest way of protecting your original array is to pass PCOMP a copy of
> the data. This should work
>
     result=PCOMP(reform(data),...)
>
  BTW can anyone suggest a better general purpose function for this purpose?
> I will refrain from commenting on whether PCOMP's behaviour represents good
  programming practice... But I do think they could have warned you.
> ---
> Mark Hadfield
> m.hadfield@niwa.cri.nz http://katipo.niwa.cri.nz/~hadfield
> National Institute for Water and Atmospheric Research
"For every complex problem, there is a solution that is simple, neat, and wrong."-H. L Mencken
(1880 - 1956)
```

Rochelle Hatton Department of Nuclear Medicine and Ultrasound Westmead Hospital ph(02) 9845 7223

Subject: Re: array chicanery

Posted by Mark Hadfield on Fri, 16 Nov 2001 01:49:03 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

From: "Rochelle Hatton" <nrh@imag.wsahs.nsw.gov.au>

- > Thanks and yes I have had my doubts about PCOMP. I have used SVDC with
- > much more success, although I am even a bit sceptical about that, seeing

it

> uses code from Numerical Recipes...

I think you should be sceptical about *all* mathematically oriented routines supplied with IDL.

Mark Hadfield m.hadfield@niwa.cri.nz http://katipo.niwa.cri.nz/~hadfield National Institute for Water and Atmospheric Research

--

Posted from clam.niwa.cri.nz [202.36.29.1] via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

Subject: Re: array chicanery

Posted by David Fanning on Fri, 16 Nov 2001 02:33:22 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Mark Hadfield (m.hadfield@niwa.cri.nz) writes:

- > I think you should be sceptical about *all* mathematically oriented routines
- > supplied with IDL.

And half the graphical ones. :-)

Cheers.

David

--

David W. Fanning, Ph.D. Fanning Software Consulting

Phone: 970-221-0438, E-mail: david@dfanning.com

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155