
Subject: Re: Research Systems Platform Support Update

Posted by [gurman](#) on Mon, 03 Dec 2001 20:42:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <3C0BB8FD.CF2A511F@rsinc.com>, Matthew Powell
<mpowell@rsinc.com> wrote:

- > In response to customer requests for continued support of Mac OS X, IBM
- > AIX and Compaq Tru64 Unix for Alpha platforms, Research Systems Inc.
- > (RSI) announced today that it will reverse an earlier decision to drop
- > support for these three platforms in future releases of IDL and ENVI.

[snip]

Hear, hear.

I think that's one massive (if conditional) sigh of relief I hear.

Joe Gurman

Subject: Re: Research Systems Platform Support Update

Posted by [Paul Woodford](#) on Tue, 04 Dec 2001 03:58:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <3C0BB8FD.CF2A511F@rsinc.com>,
Matthew Powell <mpowell@rsinc.com> wrote:

- > For the Macintosh OS X platform, RSI will be providing a native release
- > benefit of OS X's Unix core while providing a Motif interface similar to
- > that provided on other Unix platforms.

[...]

- > For IDL customers, this solution provides a fully native version of IDL
- > to the Macintosh user [...]

Well, I wouldn't quite call it fully native. The original plan was for a fully native version; this version will be a bit of a hack. I'm happy to see AltiVec optimization made the cut. I do plan to check it out. A word of warning for RSI tech support, though - I have not been persistent in reporting bugs and user interface ugliness in the Linux version because I have been developing on the Mac. The Mac interface has been much nicer than the Linux interface, so I simply minimized my exposure to the Linux interface. If I do start using the OS X version, and if it does inherit to interface bugs and ugliness of the Linux version, I'll be complaining.

Who knows, maybe I will check to see if the OS X version of emacs is anything other than ugly...

Paul

Subject: Re: Research Systems Platform Support Update
Posted by [Knaepen Bernard](#) on Tue, 04 Dec 2001 04:08:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

What about license costs of Xtools? Will that be covered by the IDL license?
If no, will it be possible to use XDarwin instead of Xtools?

Bernard.

Subject: Re: Research Systems Platform Support Update
Posted by [Richard French](#) on Tue, 04 Dec 2001 05:32:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Matthew Powell wrote:

>
> In response to customer requests for continued support of Mac OS X, IBM
> AIX and Compaq Tru64 Unix for Alpha platforms, Research Systems Inc.
> (RSI) announced today that it will reverse an earlier decision to drop
> support for these three platforms in future releases of IDL and ENVI.
>

Power to the people! This is a big relief! Now, we just need to make sure that RSI gets the word that these platforms really are being used! Fill in those questionnaires, Alpha users!

Dick French

Subject: Re: Research Systems Platform Support Update
Posted by [Struan Gray](#) on Tue, 04 Dec 2001 11:35:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Matthew Powell, mpowell@rsinc.com writes:

> In response to customer requests for continued
> support of Mac OS X, IBM AIX and Compaq Tru64
> Unix for Alpha platforms, Research Systems Inc.
> (RSI) announced today that it will reverse an
> earlier decision to drop support for these three

> platforms in future releases of IDL and ENVI.

As someone who complained publically about the initial decision, I hereby issue a public "whew, thanks." Of course, the proof of the pudding is in the eating, but this is enough of a taster for me to continue maintenance for a little while yet.

I shouldn't really be surprised, but does anyone else feel their intelligence being insulted by these two statements:

- > For the Macintosh OS X platform,
- > ...
- > This essentially allows RSI to support Mac OS X as
- > another Unix platform, thus reducing the costs of
- > development and support.
- > ...
- > there will be a corresponding modest increase in
- > maintenance and new license prices for the Macintosh
- > platform in order to cover the associated development
- > and support costs.

What they mean of course, is that since MacOS X is really Unix, Mac licences are really Unix licences, and Unix licences cost more. Shall we have a sweepstake on the value of 'modest'?

Struan

Subject: Re: Research Systems Platform Support Update
Posted by [Stein Vidar Hagfors H\[1\]](#) on Tue, 04 Dec 2001 18:46:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Matthew Powell <mpowell@rsinc.com> writes:

[...]

- > Research Systems is committed to supporting our customers with their
- > varied platform requirements while maintaining financially sound
- > business practices. Our goal is to communicate all pertinent information
- > to our customers in a timely fashion in order to allow them ample time
- > to make well informed platform decisions. Accordingly, we would like to
- > reassure our customers on other currently supported platforms that we
- > have no plans to discontinue any of them at this time. We ask customers
- > for assistance in planning for the future by informing their sales
- > representatives about their future platform purchase plans so that we
- > can better support our customer base. We also ask that our customers
- > take a moment to complete and return a brief survey that will be

- > e-mailed to them shortly regarding their current platform usage and
- > future purchase plans.

If RSI had only done this *before* making their previous decision, we'd all have slept better... I really wonder what will happen, given recent experiences, if a viable, free, open-source alternative should emerge..

--

Stein Vidar Hagfors Haugan
ESA SOHO SOC/European Space Agency Science Operations Coordinator for SOHO

NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Email: shaugan@esa.nascom.nasa.gov
Mail Code 682.3, Bld. 26, Room G-1, Tel.: 1-301-286-9028/240-354-6066
Greenbelt, Maryland 20771, USA. Fax: 1-301-286-0264
