

---

Subject: Re: Turning off math error checking for a code block  
Posted by [Liam E. Gumley](#) on Thu, 17 Jan 2002 17:26:36 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Kenneth Bowman wrote:

```
>
> I have an array x that is likely to have missing values in it, indicated by NaN's.
> I would like to search the array for values less than x_min. Because of the NaN's,
> WHERE generates a floating point error, e.g.,
>
> IDL> print, x
>    0.00000    NaN    2.00000    3.00000
> IDL> print, where(x lt 2.0)
>    0
> % Program caused arithmetic error: Floating illegal operand
>
> As best I understand the interaction between !EXCEPT and CHECK_MATH,
> in order to suppress this error message, while still checking errors elsewhere
> in the code, I must do the following:
>
> error      = CHECK_MATH(/PRINT)      ;If any errors have occurred, print
> save_except = !EXCEPT              ;Save current exception flag
> !EXCEPT   = 0                      ;Set exception flag to 0
> i          = WHERE(x LT x_min, ni)    ;Find all x < x_min
> error      = CHECK_MATH()            ;Clear accumulated error status
> !EXCEPT   = save_except            ;Restore exception flag
>
> Am I making this harder than it needs to be?
```

The FINITE function returns 1 where the argument is finite, and 0 where the argument is infinite \*or\* NaN (see p. 134 of my book). Try the following:

```
x_min = 2.0
index = where(finite(x) eq 1, count)
if (count gt 0) then print, where(x[index] lt x_min)
```

Cheers,  
Liam.  
Practical IDL Programming  
<http://www.gumley.com/>

---

---

Subject: Re: Turning off math error checking for a code block  
Posted by [Paul van Delst](#) on Thu, 17 Jan 2002 17:30:57 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Kenneth Bowman wrote:

```
>
> I have an array x that is likely to have missing values in it, indicated by NaN's. I would like to
search the array for values less than x_min. Because of the NaN's, WHERE generates a floating
point error, e.g.,
>
> IDL> print, x
>    0.00000      NaN    2.00000    3.00000
> IDL> print, where(x lt 2.0)
>      0
> % Program caused arithmetic error: Floating illegal operand
```

Flag the NaN's and Inf's with the result of the FINITE statement. Then do a WHERE on the result.

paulv

```
--
Paul van Delst      Religious and cultural
CIMSS @ NOAA/NCEP    purity is a fundamentalist
Ph: (301)763-8000 x7274  fantasy
Fax:(301)763-8545      V.S.Naipaul
```

---

---

Subject: Re: Turning off math error checking for a code block  
Posted by [Vapuser](#) on Thu, 17 Jan 2002 19:30:17 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

k-bowman@null.com (Kenneth Bowman) writes:

```
> I have an array x that is likely to have missing values in it, indicated by NaN's. I would like to
search the array for values less than x_min. Because of the NaN's, WHERE generates a floating
point error, e.g.,
>
> IDL> print, x
>    0.00000      NaN    2.00000    3.00000
> IDL> print, where(x lt 2.0)
>      0
> % Program caused arithmetic error: Floating illegal operand
>
```

Hmmmm..... I don't get this result.

IDL Version 5.3 (IRIX mipseb). (c) 1999, Research Systems, Inc.  
Installation number: 12619.  
Licensed for use by: Jet Propulsion Lab

```
IDL> y=[0,!values.f_nan,2,0.]
IDL> print,where( y LT 2,nx),nx
      0      3
      2
% Program caused arithmetic error: Floating illegal operand
IDL> !except=0
IDL> print,where( y LT 2,nx),nx
      0      3
      2
IDL> exit
```

The help says that for !except=1 (the default) it only reports the exception upon arriving at back at an interactive prompt. On my SGI, it still does the 'where' and returns the correct answer, it just complains.

```
>
> As best I understand the interaction between !EXCEPT and CHECK_MATH,
> in order to suppress this error message, while still checking errors
> elsewhere in the code, I must do the following:
>
> error      = CHECK_MATH(/PRINT)      ;If any errors have occurred, print
> save_except = !EXCEPT              ;Save current exception flag
> !EXCEPT   = 0                      ;Set exception flag to 0
> i          = WHERE(x LT x_min, ni)    ;Find all x < x_min
> error      = CHECK_MATH()            ;Clear accumulated error status
> !EXCEPT   = save_except            ;Restore exception flag
>
> Am I making this harder than it needs to be?
>
```

You could use FINITE. But why bother? Your method saves you one iteration over the array.

whd

--

William Daffer: 818-354-0161: William.Daffer@jpl.nasa.gov

Subject: Re: Turning off math error checking for a code block  
 Posted by [k-bowman](#) on Thu, 17 Jan 2002 19:48:31 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <3C47094C.1F1879D2@ssec.wisc.edu>, "Liam E. Gumley"  
 <Liam.Gumley@ssec.wisc.edu> wrote:

```
> The FINITE function returns 1 where the argument is finite, and 0 where
```

```
> the argument is infinite *or* NaN (see p. 134 of my book). Try the
> following:
>
> x_min = 2.0
> index = where(finite(x) eq 1, count)
> if (count gt 0) then print, where(x[index] lt x_min)
```

I am aware of that. These are relatively large vectors ( $10^5$  to  $10^6$  elements), however, and this operation is repeated many times, so I am trying to avoid extracting the finite values (or creating an array index to them). This is my "innermost loop", and efficiency is important. I know there are NaN's. I prefer to simply turn off the error messages.

Perhaps a /NAN keyword on the WHERE function would be useful? (as in, for example, TOTAL)

Ken

P.S. For symmetry, wouldn't it be nice to have an INFINITE function?

---

Subject: Re: Turning off math error checking for a code block  
Posted by [Paul van Delst](#) on Thu, 17 Jan 2002 20:23:54 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Kenneth Bowman wrote:

```
>
> In article <3C47094C.1F1879D2@ssec.wisc.edu>, "Liam E. Gumley"
<Liam.Gumley@ssec.wisc.edu> wrote:
>
>> The FINITE function returns 1 where the argument is finite, and 0 where
>> the argument is infinite *or* NaN (see p. 134 of my book). Try the
>> following:
>>
>> x_min = 2.0
>> index = where(finite(x) eq 1, count)
>> if (count gt 0) then print, where(x[index] lt x_min)
>
> I am aware of that. These are relatively large vectors ( $10^5$  to  $10^6$  elements),
> however, and this operation is repeated many times, so I am trying to avoid
> extracting the finite values (or creating an array index to them). This is my
> "innermost loop", and efficiency is important. I know there are NaN's. I prefer
> to simply turn off the error messages.
```

Hmm. This is straying way off topic...and don't take it the wrong way or anything, but how come you don't prefer to simply prevent the NaNs from occurring in the first place?

(To the NG) Does IDL stop processing compound logical tests before they're completed? What about:

i = WHERE((FINITE(x) EQ 1) AND (x LT x\_min), ni)

Will the second test for any particular index value still get performed if the first one fails?  
I should look this up in me IDL book, I know.....

paulv

>

> P.S. For symmetry, wouldn't it be nice to have an INFINITE function?

And don't forget the complementary NOT\_A\_NAN() function. :o)

--

Paul van Delst            Religious and cultural  
CIMSS @ NOAA/NCEP        purity is a fundamentalist  
Ph: (301)763-8000 x7274    fantasy  
Fax:(301)763-8545         V.S.Naipaul

---

---

Subject: Re: Turning off math error checking for a code block  
Posted by [John-David T. Smith](#) on Thu, 17 Jan 2002 20:30:09 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Paul van Delst wrote:

>

> Kenneth Bowman wrote:

>>

>> In article <3C47094C.1F1879D2@ssec.wisc.edu>, "Liam E. Gumley"  
<Liam.Gumley@ssec.wisc.edu> wrote:

>>

>>> The FINITE function returns 1 where the argument is finite, and 0 where  
>>> the argument is infinite \*or\* NaN (see p. 134 of my book). Try the  
>>> following:

>>>

>>> x\_min = 2.0

>>> index = where(finite(x) eq 1, count)

>>> if (count gt 0) then print, where(x[index] lt x\_min)

>>

>> I am aware of that. These are relatively large vectors (10^5 to 10^6 elements),  
>> however, and this operation is repeated many times, so I am trying to avoid  
>> extracting the finite values (or creating an array index to them). This is my  
>> "innermost loop", and efficiency is important. I know there are NaN's. I prefer  
>> to simply turn off the error messages.

>

> Hmm. This is straying way off topic...and don't take it the wrong way or anything, but how come  
> you don't prefer to simply prevent the NaNs from occurring in the first place?

>

> (To the NG) Does IDL stop processing compound logical tests before they're completed? What  
> about:  
>  
> i = WHERE((FINITE(x) EQ 1) AND (x LT x\_min), ni)  
>  
> Will the second test for any particular index value still get performed if the first one fails?  
> I should look this up in me IDL book, I know.....

No, IDL booleans are not short-circuiting, which is a real pain for cases like:

if n\_elements(a) ne 0 AND a eq 4 then ....

which requires more deeply nested if's to pull off. That said, they \*are\* overloaded to perform array based boolean computations, so it's not clear if a short-circuiting version would even have been possible. The only solution might have been to keep the array and scalar boolean operators separate.

JD

---

Subject: Re: Turning off math error checking for a code block  
Posted by [Paul van Delst](#) on Thu, 17 Jan 2002 20:54:31 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Vapuser wrote:

>  
> k-bowman@null.com (Kenneth Bowman) writes:  
>  
>> I have an array x that is likely to have missing values in it, indicated by NaN's. I would like to search the array for values less than x\_min. Because of the NaN's, WHERE generates a floating point error, e.g.,  
>>  
>> IDL> print, x  
>> 0.00000 NaN 2.00000 3.00000  
>> IDL> print, where(x lt 2.0)  
>> 0  
>> % Program caused arithmetic error: Floating illegal operand  
>>  
>  
> Hmmmm..... I don't get this result.

Sure you do - you just have a 0 instead of a 3 as the 4th element of y:

> IDL> y=[0,!values.f\_nan,2,0.]

The where result of the original is one index - the 0th one:

```
IDL> x=[0,!values.f_nan,2,3.]
IDL> print,where( x LT 2,nx),nx
      0
      1
```

% Program caused arithmetic error: Floating illegal operand

paulv

p.s. After trying the simple example above, it sure is annoying to get that error message. :o\

--

Paul van Delst            Religious and cultural  
CIMSS @ NOAA/NCEP        purity is a fundamentalist  
Ph: (301)763-8000 x7274    fantasy  
Fax:(301)763-8545         V.S.Naipaul

---

---

Subject: Re: Turning off math error checking for a code block  
Posted by [Pavel A. Romashkin](#) on Thu, 17 Jan 2002 21:36:08 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

You've got a lot of input. Now you know how to avoid the situation causing the message. In case you just want to not see the \*message\* and couldn't care less about floating errors \*in this case\*, how about trying

!Except = 0

Pavel

Kenneth Bowman wrote:

>

> As best I understand the interaction between !EXCEPT and CHECK\_MATH, in order to suppress this error message, while still checking errors elsewhere in the code, I must do the following:

>

---

---

Subject: Re: Turning off math error checking for a code block  
Posted by [Vapuser](#) on Thu, 17 Jan 2002 21:55:35 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Paul van Delst <paul.vandelst@noaa.gov> writes:

> Vapuser wrote:

>>

>> k-bowman@null.com (Kenneth Bowman) writes:

---

```

>>>
>>> I have an array x that is likely to have missing values in it,
>>> indicated by NaN's. I would like to search the array for values
>>> less than x_min. Because of the NaN's, WHERE generates a
>>> floating point error, e.g.,
>>>
>>> IDL> print, x
>>>    0.00000    NaN    2.00000    3.00000
>>> IDL> print, where(x lt 2.0)
>>>      0
>>> % Program caused arithmetic error: Floating illegal operand
>>>
>>
>> Hmmm..... I don't get this result.
>
> Sure you do - you just have a 0 instead of a 3 as the 4th element of y:
>

```

Oooopppsss. My error! I guess I just can't read today. For some reason I thought that Ken's `x[3] == 0` too!

mea culpa.

```

>> IDL> y=[0,!values.f_nan,2,0.]
>
> The where result of the original is one index - the 0th one:
>

```

Yes.

```

> IDL> x=[0,!values.f_nan,2,3.]
> IDL> print,where( x LT 2,nx),nx
>      0
>      1
> % Program caused arithmetic error: Floating illegal operand
>
> paulv
>
> p.s. After trying the simple example above, it sure is annoying to
> get that error message. :o\
>

```

True, but setting `!except=0` makes that go away.

whd  
--



---

Subject: Re: Turning off math error checking for a code block

Posted by [k-bowman](#) on Thu, 17 Jan 2002 22:50:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In article <888zawn5bq.fsf@catspaw.jpl.nasa.gov>, Vapuser <vapuser@catspaw.jpl.nasa.gov> wrote:

- > The help says that for !except=1 (the default) it only reports the
- > exception upon arriving at back at an interactive prompt. On my SGI, it
- > still does the `where' and returns the correct answer, it just
- > complains.

The problem is that changing !EXCEPT does not reset the accumulated error status, so the errors that occur with !EXCEPT = 0 are still present. Hence the need to call CHECK\_MATH (twice).

I want to be able to run my whole code with !EXCEPT = 2 (or 1) but not generate errors for the blocks that I \*know\* will have floating point exceptions in them.

I think my solution is probably the simplest way to do what I want to do.

Thanks everybody, Ken

---

---

Subject: Re: Turning off math error checking for a code block

Posted by [k-bowman](#) on Thu, 17 Jan 2002 22:50:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In article <3C4732DA.E40F6BA6@noaa.gov>, Paul van Delst <paul.vandelst@noaa.gov> wrote:

- > Kenneth Bowman wrote:
- > Hmm. This is straying way off topic...and don't take it the wrong way or anything, but how come
- > you don't prefer to simply prevent the NaNs from occurring in the first place?

I am doing repeated interpolation on a limited domain [x\_min, x\_max]. Each time I need to check to see if any interpolation points have moved outside the domain bounds. If so, I set them to NaN.

There are other ways to solve this, but I prefer using NaNs as "missing data" flags. If I inadvertently use an NaN elsewhere in my code, I want to generate a floating point exception.

Regards, Ken

---

Subject: Re: Turning off math error checking for a code block  
Posted by [Craig Markwardt](#) on Fri, 18 Jan 2002 00:06:22 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

k-bowman@null.com (Kenneth Bowman) writes:

```
> In article <3C47094C.1F1879D2@ssec.wisc.edu>, "Liam E. Gumley"
<Liam.Gumley@ssec.wisc.edu> wrote:
>
>> The FINITE function returns 1 where the argument is finite, and 0 where
>> the argument is infinite *or* NaN (see p. 134 of my book). Try the
>> following:
>>
>> x_min = 2.0
>> index = where(finite(x) eq 1, count)
>> if (count gt 0) then print, where(x[index] lt x_min)
>
> I am aware of that. These are relatively large vectors (10^5 to
> 10^6 elements), however, and this operation is repeated many times,
> so I am trying to avoid extracting the finite values (or creating an
> array index to them). This is my "innermost loop", and efficiency
> is important. I know there are NaN's. I prefer to simply turn off
> the error messages.
```

I have found that an operation on an array which contains NaNs is slowed down considerably. I think it is because each operation causes a floating point exception which is handled in the OS. I use WHERE most of the time when this comes up. Occasionally I get "floating exception" messages, but big whoop.

Craig

--

-----  
Craig B. Markwardt, Ph.D.      EMAIL:    craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu  
Astrophysics, IDL, Finance, Derivatives | Remove "net" for better response  
-----

---

---

Subject: Re: Turning off math error checking for a code block  
Posted by [Paul van Delst](#) on Fri, 18 Jan 2002 15:47:32 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Kenneth Bowman wrote:

```
>
> In article <888zawn5bq.fsf@catspaw.jpl.nasa.gov>, Vapuser <vapuser@catspaw.jpl.nasa.gov>
wrote:
>
```

>> The help says that for !except=1 (the default) it only reports the  
>> exception upon arriving at back at an interactive prompt. On my SGI, it  
>> still does the `where' and returns the correct answer, it just  
>> complains.  
>  
> The problem is that changing !EXCEPT does not reset the accumulated error status, so the  
errors that occur with !EXCEPT = 0 are still present. Hence the need to call CHECK\_MATH  
(twice).  
>  
> I want to be able to run my whole code with !EXCEPT = 2 (or 1) but not generate errors for the  
blocks that I \*know\* will have floating point exceptions in them.  
>  
> I think my solution is probably the simplest way to do what I want to do.

Given the (valid) reason the NaN's are in your array (flagging missing values) and that you  
want to \_not\_ accumulate certain well-identified errors, I think you're right.

paulv

--

Paul van Delst            Religious and cultural  
CIMSS @ NOAA/NCEP        purity is a fundamentalist  
Ph: (301)763-8000 x7274    fantasy  
Fax:(301)763-8545         V.S.Naipaul

---

---

Subject: Re: Turning off math error checking for a code block  
Posted by [Martin Downing](#) on Fri, 18 Jan 2002 17:22:37 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

craig wrote:

> I have found that an operation on an array which contains NaNs is  
> slowed down considerably. I think it is because each operation causes  
> a floating point exception which is handled in the OS. I use WHERE  
> most of the time when this comes up. Occassionally I get "floating  
> exception" messages, but big whoop.

To illustrate craigs point:

```
IDL> a = replicate(!values.f_nan,1024,1024)
```

```
IDL> b = replicate(2.0,1024,1024)
```

```
IDL> help, a,b
```

```
A FLOAT = Array[1024, 1024]
```

```
B FLOAT = Array[1024, 1024]
```

```
IDL> t = systime(1) & for i =0,9 do c = total(a * 2) & print, systime(1) - t
```

```
3.1250000
```

```
IDL> t = systime(1) & for i =0,9 do c = total(a * 2) & print, systime(1) - t
```

```
3.1240001
```

```
IDL> a = replicate(2.0,1024,1024)
```

```
IDL> help, a
```

```
A FLOAT = Array[1024, 1024]
```

```
IDL> t = systime(1) & for i =0,9 do c = total(a * 2) & print, systime(1) - t
```

```
0.71099997
```

---

Subject: Re: Turning off math error checking for a code block

Posted by [Vapuser](#) on Fri, 18 Jan 2002 17:45:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

k-bowman@null.com (Kenneth Bowman) writes:

> In article <888zawn5bq.fsf@catspaw.jpl.nasa.gov>, Vapuser <vapuser@catspaw.jpl.nasa.gov>  
> wrote:

>

>> The help says that for !except=1 (the default) it only reports the  
>> exception upon arriving at back at an interactive prompt. On my SGI, it  
>> still does the `where' and returns the correct answer, it just  
>> complains.

>

> The problem is that changing !EXCEPT does not reset the accumulated  
> error status, so the errors that occur with !EXCEPT = 0 are still  
> present. Hence the need to call CHECK\_MATH (twice).

>

Oh, absolutely. I was only addressing the issue of the annoying  
error message itself. !except=0 doesn't turn off the exception, just  
the message. It's somewhat misnamed, I would have called it  
'math\_error\_verbosity' or some such.

> I want to be able to run my whole code with !EXCEPT = 2 (or 1) but  
> not generate errors for the blocks that I \*know\* will have floating  
> point exceptions in them.  
>  
> I think my solution is probably the simplest way to do what I want to do.  
>

I agree completely.

> Thanks everybody, Ken

whd

--

William Daffer: 818-354-0161: [William.Daffer@jpl.nasa.gov](mailto:William.Daffer@jpl.nasa.gov)

---