
Subject: Re: Matrox Framegrabber interface

Posted by [peter.mason](#) on Mon, 11 Feb 2002 21:43:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Gert Van de Wouwer" <Gert.VandeWouwer@NOSPAMua.ac.be> wrote...

> I need to interface a camera via a Matrox framegrabber that comes with a
> c-library to grab images, and I want to use these functions through a dll.
> The documentation specifies that the memory in which the image is grabbed
> must be in non-paged memory. To do this, I see two possibilities:
> 1) allocate memory in IDL, go to my dll, and specify that the grabber should
> use the IDL-allocated memory. But how can I make sure that this memory is
> non-paged?
> 2) go to my dll, use the Matrox lib's memory allocation function, and use
> this memory in IDL. But how can I return this memory in a valid IDL member.
>
> Off course, the easy way is: allocate IDL memory, go to my dll, allocate the
> Matrox memory, grab image, copy image data to the IDL memory, destroy matrox
> mem, return.... But since the acquisition is a time critical step...

Hi Gert,

Are you sure that copying the frame to an IDL variable is a serious overhead compared to what you're doing with it on the IDL side? E.g., If you're displaying the frame or saving it to disk then I'd be surprised if a memory copy was all that noticeable.

But given that it is important for you to reduce run-time...

I haven't done frame-grabber work myself but I think that you should be able to "lock" IDL-allocated memory (prevent it from being paged) by using the win32 function VirtualLock(). This function has two parameters, viz. success = VirtualLock(start_byte_address, number_of_bytes).

Once you're done frame-grabbing you should call VirtualUnlock(start_byte_address, number_of_bytes).

VirtualLock() doesn't let you lock a large block of memory by default.

(The default limit is something pathetic like 120 KBytes.) If the region that you want to lock is too large for its liking - as it probably is here - then (before locking) you'll have to bump up your working-set size using the Win32 function success = SetProcessWorkingSetSize(process_handle, min_size, max_size).

You'll need power-user or administrator privilege to use this function. You can use the win32 function GetCurrentProcess() to get that process handle, and you should use the function success = GetWorkingSetSize(process_handle, min_size_ptr, max_size_ptr) to get

the working-set size before you clobber it.

I expect that you'll be writing wrapper functions in C? This will give you the chance to set things up and wind them down. e.g., Write a setup call that gets the current working-set size (for restoring when you're done), boosts the working set and locks the IDL array that you'll be using, and write a wind-down call that unlocks and restores the working-set size.

Remember to be very careful not to change the locked IDL variable on the IDL side while it is locked. I'll repeat something that Mark Rivers wrote about this sort of thing: never use the variable on the left-hand side of an expression. Doing so will typically make IDL try to reallocate it.

HTH,
Cheers
Peter Mason

Subject: Re: Matrox Framegrabber interface
Posted by [Nigel Wade](#) on Tue, 12 Feb 2002 09:21:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Gert Van de Wouwer wrote:

>
> hi,
>
> I need to interface a camera via a Matrox framegrabber that comes with a
> c-library to grab images, and I want to use these functions through a dll.
> The documentation specifies that the memory in which the image is grabbed
> must be in non-paged memory. To do this, I see two possibilities:
> 1) allocate memory in IDL, go to my dll, and specify that the grabber
> should use the IDL-allocated memory. But how can I make sure that this
> memory is non-paged?
> 2) go to my dll, use the Matrox lib's memory allocation function, and use
> this memory in IDL. But how can I return this memory in a valid IDL
> member.

I think that `IDL_ImportArray()` will be your route here.
This creates an IDL variable from already existing memory. All it requires is a pointer to that memory, the dimensions and data type.

Of course, it's a C function to be used in LINKIMAGE or DLM external code. But you'll have to write some sort of external code to get your data into IDL. Personally, I have never used IDL on the Windows platform, but there

are many in this group who have who can give advice specific to that platform.

If you are new to writing external code, then the IDL External Development Guide is essential reading. Also, Ronn Klings very good book on interfacing IDL to C would be of great help (sorry, I can't remember the actual title off hand).

>
> Off course, the easy way is: allocate IDL memory, go to my dll, allocate
> the Matrox memory, grab image, copy image data to the IDL memory, destroy
> matrox mem, return.... But since the acquisition is a time critical
> step...
>

Is it necessary to allocate the memory for the framegrabber each time?
I'd have thought the most efficient way would be, during initialisation,
to allocate the memory for the framegrabber, and then make an IDL variable
which used this memory. You could then grab each frame into this memory and
it would then be available within IDL in that variable. You'd have to be
very careful within IDL not to lose track of this variable, or to modify
it. This might be an appropriate time to use a common block.

If the IDL variable cannot be made to use the same memory, then it could be
created with a different block. I would expect that copying from one block
to the other to be a very quick operation.

--

Nigel Wade, System Administrator, Space Plasma Physics Group,
University of Leicester, Leicester, LE1 7RH, UK
E-mail : nmw@ion.le.ac.uk
Phone : +44 (0)116 2523568, Fax : +44 (0)116 2523555
