
Subject: Re: Help! Slow object graphics.

Posted by [Rick Towler](#) on Wed, 20 Feb 2002 01:13:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I would first try using the idl profiler procedure (see help). You'll need to figure out where your program is wasting its time. I wish I could tell you more. Although I have run into the "man my program is slow" problem before, I don't have any quick fix answers for you.

Once you narrow your problem down post your findings.

-Rick

"tedcary" <tedcary@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3C72DFDE.E3E10AA@yahoo.com...

> Partly as a learning exercise, and partly based on advice from the
> newsgroup, I went ahead and wrote a 2D object graphics program based on
> XROI. The graphics tree branches out from the XROI graphics tree, which
> is to say the graphics hierarchy in my program shares its basic
> structure with XROI. The drawing functions are essentially borrowed
> from XROI as well.

>
> The problem is that drawing in my program is ridiculously slow, much
> slower than in XROI. During freehand drawing the pixels activated on
> screen lag noticeably behind the cursor position. Simple animations
> where I erase and redraw sequences of filled ~20-vertex polygons are
> also very slow, and take three times as long as in an earlier direct
> graphics version of the same program.

>
> The big difference is that my program is written as an object widget,
> since I envisioned subclassing it into several specialized ROI analysis
> programs. So everything might be buried a little deeper in the heap
> (pointers to pointers), although I notice that the info structure of
> XROI is in a pointer as well... Anyway, can this really account for
> the marked reduction in speed? If not, then I can't figure it out,
> since as far as I can tell my program is using almost exactly the same
> draw functions and graphics hierarchy as the faster XROI. There are no
> PRINT or HELP statements slowing things down by writing to the output
> log. The computer I'm using is not great, but is fairly new--733MHz Mac
> G4, 32Mb NVidia GeForce 2Mx, 256Mb + 895Mb(Virtual) RAM.

>
> I convinced my employer that converting my DG program to OG was worth
> the week it's taken, but I'm not sure he'll be so pleased to see that
> everything is slower than it was before... I thought object graphics
> weren't supposed to be so slow these days, so it must be the way I'm
> programming, although I really just copied XROI for the drawing part of
> the program. I hope it's not the heap thing, because I depend on the

> object widget functionality... Any ideas? Thanks.
>
>
>

Subject: Re: Help! Slow object graphics.

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Wed, 20 Feb 2002 02:42:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ted Cary (tedcary@yahoo.com) writes:

> Partly as a learning exercise, and partly based on advice from the
> newsgroup, I went ahead and wrote a 2D object graphics program based on
> XROI.

Uh, oh. As one who provided advice (although I can't recall suggesting you modify XROI!), I feel obligated to respond. Alternatively, and probably more appropriately, I could pretend my newsgroup server just lost this article. :-)

> The graphics tree branches out from the XROI graphics tree, which
> is to say the graphics hierarchy in my program shares its basic
> structure with XROI. The drawing functions are essentially borrowed
> from XROI as well.

I'm trying to imagine what this means. It sounds ominous, but I guess you just mean whatever graphics model you created is drawing into the viewport established by the XROI program. That is certainly OK.

> The problem is that drawing in my program is ridiculously slow, much
> slower than in XROI. During freehand drawing the pixels activated on
> screen lag noticeably behind the cursor position. Simple animations
> where I erase and redraw sequences of filled ~20-vertex polygons are
> also very slow, and take three times as long as in an earlier direct
> graphics version of the same program.

Something obviously sounds wrong here. Unfortunately, if you take the number of ways you can write bad direct graphics code (say 185,965) and multiply it by 100, you come up with approximately the number of ways you can screw up object graphics code. It is just extremely hard to say what is going wrong without having a peak at the code. And even then it is often pretty much a crapshoot. I know there are some solutions I've coded up five different ways before settling on something that "seemed" to work OK.

> The big difference is that my program is written as an object widget,

- > since I envisioned subclassing it into several specialized ROI analysis
- > programs. So everything might be buried a little deeper in the heap
- > (pointers to pointers), although I notice that the info structure of
- > XROI is in a pointer as well... Anyway, can this really account for
- > the marked reduction in speed?

No. There is pretty much nothing faster than pointers to pointers.
This can't be the problem.

- > If not, then I can't figure it out,

Well, in all sincerity, one week is not NEARLY enough time. :-)

- > The computer I'm using is not great, but is fairly new--733MHz Mac
- > G4, 32Mb NVidia GeForce 2Mx, 256Mb + 895Mb(Virtual) RAM.

Sounds good enough to me. Have you tried this using
the software renderer, by the way? The hardware renderer?
Any difference there?

- > I convinced my employer that converting my DG program to OG was worth
- > the week it's taken, but I'm not sure he'll be so pleased to see that
- > everything is slower than it was before...

Well, bring up the subject of printing and this will look
like pretty small potatoes, I'm sure.

- > I thought object graphics
- > weren't supposed to be so slow these days, so it must be the way I'm
- > programming, although I really just copied XROI for the drawing part of
- > the program.

I personally think you have a programming problem, but (as I say)
I can't offer any specific suggestions. You get a feel for these
things eventually. I guess my most useful advise would be to
keep trying. Don't be afraid to change things.

I'd have a look at object instancing as a way to speed some of
the animation things up. (Thanks to Mark Hadfield, I have a new
version of my ZOOMBOX program up that does this correctly now.
It only took me a year to figure THAT out!)

Good luck with this, and keep us informed.

Cheers,

David

--

David W. Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting
Phone: 970-221-0438, E-mail: david@dfanning.com
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>
Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155

Subject: Re: Help! Slow object graphics.
Posted by [Pavel A. Romashkin](#) on Wed, 20 Feb 2002 04:59:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On an inferior machine (G4 400) I run OG code fast enough not to have to use DG code almost ever (well, except for the pitiful lack of usefulness in IDLgrContour).

I am a little scared of basing my projects on something like RSI's X* series. They remind me of LIVE* series and that infamous Insight thing. I feel if I make it all from scratch I at least know what part can be slow.

I am sure you can find the culprit in your code. Or better yet, try downloading an existing OG program and see how would it work for you. Google search on OG visualisation should turn up a few choices.

Good luck,
Pavel

> Snip...
> I convinced my employer that converting my DG program to OG was worth
> the week it's taken, but I'm not sure he'll be so pleased to see that
> everything is slower than it was before... I thought object graphics
> weren't supposed to be so slow these days, so it must be the way I'm
> programming, although I really just copied XROI for the drawing part of
> the program. I hope it's not the heap thing, because I depend on the
> object widget functionality... Any ideas? Thanks.
>
>
>

Subject: Re: Help! Slow object graphics.
Posted by [Ted Cary](#) on Wed, 20 Feb 2002 15:56:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> Uh, oh. As one who provided advice (although I can't recall
> suggesting you modify XROI!), I feel obligated to respond.
> Alternatively, and probably more appropriately, I could
> pretend my newsgroup server just lost this article. :-)

I should clarify that this program is not a modification of XROI. I just

use XROI as a starting point since I know it can draw ROIs fast enough on my machine, and since this is my first object graphics attempt. So what's borrowed from XROI is the graphics tree and the drawing functions. I'm not drawing to the View established by XROI or anything like that, it's just that I set up my graphics tree up the same way. at least the lower branches. Another difference is that my draw windows handle larger pictures than XROI by using scroll bars-certainly this is not the problem.?

Don't worry, David, as I recall your advice to me was to use direct graphics objects, which was what I was doing in a previous version of the program. I got tired of writing my own DG objects that just mimicked the functionality of the built-in IDLgr objects, and actually the object graphics version of the code has fewer lines than the DG version because I don't have to define so many new things. Also, I just wanted to learn object graphics.

Do object graphics still have those postscript printing problems? I saw that on the old newsgroup postings and was hoping that was something that had been fixed by now, especially considering the number of complaints, and considering how many object graphics converts seem to be around. If this has not been fixed, can't I just plot my data to direct graphics windows and print those? It is possible to combine direct graphics and object graphics in the same session, at least, if not in the same window. as long as colors are protected, right? Or is there some other problem with this?

I've never used Insight but was playing around with the Live_ things. They are a little slow and not very intuitive to use in a program, but they seem like they might be useful as wrappers for plot objects. Is the aversion to them just based on programmers' healthy hatred of anything point-and-click, or are there bigger problems?

Thanks

Subject: Re: Help! Slow object graphics.

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Wed, 20 Feb 2002 17:21:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ted Cary (tedcary@yahoo.com) writes:

> I should clarify that this program is not a modification of XROI. I just
> use XROI as a starting point since I know it can draw ROIs fast enough on my
> machine, and since this is my first object graphics attempt. So what's
> borrowed from XROI is the graphics tree and the drawing functions. I'm not
> drawing to the View established by XROI or anything like that, it's just
> that I set up my graphics tree up the same way. at least the lower branches.
> Another difference is that my draw windows handle larger pictures than XROI
> by using scroll bars-certainly this is not the problem.?

I shouldn't think so.

> Do object graphics still have those postscript printing problems?

We don't like to think of these as "problems". We prefer the term "features". Things have obviously improved a bit, especially for "simple" graphics output (i.e., those without any color filling or textures) which can be "vectorized". Everything else still takes a month and a day to get through your printer. Which wouldn't be so bad if the whole world didn't have to stop when you did it. Let's just say well-timed coffee breaks help.

> If this
> has not been fixed, can't I just plot my data to direct graphics windows and
> print those?

No.

> It is possible to combine direct graphics and object graphics
> in the same session, at least, if not in the same window. as long as colors
> are protected, right?

No, object graphics and direct graphics are two completely separate things and can't be combined in any way, shape, or form. You can certainly *use* both in the same IDL session, obviously. But you can't draw direct graphics into an object graphics window, or visa versa. Perhaps you could "plot" your data in a direct graphics window, but why would you want to do that after you had gone to the trouble of doing it in object graphics? Then you have to write everything in *both* systems. Even for someone like me, that seems excessive.

> I've never used Insight but was playing around with the Live_ things. They
> are a little slow and not very intuitive to use in a program, but they seem
> like they might be useful as wrappers for plot objects. Is the aversion to
> them just based on programmers' healthy hatred of anything point-and-click,
> or are there bigger problems?

I think the healthy hatred stems from them not working the way you want them to and wanting to change them, only to find there is no code to do so. Then the healthy paranoia kicks in and you wonder what RSI is hiding in that code they won't show you. :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David W. Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting
Phone: 970-221-0438, E-mail: david@dfanning.com
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>
Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155

Subject: Re: Help! Slow object graphics.
Posted by [Ted Cary](#) on Wed, 20 Feb 2002 18:31:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>
> No, object graphics and direct graphics are two completely
> separate things and can't be combined in any way, shape, or
> form. You can certainly *use* both in the same IDL session,
> obviously. But you can't draw direct graphics into an object
> graphics window, or visa versa. Perhaps you could "plot" your
> data in a direct graphics window, but why would you want to do
> that after you had gone to the trouble of doing it in object
> graphics?

I wouldn't be plotting any data at all in object graphics. I'd use the object graphics XROI-type program to *acquire* the data from ROIs drawn in an image, and then I'd plot the analyses of the data in direct graphics windows--maybe in something downloaded from your website. My question was only if I could *use* both object graphics and direct graphics in the same IDL session without any problems--not in the same window, not drawing the same visuals. I have used them both simultaneously before, drawing DG plots from the command line while OG programs are running, etc, but I do not know if there could be unforeseen problems in the long run while running a program. I am trying to get the best of both worlds without the worlds colliding.

It seems like OG might be good for "graphics" graphics--3d spinning coins and christmas trees with flashing lights and hopefully ROI-drawing utilities--but maybe it is still not so convenient to plot data with it?

Subject: Re: Help! Slow object graphics.
Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Wed, 20 Feb 2002 19:51:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ted Cary (tedcary@yahoo.com) writes:

> I wouldn't be plotting any data at all in object graphics. I'd use the
> object graphics XROI-type program to *acquire* the data from ROIs drawn in

- > an image, and then I'd plot the analyses of the data in direct graphics
- > windows--maybe in something downloaded from your website. My question was
- > only if I could *use* both object graphics and direct graphics in the same
- > IDL session without any problems--not in the same window, not drawing the
- > same visuals. I have used them both simultaneously before, drawing DG plots
- > from the command line while OG programs are running, etc, but I do not know
- > if there could be unforeseen problems in the long run while running a
- > program. I am trying to get the best of both worlds without the worlds
- > colliding.

As long as you are on a 24-bit display and you are reasonably careful about colors (you may have to load your color table before you draw graphics in some circumstances, etc.), there is no problem running both direct graphics and object graphics windows simultaneously. I do it almost transparently all the time.

- > It seems like OG might be good for "graphics" graphics--3d spinning coins
- > and christmas trees with flashing lights and hopefully ROI-drawing
- > utilities--but maybe it is still not so convenient to plot data with it?

Well, I'm pretty sure I didn't say *that*. I think I said I am finding more and more reasons to use object graphics, since often the advantages of doing so outweighs the drawbacks. But you do have to carefully weigh your options for each project. There are some things that simply can't be done *without* object graphics. In those cases, I'm always thrilled to have them. :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David W. Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting

Phone: 970-221-0438, E-mail: david@dfanning.com

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155