Subject: IDL2MATLAB

Posted by the_cacc on Tue, 26 Feb 2002 13:02:19 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

A long shot: is there an IDL to MATLAB source code translator out there?

Subject: Re: IDL2MATLAB

Posted by thompson on Wed, 27 Feb 2002 15:09:05 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Nigel Wade <nmw@ion.le.ac.uk> writes:

> Liam E. Gumley wrote:

>> Ethan wrote:

>>>

>>

>>

- >> A complicating factor is that in IDL, arrays are stored in column-major
- >> order (the same as FORTRAN), while in Matlab, arrays are stored in
- >> row-major order (the same as C).
- > Substitute IDL for MATLAB. In IDL they are the same as in C. I can never
- > remember which is column-major or row-major, but I know that using MATLAB
- > multi-dimension matrices in C mex files is a real pain because of the array
- > indexing difference.

Oh no, not the row-major, column-major controversy again! ;^)

Bill Thompson

Subject: Re: IDL2MATLAB

Posted by David Fanning on Wed, 27 Feb 2002 15:22:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

William Thompson (thompson@orpheus.nascom.nasa.gov) writes:

> Oh no, not the row-major, column-major controversy again! ;^)

I've written up the er... definitive article on the subject, for those newcomers who haven't yet enjoyed the experience. You can find it here:

http://www.dfanning.com/misc_tips/colrow_major.html

Cheers,

David

--

David W. Fanning, Ph.D. Fanning Software Consulting

Phone: 970-221-0438, E-mail: david@dfanning.com

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155

Subject: Re: IDL2MATLAB

Posted by Liam E. Gumley on Wed, 27 Feb 2002 22:43:51 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Nigel Wade wrote:
```

- > Liam E. Gumley wrote:
- >
- >> Ethan wrote:
- >>>
- >>
- >>
- >> A complicating factor is that in IDL, arrays are stored in column-major
- >> order (the same as FORTRAN), while in Matlab, arrays are stored in
- >> row-major order (the same as C).

>

- > Substitute IDL for MATLAB. In IDL they are the same as in C. I can never
- > remember which is column-major or row-major, but I know that using MATLAB
- > multi-dimension matrices in C mex files is a real pain because of the array
- > indexing difference.

I'll stick by my original comments, which referred to *arrays* only (not matrices). In IDL and FORTRAN, an array with dimensions [m cols, n rows] is stored contiguously in memory as

```
(col 1, row 1), (col 2, row 1), (col 3, row 1), ... (col m, row 1), (col 1, row 2), (col 2, row 2), (col 3, row 2), ... (col m, row 2), (col 1, row 3), (col 2, row 3), (col 3, row 3), ... (col m, row 3), ... (col 1, row n), (col 2, row n), (col 3, row n), ... (col m, row n)
```

The term "column-major" is used because the column index varies the fastest when accessing contiguous elements of a multi-dimensional array in memory.

Cheers.

Liam.
Practical IDL Programming http://www.gumley.com/

Subject: Re: IDL2MATLAB

Posted by David Fanning on Wed, 27 Feb 2002 23:05:25 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Liam E. Gumley (Liam.Gumley@ssec.wisc.edu) writes:

- > The term "column-major" is used because the column index varies the
- > fastest when accessing contiguous elements of a multi-dimensional array
- > in memory.

This is also known as "row-order", for those of you who love confusion. :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David W. Fanning, Ph.D. Fanning Software Consulting

Phone: 970-221-0438, E-mail: david@dfanning.com

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155

Subject: Re: IDL2MATLAB

Posted by Mark Hadfield on Thu, 28 Feb 2002 00:35:10 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"David Fanning" <david@dfanning.com> wrote in message news:MPG.16e7141c7c2e3855989825@news.frii.com...

- > Liam E. Gumley (Liam.Gumley@ssec.wisc.edu) writes:
- >> The term "column-major" is used because the column index varies the
- >> fastest when accessing contiguous elements of a multi-dimensional
- >> array in memory.
- >
- > This is also known as "row-order", for those of you who love
- > confusion. :-)

I think the terms "column" and "row" should be banned in reference to

arrays.

Mark Hadfield m.hadfield@niwa.co.nz http://katipo.niwa.co.nz/~hadfield National Institute for Water and Atmospheric Research

Subject: Re: IDL2MATLAB

Posted by James Kuyper on Thu, 28 Feb 2002 16:00:48 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Mark Hadfield wrote:

..

- > I think the terms "column" and "row" should be banned in reference to
- > arrays.

What would you substitute in their place? "first dimension" and "second dimension", while less ambiguous, are a lot clumsier.

Subject: Re: IDL2MATLAB

Posted by David Fanning on Thu, 28 Feb 2002 16:16:26 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

James Kuyper (kuyper@gscmail.gsfc.nasa.gov) writes:

- > What would you substitute in their place? "first dimension" and "second
- > dimension", while less ambiguous, are a lot clumsier.

I would suggest "homer" and "bart", in an attempt to get the younger members of our group more involved.

Cheers.

David

--

David W. Fanning, Ph.D. Fanning Software Consulting

Phone: 970-221-0438, E-mail: david@dfanning.com

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155

Subject: Re: IDL2MATLAB

Posted by gutmann on Thu, 28 Feb 2002 23:07:14 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

David Fanning com wrote in message news:com> message news:com> wrote in message news:com> message news:com> wrote in message news:com> message news:com news:<a hre

I would suggest "homer" and "bart", in an attempt toget the younger members of our group more involved.

:)

Hmmm, would this leave marge, lisa, and maggie for the 3rd,4th,5th dimensions? Even though to the best of my knowledge Homer is the only one who as ever actually been to the third dimension...

Subject: Re: IDL2MATLAB
Posted by Martin Downing on Tue, 05 Mar 2002 15:23:17 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

There was an episode with bart as an old man - so that proves the model can cope with "Transpose"

```
"Ethan" <gutmann@colorado.edu> wrote in message
news:3de2e497.0202281507.36338326@posting.google.com...
> David Fanning <david@dfanning.com> wrote in message
news:<MPG.16e805caaf762e90989828@news.frii.com>...
>
>> I would suggest "homer" and "bart", in an attempt to
>> get the younger members of our group more involved.
>>
> :)
> Hmmm, would this leave marge, lisa, and maggie for the 3rd,4th,5th
> dimensions? Even though to the best of my knowledge Homer is the only
```

> one who as ever actually been to the third dimension...