
Subject: Re: Determining true resolution of an image?
Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Tue, 05 Mar 2002 19:44:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

William Connolley (wmc@bas.ac.uk) writes:

- > I'd like a procedure to take a digital image (a photo) and, by in some
- > way reducing the image and comparing the "information" left, to determine
- > the "true" resolution. What I have in mind is to scan in an analogue photo
- > at very high res, and to try to determine what res needs to be retained.

What does "information" mean in this context?

Cheers,

David

--
David W. Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting
Phone: 970-221-0438, E-mail: david@dfanning.com
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>
Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155

Subject: Re: Determining true resolution of an image?
Posted by [Martin Downing](#) on Tue, 05 Mar 2002 23:06:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"David Fanning" <david@dfanning.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.16eecd16f798ad3989831@news.frii.com...

- > William Connolley (wmc@bas.ac.uk) writes:
- >
- >> I'd like a procedure to take a digital image (a photo) and, by in some
- >> way reducing the image and comparing the "information" left, to
- >> determine
- >> the "true" resolution. What I have in mind is to scan in an analogue
- >> photo
- >> at very high res, and to try to determine what res needs to be retained.
- >
- > What does "information" mean in this context?
- >

I was looking into something like this a while back, where I would interpret "information" as representation of the theoretical object input image signal. If you measure the FT of the output, you can look for the maximum frequency at which there is still significant power above the noise level. The resolution of the image could then be set to twice this frequency.

Alternatively, if you just want a "nice" looking image, you can look at lossy methods of reduction such as JPEG compression as well as reducing the resolution, and then use volunteers to decide what level is almost as good as the original.

Martin

Subject: Re: Determining true resolution of an image?
Posted by [wmconnolley](#) on Thu, 07 Mar 2002 13:51:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Martin Downing <martin.downing@ntlworld.com> wrote:
> "David Fanning" <david@dfanning.com> wrote in message
> news:MPG.16eecd16f798ad3989831@news.frii.com...
>> William Connolley (wmc@bas.ac.uk) writes:
>>> I'd like a procedure to take a digital image (a photo) and, by in some
>>> way reducing the image and comparing the "information" left, to
> determine
>>> the "true" resolution. What I have in mind is to scan in an analogue
> photo
>>> at very high res, and to try to determine what res needs to be retained.
>>
>> What does "information" mean in this context?

Well, I'm trying to compare analogue and digital images in a quantitative way. So "information" is the true resolution. Take an analogue image: I can scan it in at increasingly high res, but after some level the amount of info doesn't increase but the noise does.

> I was looking into something like this a while back, where I would interpret
> "information" as representation of the theoretical object input image
> signal. If you measure the FT of the output, you can look for the maximum
> frequency at which there is still significant power above the noise level.
> The resolution of the image could then be set to twice this frequency.

Fourier transform is an interesting idea. I'll look at that, thanks.

-W.

--

William M Connolley | wmc@bas.ac.uk | <http://www.nerc-bas.ac.uk/icd/wmc/>
Climate Modeller, British Antarctic Survey | Disclaimer: I speak for myself
I'm a .signature virus! copy me into your .signature file & help me spread!

Subject: Re: Determining true resolution of an image?
Posted by [chrisduckworth](#) on Fri, 08 Mar 2002 06:28:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 7 Mar 2002 13:51:31 GMT, wmc@bas.ac.uk wrote:

> Martin Downing <martin.downing@ntlworld.com> wrote:
>> "David Fanning" <david@dfanning.com> wrote in message
>> news:MPG.16eecd16f798ad3989831@news.frii.com...
>>> William Connolley (wmc@bas.ac.uk) writes:
>>>> I'd like a procedure to take a digital image (a photo) and, by in some
>>>> way reducing the image and comparing the "information" left, to
>> determine
>>>> the "true" resolution. What I have in mind is to scan in an analogue
>> photo
>>>> at very high res, and to try to determine what res needs to be retained.
>>>
>>> What does "information" mean in this context?
>
> Well, I'm trying to compare analogue and digital images in a quantitative way.
> So "information" is the true resolution. Take an analogue image: I can scan
> it in at increasingly high res, but after some level the amount of info doesn't
> increase but the noise does.

It sounds like you want to do an MTF (modulation transfer function) measurement. This is a somewhat common measurement. If I remember my DSP, you need to scan the analog image at a rate of 2.15 times the analog Nyquist.

But, umm, this is probably the wrong news group for this stuff.

>
>> I was looking into something like this a while back, where I would interpret
>> "information" as representation of the theoretical object input image
>> signal. If you measure the FT of the output, you can look for the maximum
>> frequency at which there is still significant power above the noise level.
>> The resolution of the image could then be set to twice this frequency.
>
> Fourier transform is an interesting idea. I'll look at that, thanks.
>
> -W.
>
> --
> William M Connolley | wmc@bas.ac.uk | <http://www.nerc-bas.ac.uk/icd/wmc/>
> Climate Modeller, British Antarctic Survey | Disclaimer: I speak for myself
> I'm a .signature virus! copy me into your .signature file & help me spread!
>
