
Subject: Double Accuracy

Posted by [michaeltcruz](#) on Mon, 11 Mar 2002 18:46:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I am in the process of writing a program to read in exponential data and plot its relationship to another variable. The trouble I am having is that the values I am reading in are very small and I am losing accuracy when I convert from a STRING to DOUBLE. Below is the procedure I am using with a sample of the input and output. As you can see, the output for this RATIO value is truncated to eight digits which makes the value useless. I am fairly new to PVWAVE so I could be making some fundamental mistakes. Appreciate help anyone can give.

PRO read_scc, file, VTCW, DOY, Year, Time, Ratio

Dummy = STRARR(4)

TempRatio = DOUBLE(1.0)

status = DC_READ_FIXED(file, Dummy, \$
Format = "(7X, A33)", Nskip = 1)

VTCW = float(Dummy(0))

Year = STRMID(Dummy(1), 1, 4)

DOY = fix(STRMID(Dummy(1), 6, 3))

Time = float(STRMID(Dummy(1), 10, 33))

Ratio = Dummy(2)

print, 'BRatio', Ratio

Ratio = STRSUBST(Ratio, 'e', 'd');

print, 'ARatio', Ratio

Ratio = DOUBLE(Ratio)

info, Ratio

END

INPUT FILE

xxx ZZZZZ 02 022 07:29:11

VTCW = 5.447425871924000e+13

UTC = 2002 022 2.583419472210000e+04

RATIO = 9.999198181040011e-07

OUTPUT

;BRatio 9.999198181040011e-07

;ARatio 9.999198181040011d-07

RATIO DOUBLE = 9.9991982e-07

Subject: Re: Double Accuracy
Posted by [David Burridge](#) on Tue, 12 Mar 2002 10:01:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Micheal,

"Michael Cruz" <michaeltcruz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:8e32c554.0203111046.35bd07c0@posting.google.com...
> I am in the process of writing a program to read in exponential data
> and plot its relationship to another variable. The trouble I am
> having is that the values I am reading in are very small and I am
> losing accuracy when I convert from a STRING to DOUBLE. Below is the
> procedure I am using with a sample of the input and output. As you
> can see, the output for this RATIO value is truncated to eight digits
> which makes the value useless. I am fairly new to PVWAVE so I could
> be making some fundamental mistakes. Appreciate help anyone can give.
<snip>

I'm more of an IDL user myself, but could it be the *printing* of the value
that's truncating it, rather than the stored value itself? I notice that
you're using "info" to print your ratio variable - what if you use 'print'
like all the other values in the program?

Hope this is useful,

Dave

David Burridge
Burrige Computing

Subject: Re: Double Accuracy
Posted by [michaeltcruz](#) on Tue, 12 Mar 2002 15:24:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks David but I'm sure that's not the problem. I probably should
have mentioned that I'm plotting several hundred of these values that
differ by less than the seven digits of truncated value and they are
end up being the same value. I was just using the INFO function to
show that the value was indeed getting changed to a DOUBLE.

"David Burridge" <davidb@clogic.f9.co.uk> wrote in message
news:<Pkkj8.6140\$OP.179583@stones>...
> Hi Micheal,
>
> "Michael Cruz" <michaeltcruz@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> news:8e32c554.0203111046.35bd07c0@posting.google.com...
>> I am in the process of writing a program to read in exponential data
>> and plot its relationship to another variable. The trouble I am
>> having is that the values I am reading in are very small and I am
>> losing accuracy when I convert from a STRING to DOUBLE. Below is the
>> procedure I am using with a sample of the input and output. As you
>> can see, the output for this RATIO value is truncated to eight digits
>> which makes the value useless. I am fairly new to PVWAVE so I could
>> be making some fundamental mistakes. Appreciate help anyone can give.
> <snip>
>
> I'm more of an IDL user myself, but could it be the *printing* of the value
> that's truncating it, rather than the stored value itself? I notice that
> you're using "info" to print your ratio variable - what if you use 'print'
> like all the other values in the program?
>
> Hope this is useful,
>
> Dave
>
> David Burrridge
> Burrridge Computing

Subject: Re: Double Accuracy
Posted by [Craig Markwardt](#) on Tue, 12 Mar 2002 15:55:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Michael--

I actually think that David is right. You are dealing with two things here. First of all, the printing precision by default is too low. Instead of using INFO, why not use more precision in your format statement, as in:

```
print, ratio, format='(D0)'
```

Then I think you will see that RATIO is kept to its full double precision.

Now, on to the question of why it's *plotted* wrong. Up until recently IDL only kept its plot variables in single precision floating point. Any double precision values would be truncated down to single precision. Since you are using PVWAVE, I am sure that you are still using the "old" plotting engine of IDL.

The solution for you is to subtract the mean value, or some other fiducial value, from the double precision values before plotting. If

you really need to, you can relabel the axis ticks, but that gets involved.

Craig

michaeltcruz@yahoo.com (Michael Cruz) writes:

```
> Thanks David but I'm sure that's not the problem. I probably should
> have mentioned that I'm plotting several hundred of these values that
> differ by less than the seven digits of truncated value and they are
> end up being the same value. I was just using the INFO function to
> show that the value was indeed getting changed to a DOUBLE.
>
>
>
> "David Burrridge" <davidb@clogic.f9.co.uk> wrote in message
news:<Pkkj8.6140$OP.179583@stones>...
>> Hi Micheal,
>>
>> "Michael Cruz" <michaeltcruz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:8e32c554.0203111046.35bd07c0@posting.google.com...
>>> I am in the process of writing a program to read in exponential data
>>> and plot its relationship to another variable. The trouble I am
>>> having is that the values I am reading in are very small and I am
>>> losing accuracy when I convert from a STRING to DOUBLE. Below is the
>>> procedure I am using with a sample of the input and output. As you
>>> can see, the output for this RATIO value is truncated to eight digits
>>> which makes the value useless. I am fairly new to PVWAVE so I could
>>> be making some fundamental mistakes. Appreciate help anyone can give.
>> <snip>
>>
>> I'm more of an IDL user myself, but could it be the *printing* of the value
>> that's truncating it, rather than the stored value itself? I notice that
>> you're using "info" to print your ratio variable - what if you use 'print'
>> like all the other values in the program?
>>
>> Hope this is useful,
>>
>> Dave
>>
>> David Burrridge
>> Burrridge Computing
```

--

Subject: Re: Double Accuracy
Posted by [michaeltcruz](#) on Tue, 12 Mar 2002 19:50:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Okay, thanks to both of you Craig and David. The plotting shortcoming explains why I have been having this truncation problem. I thought it may have been due to the way I was handling the conversion.

Craig, I couldn't get the format='(D0)' to pass muster with the compiler but format = '(d)' gives me most of the accuracy. Do you know of any website or reference material that goes into depth about the use of the format command that would be helpful?

Thanks Again,
Mike

Craig Markwardt <craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu> wrote in message news:<onvgc1g4qw.fsf@cow.physics.wisc.edu>...

> Hi Michael--

>

> I actually think that David is right. You are dealing with two things
> here. First of all, the printing precision by default is too low.

> Instead of using INFO, why not use more precision in your format
> statement, as in:

>

> print, ratio, format='(D0)'

>

> Then I think you will see that RATIO is kept to its full double
> precision.

>

> Now, on to the question of why it's *plotted* wrong. Up until
> recently IDL only kept its plot variables in single precision floating
> point. Any double precision values would be truncated down to single
> precision. Since you are using PVWAVE, I am sure that you are still
> using the "old" plotting engine of IDL.

>

> The solution for you is to subtract the mean value, or some other
> fiducial value, from the double precision values before plotting. If
> you really need to, you can relabel the axis ticks, but that gets
> involved.

>

> Craig

>

>
>
> michaeltcruz@yahoo.com (Michael Cruz) writes:
>
>
>> Thanks David but I'm sure that's not the problem. I probably should
>> have mentioned that I'm plotting several hundred of these values that
>> differ by less than the seven digits of truncated value and they are
>> end up being the same value. I was just using the INFO function to
>> show that the value was indeed getting changed to a DOUBLE.
>>
>>
>>
>> "David Burrridge" <davidb@clogic.f9.co.uk> wrote in message
news:<Pkkj8.6140\$OP.179583@stones>...
>>> Hi Micheal,
>>>
>>> "Michael Cruz" <michaeltcruz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>> news:8e32c554.0203111046.35bd07c0@posting.google.com...
>>>> I am in the process of writing a program to read in exponential data
>>>> and plot its relationship to another variable. The trouble I am
>>>> having is that the values I am reading in are very small and I am
>>>> losing accuracy when I convert from a STRING to DOUBLE. Below is the
>>>> procedure I am using with a sample of the input and output. As you
>>>> can see, the output for this RATIO value is truncated to eight digits
>>>> which makes the value useless. I am fairly new to PVWAVE so I could
>>>> be making some fundamental mistakes. Appreciate help anyone can give.
>>> <snip>
>>>
>>> I'm more of an IDL user myself, but could it be the *printing* of the value
>>> that's truncating it, rather than the stored value itself? I notice that
>>> you're using "info" to print your ratio variable - what if you use 'print'
>>> like all the other values in the program?
>>>
>>> Hope this is useful,
>>>
>>> Dave
>>>
>>> David Burrridge
>>> Burrridge Computing

Subject: Re: Double Accuracy
Posted by [Craig Markwardt](#) on Tue, 12 Mar 2002 20:38:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

michaeltcruz@yahoo.com (Michael Cruz) writes:
>

> Craig, I couldn't get the format='(D0)' to pass muster with the
> compiler but format = '(d)' gives me most of the accuracy. Do you
> know of any website or reference material that goes into depth about
> the use of the format command that would be helpful?

How about the online help? Under IDL 4, this is documented under the topic "Format Codes". I believe that IDL 4 and PVWAVE share the same pedigree.

Craig

--

Craig B. Markwardt, Ph.D. EMAIL: craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu
Astrophysics, IDL, Finance, Derivatives | Remove "net" for better response

Subject: Re: Double Accuracy
Posted by [michaeltcruz](#) on Thu, 14 Mar 2002 18:17:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Craig:

Unfortunately I don't have access to the online help. I am logging in remotely to the machine with PVWAVE and the help command is one that does not work because of the setup of the PC I am working from. I have found the PVWAVE Reference manual online at VNI but they don't have any of the other documentaion available. I have also found the Refererence maunual online at some other sites but nothing that gets into the detail I need on many commands. Was hoping for something like online help on the web since I have seen it used and think it would be helpful if I could get access to it.

Thanks,
Mike Cruz

Craig Markwardt <craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu> wrote in message news:<ond6y934jr.fsf@cow.physics.wisc.edu>...

> michaeltcruz@yahoo.com (Michael Cruz) writes:

>>

>> Craig, I couldn't get the format='(D0)' to pass muster with the
>> compiler but format = '(d)' gives me most of the accuracy. Do you
>> know of any website or reference material that goes into depth about
>> the use of the format command that would be helpful?

>

> How about the online help? Under IDL 4, this is documented under the
> topic "Format Codes". I believe that IDL 4 and PVWAVE share the same

> pedigree.
>
> Craig
