
Subject: Incorrect behavior of /NAN

Posted by [K. Bowman](#) on Thu, 04 Apr 2002 15:40:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I tried to incite some interest in this last week, but had no takers, so I'll try again. ;-)

I believe that the behavior of the TOTAL function is incorrect in the case where all of the data are NaN and the /NAN keyword is set.

Here are several possible cases:

```
IDL> a = !values.f_nan
IDL> print, total([a, 1.0])
      NaN
IDL> print, total([a, 1.0], /nan)
      1.00000
IDL> print, total([a, a])
      NaN
IDL> print, total([a, a], /nan)
      0.00000
```

I believe that the last case is incorrect.

The documentation for /NAN says "Elements with the value NaN are treated as missing data." In the last case there are no valid data, so how can their sum be zero?

I think this is probably a simple error in the TOTAL algorithm. Rather than computing the "sum of all the non-NaN values", it is probably doing "set sum to zero and then add all non-Nan values".

For comparison, the MEAN function does not behave this way.

```
IDL> print, mean([a, 1.0])
      NaN
IDL> print, mean([a, 1.0], /nan)
      1.00000
IDL> print, mean([a, a])
      NaN
IDL> print, mean([a, a], /nan)
      NaN
```

Before I submit something (at least a question) to RSI, does anyone have comments?

Ken Bowman

Subject: Re: Incorrect behavior of /NAN

Posted by [Paul van Delst](#) on Thu, 04 Apr 2002 21:52:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Mark Hadfield wrote:

>
> "Paul van Delst" <paul.vandelst@noaa.gov> wrote in message
> news:3CAC9914.B52D1669@noaa.gov...
>> Seems reasonable to me. It only sums the data not flagged as missing. If
>> all the data is missing, the sum of nothing is, well, nothing.
>
> And IDL normally uses NaN ("Not a Number") to represent nothing.

Huh. I thought that's what zero was for. Or is a throwback from Roman times:

... -V -IV -III -II -I NaN I II III IV V

paulv

--

Paul van Delst Religious and cultural
CIMSS @ NOAA/NCEP purity is a fundamentalist
Ph: (301)763-8000 x7274 fantasy
Fax:(301)763-8545 V.S.Naipaul

Subject: Re: Incorrect behavior of /NAN

Posted by [Paul van Delst](#) on Fri, 05 Apr 2002 15:35:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Craig Markwardt wrote:

>
> Paul van Delst <paul.vandelst@noaa.gov> writes:
>>
>> Maybe a holistic division operator is required in these sorts of cases. :o)
>
> Or should IDL apply L'Hopital's rule? :-)

That would be good - I'd never have to fret over calculating sinc functions again.....

paulv

--

Paul van Delst Religious and cultural

CIMSS @ NOAA/NCEP purity is a fundamentalist
Ph: (301)763-8000 x7274 fantasy
Fax:(301)763-8545 V.S.Naipaul

Subject: Re: Incorrect behavior of /NAN
Posted by [Craig Markwardt](#) on Fri, 05 Apr 2002 21:53:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Kenneth Bowman <k-bowman@null.tamu.edu> writes:

> In article <onofgz74jo.fsf@cow.physics.wisc.edu>,
> Craig Markwardt <craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu> wrote:
>
>> If all the data are missing, how can the sum be NaN? Neither a return
>> value of 0, nor a return value of NaN, seems to be appropriate to
>> me. This appears to be an undefined case.
>
> If NaN represents missing data, and all of the data are missing, then the
> total is missing also, so it seems reasonable to me to return NaN.

:~)

I could easily argue the other way, in terms of reasonableness. The
sum of "no" numbers is zero.

Craig

--

Craig B. Markwardt, Ph.D. EMAIL: craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu
Astrophysics, IDL, Finance, Derivatives | Remove "net" for better response

Subject: Re: Incorrect behavior of /NAN
Posted by [K. Bowman](#) on Fri, 05 Apr 2002 22:25:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <onu1qpzubn.fsf@cow.physics.wisc.edu>,
Craig Markwardt <craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu> wrote:

> I could easily argue the other way, in terms of reasonableness. The
> sum of "no" numbers is zero.

Zero is a number. How can the sum of no numbers be a number?
If there are no numbers how can there even be a sum?

Ken

Subject: Re: Incorrect behavior of /NAN

Posted by [James Kuyper](#) on Fri, 05 Apr 2002 22:53:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Kenneth Bowman wrote:

> In article <onu1qpzubn.fsf@cow.physics.wisc.edu>,
> Craig Markwardt <craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu> wrote:
>
>
>> I could easily argue the other way, in terms of reasonableness. The
>> sum of "no" numbers is zero.
>
>
> Zero is a number. How can the sum of no numbers be a number?
> If there are no numbers how can there even be a sum?
>
> Ken

The number of ball bearings produced in a given city during a specified time period can be expressed as a sum over the amounts produced by each ball bearing plant in that city. What should the value of that sum be, for a city that has no ball bearing plants? I'd say that it's definitely a number, and definitely 0. I think that this is the most reasonable value for the sum of almost any variable-length list, when that list happens to have a length of 0. It's also the natural result of the most obvious algorithm for calculating the sum.

Subject: Re: Incorrect behavior of /NAN

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Sat, 06 Apr 2002 01:57:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

James Kuyper (kuyper@gscmail.gsfc.nasa.gov) writes:

> The number of ball bearings produced in a given city during a specified
> time period can be expressed as a sum over the amounts produced by each
> ball bearing plant in that city. What should the value of that sum be,
> for a city that has no ball bearing plants? I'd say that it's definitely
> a number, and definitely 0. I think that this is the most reasonable
> value for the sum of almost any variable-length list, when that list
> happens to have a length of 0. It's also the natural result of the most
> obvious algorithm for calculating the sum.

I agree. I was thinking about this while I was feeding balls to my tennis team. (Don't ask, please.) A sum is a counting operation.

"How many marbles are in the box?"

"None. The box is full of cupcakes."

Then the sum of marbles in the box is 0.

Seems pretty straightforward to me. But then I've never understood the whole Christian/Muslim thing either. :-(

Cheers,

David

--

David W. Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting

Phone: 970-221-0438, E-mail: david@dfanning.com

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155

Subject: Re: Incorrect behavior of /NAN

Posted by [Kenneth P. Bowman](#) on Sat, 06 Apr 2002 14:28:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <MPG.171804089a266d298986e@news.frii.com>, David Fanning <david@dfanning.com> wrote:

> "How many marbles are in the box?"

>

> "None. The box is full of cupcakes."

>

> Then the sum of marbles in the box is 0.

You English language statement is almost correct, but it should be "the number of marbles in the box is 0".

Translating to IDL

i = WHERE(thingInBox EQ marble, numberOfMarbles)

not

numberOfMarbles = TOTAL(thingInBox, /NaMarble)

;-)

Ken

Subject: Re: Incorrect behavior of /NaN

Posted by [Craig Markwardt](#) on Sat, 06 Apr 2002 15:46:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Kenneth Bowman <k-bowman@null.tamu.edu> writes:

> In article <onu1qpzubn.fsf@cow.physics.wisc.edu>,
> Craig Markwardt <craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu> wrote:
>
>> I could easily argue the other way, in terms of reasonableness. The
>> sum of "no" numbers is zero.
>
> Zero is a number. How can the sum of no numbers be a number?
> If there are no numbers how can there even be a sum?

I think either value is a "reasonable" return from TOTAL, zero or NaN,
in the case you describe. The real issue is that it's not documented.

Now where did I put that box of cupcakes...

Craig

--

Craig B. Markwardt, Ph.D. EMAIL: craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu
Astrophysics, IDL, Finance, Derivatives | Remove "net" for better response

Subject: Re: Incorrect behavior of /NaN

Posted by [noymer](#) on Mon, 15 Apr 2002 01:32:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

NaN is an IEEE standard, is it not?

Does the IEEE define what to do in such cases?

It seems to me that IDL should conform to such a standard, if it exists,
even if it goes against our intuition.

That said, doing a google on IEEE 754 and NaN and sum didn't turn up a definitive answer (but I didn't look at all of the over 1,000 pages google found).

Just my \$0.02

Andrew

Subject: Re: Incorrect behavior of /NAN
Posted by [K. Bowman](#) on Mon, 15 Apr 2002 13:47:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <yx6hvgatn3vp.fsf@socrates.Berkeley.EDU>, noymer@socrates.Berkeley.EDU wrote:

- > NaN is an IEEE standard, is it not?
- >
- > Does the IEEE define what to do in such cases?
- >
- > It seems to me that IDL should conform to such a standard, if it exists,
- > even if it goes against our intuition.

As far as I know, IDL correctly does arithmetic involving NaNs (i.e., it returns NaNs, etc.).

But IDL also uses NaNs as "missing values", which is not an IEEE issue, and the behavior here is not clearly defined in some cases.

Ken
