Subject: Re: IDL ROT function

Posted by David Fanning on Tue, 28 May 2002 18:53:52 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Alok Nagdev (nagdev@csee.usf.edu) writes:

- > I have a big image 2160x1440 pixels. After rotating the image
- > by arbitary amount a square image turns into a parallelogram.

Uh, my math isn't what it used to be after that fall I took at the last IDL Expert Programmer's Association annual gala, but doesn't "square" mean that it has the same number of pixels on all sides. :-(

Cheers,

David

--

David W. Fanning, Ph.D. Fanning Software Consulting

Phone: 970-221-0438, E-mail: david@dfanning.com

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155

Subject: Re: IDL ROT function

Posted by James Kuyper on Tue, 28 May 2002 19:14:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

David Fanning wrote:

> Alok Nagdev (nagdev@csee.usf.edu) writes:

> >

- >> I have a big image 2160x1440 pixels. After rotating the image
- >> by arbitary amount a square image turns into a parallelogram.

> >

- > Uh, my math isn't what it used to be after that fall I
- > took at the last IDL Expert Programmer's Association
- > annual gala, but doesn't "square" mean that it has the
- > same number of pixels on all sides. :-(

No, not if !D.X_PX_CM NE !D.Y_PX_CM. Furthermore, it is the rhombus that has all four sides equal. Whether or not it's a square also depends upon the angles, and not just the lengths of the sides.

Subject: Re: IDL ROT function Posted by Alok Nagdev on Tue, 28 May 2002 19:53:06 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

David Fanning wrote:

> Alok Nagdev (nagdev@csee.usf.edu) writes:

>

- >> I have a big image 2160x1440 pixels. After rotating the image
- >> by arbitary amount a square image turns into a parallelogram.

>

- > Uh, my math isn't what it used to be after that fall I
- > took at the last IDL Expert Programmer's Association
- > annual gala, but doesn't "square" mean that it has the
- > same number of pixels on all sides. :-(

>

Well, thanks for noticing that....in haste I lost my mind and made a square of a rectangle!....so still your math is good!!

-Alok

>

> Cheers.

>

> David

> -

- > David W. Fanning, Ph.D.
- > Fanning Software Consulting
- > Phone: 970-221-0438, E-mail: david@dfanning.com
- > Covote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/
- > Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155

Subject: Re: IDL ROT function

Posted by thompson on Tue, 28 May 2002 22:27:01 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

James Kuyper <kuyper@gscmail.gsfc.nasa.gov> writes:

- > David Fanning wrote:
- >> Alok Nagdev (nagdev@csee.usf.edu) writes:

>>

>>

- >>> I have a big image 2160x1440 pixels. After rotating the image
- >>> by arbitary amount a square image turns into a parallelogram.

>>

>>

- >> Uh, my math isn't what it used to be after that fall I
- >> took at the last IDL Expert Programmer's Association
- >> annual gala, but doesn't "square" mean that it has the
- >> same number of pixels on all sides. :-(
- > No, not if !D.X_PX_CM NE !D.Y_PX_CM. Furthermore, it is the rhombus that
- > has all four sides equal. Whether or not it's a square also depends upon
- > the angles, and not just the lengths of the sides.

ROT is a purely mathematical function. It doesn't know anything about screen parameters. It would make sense, though, if there were a way to pass in asymmetric scale parameters as a keyword. Unfortunately, the current implementation doesn't have this feature (or at least not in v5.4). It wouldn't be hard, though, to add it in. The source code is available at \$IDL_DIR/lib/rot.pro, and shouldn't be hard to modify. You could probably even get RSI to implement your changes in future releases.

William Thompson

P.S. My, my! It's been many years since I ran into a graphics device that had non-square pixels. That takes me back!