
Subject: gamma correction

Posted by [carine castillon](#) on Wed, 26 Jun 2002 08:14:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi all,

I have a question about gamma correction.

I'm displaying jpeg image, and I want to apply a gamma correction to this image.

I don't know how to do. I'm trying gamma_ct procedure but there is no effect.

Thanks for any help

Carine

PS : excuse me, but I don't speak english, I have some problems to write this mail... :o(

Subject: Re: gamma correction

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Fri, 28 Jun 2002 21:32:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Craig Markwardt (craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu) writes:

> Beautiful rose, thank you very much! IDL versions checked from
> 5.2 to 5.4.

That's what I thought. And that's why Dick's first solution won't work for you. Those babies are not going through the color tables. :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David W. Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Phone: 970-221-0438, E-mail: david@dfanning.com

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155

Subject: Re: gamma correction

Posted by [Craig Markwardt](#) on Fri, 28 Jun 2002 22:04:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

David Fanning <david@dfanning.com> writes:

> Craig Markwardt (craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu) writes:

>

>> Beautiful rose, thank you very much! IDL versions checked from

>> 5.2 to 5.4.

>

> That's what I thought. And that's why Dick's first solution

> won't work for you. Those babies are not going through

> the color tables. :-)

Okay, now I guess I understand. Actually you fooled me, I thought the beautiful flower was the right answer. :-)

Let me say that I think that the Windows/Mac approach, while it may be neat/keen, is just plain wrong. It's documented nowhere! The system documentation for DEVICE, DECOMPOSED=1 mentions nothing about such a transformation. There should be a documented flag to enable this, and then it should work consistently across platforms, darnit!

Craig

--

Craig B. Markwardt, Ph.D. EMAIL: craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu
Astrophysics, IDL, Finance, Derivatives | Remove "net" for better response

Subject: Re: gamma correction

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Fri, 28 Jun 2002 22:31:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Craig Markwardt (craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu) writes:

> Okay, now I guess I understand. Actually you fooled me, I thought the

> beautiful flower was the right answer. :-)

>

> Let me say that I think that the Windows/Mac approach, while it may be

> neat/keen, is just plain wrong. It's documented nowhere! The system

> documentation for DEVICE, DECOMPOSED=1 mentions nothing about such a

> transformation. There should be a documented flag to enable this, and

> then it should work consistently across platforms, darnit!

But then there would be no need for TVIMAGE, IMDISP, and... oh,
what the heck was its name... PLOTIMAGE. It would be a less
interesting world, to be sure. :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David W. Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
Phone: 970-221-0438, E-mail: david@dfanning.com
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>
Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155

Subject: Re: gamma correction
Posted by [Craig Markwardt](#) on Fri, 28 Jun 2002 23:46:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

David Fanning <david@dfanning.com> writes:

> But then there would be no need for TVIMAGE, IMDISP, and... oh,
> what the heck was its name... PLOTIMAGE. It would be a less
> interesting world, to be sure. :-)

The interesting towns are still written up in the guide books.

:-)

Craig

--

Craig B. Markwardt, Ph.D. EMAIL: craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu
Astrophysics, IDL, Finance, Derivatives | Remove "net" for better response

Subject: Re: gamma correction
Posted by [Dick Jackson](#) on Wed, 03 Jul 2002 22:05:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Craig Markwardt" <craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu> wrote in message
news:onu1nncb6p.fsf@cow.physics.wisc.edu...

>

> David Fanning <david@dfanning.com> writes:

>

>> Craig Markwardt (craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu) writes:

>>
>>> Beautiful rose, thank you very much! IDL versions checked from
>>> 5.2 to 5.4.
>>
>> That's what I thought. And that's why Dick's first solution
>> won't work for you. Those babies are not going through
>> the color tables. :-)
>
> Okay, now I guess I understand. Actually you fooled me, I thought the
> beautiful flower was the right answer. :-)
>
> Let me say that I think that the Windows/Mac approach, while it may be
> neat/keen, is just plain wrong. It's documented nowhere! The system
> documentation for DEVICE, DECOMPOSED=1 mentions nothing about such a
> transformation. There should be a documented flag to enable this, and
> then it should work consistently across platforms, darnit!

Having confirmed with Craig that this is the same behaviour on the X
device under True_Color=24 or Direct_Color=24 (which is different from
Windows), all I can say is "humph".

I think it's really a useful feature. If you can fiddle with a grayscale
image by just changing the colortable, why not have the same thing with
an RGB image? That's how it made sense to me when I discovered this
feature/bug, anyway.

Thanks for clearing this up, guys!

Cheers,

--

-Dick

Dick Jackson / dick@d-jackson.com
D-Jackson Software Consulting / http://www.d-jackson.com
Calgary, Alberta, Canada / +1-403-242-7398 / Fax: 241-7392
