
Subject: Optimal interpolation

Posted by [Luis](#) on Wed, 21 Aug 2002 13:47:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi,

does somebody know abouts an optimal interpolation subrroutine. I am trying to compare some different interpolation techniques and this is the only one than I don't have programmed.

thank you!

Luis Prieto Godino

U.C.M. Spain

Subject: Re: Optimal interpolation

Posted by [Paul Van Delst\[1\]](#) on Wed, 28 Aug 2002 18:19:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

GB Karas wrote:

>
> sinc interpolation relies on the following interpolating kernel:
>
> $\text{sinc}(x) = \sin(x)/x$

Why don't you use FFT's to do the interpolation then? You know, FFT the curve in question, zerofill
(or truncate) the result and FFT back?

paulv

>
> Paul van Delst <paul.vandelst@noaa.gov> wrote in message
news:<3D653F9D.223EDD66@noaa.gov>...
>> GB Karas wrote:
>>>
>>> oh well.. i think that really depends on your data
>>>
>>> i analyze MRI data, so I have to use sinc and windowed sinc
>>> interpolation
>>
>> OT, but...
>>
>> What is sinc (and windowed sinc) interpolation?

>>
>> paulv

--

Paul van Delst
CIMSS @ NOAA/NCEP/EMC
Ph: (301)763-8000 x7274
Fax:(301)763-8545

Subject: Re: Optimal interpolation
Posted by [Robert Stockwell](#) on Wed, 28 Aug 2002 20:47:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Paul van Delst wrote:

> GB Karas wrote:

>

>> sinc interpolation relies on the following interpolating kernel:

>>

>> $\text{sinc}(x) = \sin(x)/x$

>

>

> Why don't you use FFT's to do the interpolation then? You know, FFT the curve in question, zerofill

> (or truncate) the result and FFT back?

If you check out a digital signal processing book on upsampling or downsampling (or perhaps multirate sampling) you'll see that it can be the way to go in some cases.
(oppenheimer and schaffer frinstance)

Cheers,
bob
