
Subject: Re: Interesting WHERE function gotcha
Posted by [Craig Markwardt](#) on Fri, 07 Feb 2003 02:35:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

David Fanning <david@dfanning.com> writes:

```
>
> How about this:
>
>   Help, veggie_letter, letter
>   VEGGIE_LETTER BYTE    = Array[4]
>   LETTER        BYTE    = Array[1]
>
> "LETTER, a byte *array*!? You don't suppose..." Try this:
>
>   index = WHERE( (Byte(array))[0,*] EQ (Byte('a'))[0], count)
>   Print, count
>   2
>
> Hummm. V-e-r-y interesting...
>
> Now I know how to fix the problem, but I don't know exactly
> what the problem is. (Although this is not so different
> from most computer problems, when you come to think of it.)
> Is the problem that the BYTE function always makes a byte
> *array* when extracting string arguments? Or is it that
> the WHERE function acts in a, uh, non-intuitive way when
> there are two vectors in a boolean expression?
```

Hi David!

You are being bitten by the "feature" that I love so much. Namely that in IDL, when you do "X OPERATION Y", and X and Y are both arrays, then the expression is trimmed to the smaller of the two arrays.

So it's not anything special regarding WHERE, or boolean expressions, but rather that VEGGIE_LETTER EQ LETTER evaluates to a 1-element array. One element, because LETTER only has one element:

```
IDL> help, veggie_letter EQ letter
<Expression>  BYTE    = Array[1]
```

There are many times that I wish that IDL has an easier way to get the ASCII value of a character.

By the way, why not do this instead?

```
index = where( strmid(array,0,1) EQ 'a')
```

This avoids the whole issue of converting to a different representation, and it just looks less gobbledygooky.

Happy guacamole,
Craig

--

Craig B. Markwardt, Ph.D. EMAIL: craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu
Astrophysics, IDL, Finance, Derivatives | Remove "net" for better response

Subject: Re: Interesting WHERE function gotcha
Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Fri, 07 Feb 2003 03:06:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Craig Markwardt (craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu) writes:

> By the way, why not do this instead?
>
> index = where(strmid(array,0,1) EQ 'a')

Well, basically, because it didn't make nearly as interesting a story. :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David W. Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
Phone: 970-221-0438, E-mail: david@dfanning.com
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>
Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155

Subject: Re: Interesting WHERE function gotcha
Posted by [JD Smith](#) on Fri, 07 Feb 2003 16:04:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Thu, 06 Feb 2003 19:35:31 -0700, Craig Markwardt wrote:

> David Fanning <david@dfanning.com> writes:
>>

```

>> How about this:
>>
>> Help, veggie_letter, letter
>> VEGGIE_LETTER BYTE = Array[4]
>> LETTER BYTE = Array[1]
>>
>> "LETTER, a byte *array*!? You don't suppose..." Try this:
>>
>> index = WHERE( (Byte(array))[0,*] EQ (Byte('a'))[0], count) Print,
>> count
>> 2
>>
>> Hummm. V-e-r-y interesting...
>>
>> Now I know how to fix the problem, but I don't know exactly what the
>> problem is. (Although this is not so different from most computer
>> problems, when you come to think of it.) Is the problem that the BYTE
>> function always makes a byte *array* when extracting string arguments?
>> Or is it that the WHERE function acts in a, uh, non-intuitive way when
>> there are two vectors in a boolean expression?
>
> Hi David!
>
> You are being bitten by the "feature" that I love so much. Namely that
> in IDL, when you do "X OPERATION Y", and X and Y are both arrays, then
> the expression is trimmed to the smaller of the two arrays.
>
> So it's not anything special regarding WHERE, or boolean expressions,
> but rather that VEGGIE_LETTER EQ LETTER evaluates to a 1-element array.

```

Yes, the tension between single element arrays and scalars is felt deeply, even within the core IDL development group. It's a regrettable legacy which must, unfortunately, be preserved for compatibility. There are, however, minor changes and improvements: e.g., with v5.6, KEYWORD_SET now considers a single element array TRUE only if its single element is non-zero; i.e. it's treated just like a scalar for this (and only this) function.

JD

Subject: Re: Interesting WHERE function gotcha
 Posted by [Stein Vidar Hagfors H\[2\]](#) on Mon, 10 Feb 2003 17:21:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

JD Smith <jdsmith@as.arizona.edu> writes:

> On Thu, 06 Feb 2003 19:35:31 -0700, Craig Markwardt wrote:

[...]

- > Yes, the tension between single element arrays and scalars is felt
- > deeply, even within the core IDL development group. It's a
- > regrettable legacy which must, unfortunately, be preserved for
- > compatibility. There are, however, minor changes and improvements:
- > e.g., with v5.6, KEYWORD_SET now considers a single element array TRUE
- > only if its single element is non-zero; i.e. it's treated just like a
- > scalar for this (and only this) function.

Uh... improvement? I beg to differ. Not only does it introduce a single mysterious case where the legacy compatibility fails (when someone relies on the previous truth that "when it's defined as an array, it's set!") (I hope I never have to debug a code experiencing this!), but it introduces even one more level of exception to how singular arrays are treated.... Okay, so trailing singular dimensions are disappearing or are ignored, except when it's the last dimension to survive the slaughter, *except* that this one nifty function that we wrote specifically to say that anything not a scalar zero means "set" is right now doing a vote on whether to consider your singular dimension worthy of noticing... Ugh..!

--

Stein Vidar Hagfors Haugan
ESA SOHO SOC/European Space Agency Science Operations Coordinator for SOHO

NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Tel.: 1-301-286-9028
Mail Code 682.3, Bld. 26, Room G-1, Cell: 1-240-354-6066
Greenbelt, Maryland 20771, USA. Fax: 1-301-286-0264
