
Subject: Re: Saving / Restoring Objects

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Fri, 09 May 2003 13:33:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

mfeldt (mfeldt@mpia.de) writes:

```
> trying myself and surfing the web I find a lot of remarks how useful
> it is to save and restore idl objects. However, for me it doesn't
> seem to work - am I doing something wrong here? Basically what I do is
> this:
>
> IDL> a=obj_new('fancy_object')
> % Compiled module: FANCY_OBJECT__DEFINE.
> ;;
> ;; this compiled my object with lots of functions etc..
> ;;
> IDL> a-> set,'Debug',1 ; internal variable access...
> ;;
> ;; many more of those may go here
> ;;
> ;; then:
> IDL> save,a,file='test.sav'
>
> IDL> exit
>
> ;; now a new session
>
> IDL> restore, file='test.sav'
> IDL> help,a,/obj
> ** Object class FANCY_OBJECT, 0 direct superclasses, 0 known methods
>
> ;; i.e. the object seems to be there, but all information on it and
> all methods are lost... I also tried compiling the related code first,
> but no use...
>
> Is there any way to make this work??
```

I don't think the methods are "lost", they just haven't yet made themselves known to IDL. (Although I would have thought compiling the routines should have worked and would be essential--you use `Resolve_Routine`, right?--to restore the object properly) In any case, I would feel free to use your restored object as normal and see what happens.

I guess whether the methods can be "found" will depend to some extent on how you are naming the methods and the files that contain them. But this SAVE/RESTORE method certainly works for objects.

Cheers,

David

--

David W. Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Phone: 970-221-0438, E-mail: david@dfanning.com

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155

Subject: Re: Saving / Restoring Objects

Posted by [JD Smith](#) on Fri, 09 May 2003 19:33:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Fri, 09 May 2003 06:33:06 -0700, David Fanning wrote:

> mfeldt (mfeldt@mpia.de) writes:

>

>> trying myself and surfing the web I find a lot of remarks how useful

>> it is to save and restore idl objects. However, for me it doesn't

>> seem to work - am I doing something wrong here? Basically what I do is

>> this:

>>

>> IDL> a=obj_new('fancy_object')

>> % Compiled module: FANCY_OBJECT__DEFINE. ;; ;; this compiled my object

>> with lots of functions etc.. ;; IDL> a-> set,'Debug',1 ; internal

>> variable access... ;; ;; many more of those may go here ;; ;; then:

>> IDL> save,a,file='test.sav'

>>

>> IDL> exit

>>

>> ;; now a new session

>>

>> IDL> restore, file='test.sav'

>> IDL> help,a,obj

>> ** Object class FANCY_OBJECT, 0 direct superclasses, 0 known methods

>>

>> ;; i.e. the object seems to be there, but all information on it and

>> all methods are lost... I also tried compiling the related code first,

>> but no use...

>>

>> Is there any way to make this work??

>

> I don't think the methods are "lost", they just haven't yet made

> themselves known to IDL. (Although I would have thought compiling the

> routines should have worked and would be essential--you use
> Resolve_Routine, right?--to restore the object properly) In any case, I
> would feel free to use your restored object as normal and see what
> happens.
>
> I guess whether the methods can be "found" will depend to some extent on
> how you are naming the methods and the files that contain them. But this
> SAVE/RESTORE method certainly works for objects.

If all your methods are in the fancy_object__define file, then you'll have to explicitly compile this file. This is because the saved object implicitly contains the class definition (but no methods). Hence, IDL never feels the need to run the class definition procedure at the end of fancy_object__define.pro, and your methods defined there remain hidden. This problem has been discussed in great detail over the years, and David even has a topic on his site outlining a workaround.

One alternative easy option is to make a separate file for each method, e.g. fancy_object__init.pro. This gets fairly distracting fairly quickly, so most people prefer the resolve_obj method.

JD

Subject: Re: Saving / Restoring Objects
Posted by [mfeldt](#) on Tue, 13 May 2003 10:20:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

JD Smith <jdsmith@as.arizona.edu> wrote in message
news:<pan.2003.05.09.19.33.29.826287.15802@as.arizona.edu>...

> On Fri, 09 May 2003 06:33:06 -0700, David Fanning wrote:

>
>> mfeldt (mfeldt@mpia.de) writes:
>>
>>> trying myself and surfing the web I find a lot of remarks how useful
>>> it is to save and restore idl objects. However, for me it doesn't
>>> seem to work - am I doing something wrong here? Basically what I do is
>>> this:
>>>
>>> IDL> a=obj_new('fancy_object')
>>> % Compiled module: FANCY_OBJECT__DEFINE. ;; ;; this compiled my object
>>> with lots of functions etc.. ;; IDL> a-> set,'Debug',1 ; internal
>>> variable access... ;; ;; many more of those may go here ;; ;; then:
>>> IDL> save,a,file='test.sav'
>>>
>>> IDL> exit
>>>

```

>>> ;; now a new session
>>>
>>> IDL> restore, file='test.sav'
>>> IDL> help,a,/obj
>>> ** Object class FANCY_OBJECT, 0 direct superclasses, 0 known methods
>>>
>>> ;; i.e. the object seems to be there, but all information on it and
>>> all methods are lost... I also tried compiling the related code first,
>>> but no use...
>>>
>>> Is there any way to make this work??
>>
>> I don't think the methods are "lost", they just haven't yet made
>> themselves known to IDL. (Although I would have thought compiling the
>> routines should have worked and would be essential--you use
>> Resolve_Routine, right?--to restore the object properly) In any case, I
>> would feel free to use your restored object as normal and see what
>> happens.
>>
>> I guess whether the methods can be "found" will depend to some extent on
>> how you are naming the methods and the files that contain them. But this
>> SAVE/RESTORE method certainly works for objects.
>>
>>
> If all your methods are in the fancy_object__define file, then you'll have
> to explicitly compile this file. This is because the saved object
> implicitly contains the class definition (but no methods). Hence, IDL
> never feels the need to run the class definition procedure at the end of
> fancy_object__define.pro, and your methods defined there remain hidden.
> This problem has been discussed in great detail over the years, and David
> even has a topic on his site outlining a workaround.
>
> One alternative easy option is to make a separate file for each method,
> e.g. fancy_object__init.pro. This gets fairly distracting fairly
> quickly, so most people prefer the resolve_obj method.
>
> JD

```

O.K., thanks folks .. that's it - quite easy once you know it. The trick was actually to use ".compile" instead of ".r". After using IDL quite extensively for almost 10 years now, I have hardly ever used this ccommand before...

BTW: resolve_obj ... from which version on does this exist??

Thanks

Markus

--

Markus Feldt	Voice:	+49 6221 528 262
Max-Planck-Institut	Fax:	+49 6221 528 246
für Astronomie		mailto:mfeldt@mpia.de
Königstuhl 17		http://www.mfeldt.de
D-69117 Heidelberg, Germany		Si, ¡asi es la vida!
