Subject: Re: fstat, file info and file test Posted by Richard Younger on Wed, 14 May 2003 16:13:21 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message Ben Tupper wrote: [...] > What I would like to have is a function similar to: > result = FILE_ISOPEN(filename) > > that returns a 1 (for open) or a 0 (for closed). Or, perhaps better in > the long run, I'd like to see an /ISOPEN keyword added to FILE TEST. Hi Ben. I don't think IDL associates file names with open files except through LUNs, so I can only think of the brute force method: FUNCTION IsFilenameOpen, filename COMPILE OPT idl2 test struc = Fstat(0) struc_array = Replicate(test_struc, 129) FOR i=1, 128 DO BEGIN struc_array[i] = Fstat(i) **ENDFOR** index = Where(struc_array.name EQ File_Expand_Path(filename), count) IF count EQ 0 THEN RETURN, 0 ELSE RETURN, 1 **END** Wouldn't want to put it in an inner loop, though. Good luck. Rich

Subject: Re: fstat, file_info and file_test

younger@spaMIT.edu (with obvious modification)

Richard Younger

Posted by Bruce Bowler on Wed, 14 May 2003 16:56:22 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

- > I see that in the now distant past, my question has been asked here
- > before, but the answers were pre-IDL 5.4 when FILE xxxxx routines appeared.

>

- > I would like to have a test that reports if the file is open by simply
- > providing the filename. FSTAT almost does it, but I have to associate
- > the file with a LUN first. FILE_INFO and FILE_TEST each take the
- > filename as an argument (instead of the lun that FSTAT requires), but
- > neither test for 'openess'.

> What I would like to have is a function similar to:

>

> result = FILE_ISOPEN(filename)

>

- > that returns a 1 (for open) or a 0 (for closed). Or, perhaps better in
- > the long run, I'd like to see an /ISOPEN keyword added to FILE_TEST.

Ask the OS. On *nix and OS/X (but not windows, hence not xplatform) there's a utility called lsof (list open files) which can tell you not only if a file is open, but who's got it open, in case it's opened by someone other than yourself...

Bruce Bowler | Normally that's the way we do it, except when we 1.207.633.9600 | don't. - Jo Ellen Eros bbowler@bigelow.org | **-----**

Subject: Re: fstat, file_info and file_test Posted by btt on Wed, 14 May 2003 17:48:39 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Bruce Bowler wrote:

- >> I see that in the now distant past, my question has been asked here
- >> before, but the answers were pre-IDL 5.4 when FILE xxxxx routines appeared.

>>

- >> I would like to have a test that reports if the file is open by simply
- >> providing the filename. FSTAT almost does it, but I have to associate
- >> the file with a LUN first. FILE_INFO and FILE_TEST each take the
- >> filename as an argument (instead of the lun that FSTAT requires), but
- >> neither test for 'openess'.

>>

>> What I would like to have is a function similar to:

>>

```
>> result = FILE_ISOPEN(filename)
>>
>> that returns a 1 (for open) or a 0 (for closed). Or, perhaps better in
>> the long run, I'd like to see an /ISOPEN keyword added to FILE_TEST.
>
>
> Ask the OS. On *nix and OS/X (but not windows, hence not xplatform)
> there's a utility called lsof (list open files) which can tell you not
> only if a file is open, but who's got it open, in case it's opened by
> someone other than yourself...
Thanks Richard and Bruce,
I see that MacOSX (ie BSD *nix) has FSTAT and LSOF (but not FUSER); each
of which would do the trick.
Cheers.
Ben
Subject: Re: fstat, file_info and file_test
Posted by btt on Wed, 14 May 2003 18:28:53 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message
Richard Younger wrote:
> Ben Tupper wrote:
> [...]
>
>> What I would like to have is a function similar to:
>> result = FILE ISOPEN(filename)
>>
>> that returns a 1 (for open) or a 0 (for closed). Or, perhaps better in
>> the long run, I'd like to see an /ISOPEN keyword added to FILE TEST.
>
>
> Hi Ben.
>
> I don't think IDL associates file names with open files except through LUNs,
  so I can only think of the brute force method:
>
  FUNCTION IsFilenameOpen, filename
>
  COMPILE_OPT idl2
```

struc_array = Replicate(test_struc, 129)

>

test_struc = Fstat(0)

```
>
  FOR i=1, 128 DO BEGIN
   struc_array[i] = Fstat(i)
  ENDFOR
  index = Where(struc_array.name EQ File_Expand_Path(filename), count)
>
  IF count EQ 0 THEN RETURN, 0 ELSE RETURN, 1
> END
> Wouldn't want to put it in an inner loop, though.
>
> Good luck,
> Rich
>
Hi.
OK, how about running through the possible LUNs (100-128) until a match
is found. I like the cross-platforminess of your solution.
FUNCTION File_IsOpen, filename
COMPILE_OPT idl2
For i = 100, 128 Do $
   If ((Fstat(i)).NAME EQ File Expand Path(filename)) Then Return, 1
Return, 0
END
Thanks,
Ben
```

Subject: Re: fstat, file_info and file_test
Posted by Karsten Rodenacker on Thu, 15 May 2003 09:19:21 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hmm, what about using help,/file,output=st and string search for the appropriate file?
Regards
Karsten

Ben Tupper schrieb:

> Hello.

```
This appears to be the week for file questions.
> I see that in the now distant past, my question has been asked here
 before, but the answers were pre-IDL 5.4 when FILE xxxxx routines appeared.
 I would like to have a test that reports if the file is open - by simply
> providing the filename. FSTAT almost does it, but I have to associate
> the file with a LUN first. FILE INFO and FILE TEST each take the
> filename as an argument (instead of the lun that FSTAT requires), but
 neither test for 'openess'.
  What I would like to have is a function similar to:
>
  result = FILE_ISOPEN(filename)
>
 that returns a 1 (for open) or a 0 (for closed). Or, perhaps better in
  the long run, I'd like to see an /ISOPEN keyword added to FILE TEST.
>
 Any suggestions?
> Thanks.
> Ben
 Karsten Rodenacker
-----:-)
 GSF - Forschungszentrum Institute of Biomathematics and Biometry
 D-85758 Oberschleissheim Postfach 11 29
 Karsten@Rodenacker.de | http://www.gsf.de/ibb/
 Tel: +49 89 31873401 | FAX: ...3369
```

Subject: Re: fstat, file_info and file_test
Posted by Nigel Wade on Thu, 15 May 2003 09:26:14 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Ben Tupper wrote:

- > Hello,
- > This appears to be the week for file questions.
- > I see that in the now distant past, my question has been asked here
- > before, but the answers were pre-IDL 5.4 when FILE_xxxxx routines

```
> appeared.
>
> I would like to have a test that reports if the file is open - by simply
> providing the filename. FSTAT almost does it, but I have to associate
> the file with a LUN first. FILE_INFO and FILE_TEST each take the
> filename as an argument (instead of the lun that FSTAT requires), but
 neither test for 'openess'.
>
 What I would like to have is a function similar to:
 result = FILE_ISOPEN(filename)
>
> that returns a 1 (for open) or a 0 (for closed). Or, perhaps better in
  the long run, I'd like to see an /ISOPEN keyword added to FILE_TEST.
>
>
  Any suggestions?
> Thanks,
 Ben
```

How do you deal with the issue of the file being renamed or deleted after you've opened it? What do you do if the file you opened is renamed and new file created with the same name - should the test indicate it's open or not?

In general, not even the OS can tell you reliably what you want for these reasons.

Nigel Wade, System Administrator, Space Plasma Physics Group, University of Leicester, Leicester, LE1 7RH, UK

E-mail: nmw@ion.le.ac.uk

Phone: +44 (0)116 2523548, Fax: +44 (0)116 2523555

Subject: Re: fstat, file_info and file_test Posted by btt on Thu, 15 May 2003 14:56:41 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Nigel Wade wrote:

> Ben Tupper wrote:

> 
> 
> Hello,

>> 
>> This appears to be the week for file questions.
>>
```

```
>> I see that in the now distant past, my question has been asked here
>> before, but the answers were pre-IDL 5.4 when FILE xxxxx routines
>> appeared.
>>
>> I would like to have a test that reports if the file is open - by simply
>> providing the filename. FSTAT almost does it, but I have to associate
>> the file with a LUN first. FILE INFO and FILE TEST each take the
>> filename as an argument (instead of the lun that FSTAT requires), but
>> neither test for 'openess'.
>>
>> What I would like to have is a function similar to:
>> result = FILE_ISOPEN(filename)
>>
>> that returns a 1 (for open) or a 0 (for closed). Or, perhaps better in
>> the long run, I'd like to see an /ISOPEN keyword added to FILE_TEST.
>>
>>
>> Any suggestions?
>>
>> Thanks,
>> Ben
>
>
> How do you deal with the issue of the file being renamed or deleted after
> you've opened it? What do you do if the file you opened is renamed and new
> file created with the same name - should the test indicate it's open or
> not?
>
> In general, not even the OS can tell you reliably what you want for these
> reasons.
>
```

Good questions! Another question to add to your list, what happens if more than one valid pointers exist to the single file? Witness below, that u1 and u2 are each valid LUNs to the same file. Gaak! I can see why the underlying OS can't be counted upon for 'truth' - too many cooks!

```
IDL> get_LUN, u1
IDL> get_LUN, u2
IDL> openW, u1, file
IDL> for i = 0, 2 do print, s[i]
Unit Attributes Name
100 Read, Write, New, Reserved /Users/ben/data.dat
101 Closed, Reserved
IDL> openU, u2, file
IDL> help, /file, output = s
```

IDL> for i = 0, n_elements(s)-1 do print, s[i]
Unit Attributes Name
100 Read, Write, New, Reserved /Users/ben/data.dat
101 Read, Write, Reserved /Users/ben/data.dat

Thanks, Ben

Subject: Re: fstat, file_info and file_test
Posted by Richard Younger on Fri, 16 May 2003 18:16:38 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Ben Tupper wrote:
>
[...]
>
> Hi,
> OK, how about running through the possible LUNs (100-128) until a match
 is found. I like the cross-platforminess of your solution.
>
> FUNCTION File_IsOpen, filename
>
  COMPILE_OPT idl2
>
  For i = 100, 128 Do $
     If ((Fstat(i)).NAME EQ File_Expand_Path(filename)) Then Return, 1
>
> Return, 0
> END
```

Been sick the last couple days, so I'm a tad late responding.

That certainly would shortcut things a bit. I'm brainwashed into the Cult of the Array, but I suppose since the loop will actually run faster almost all the time, I'll have to give some ground. :-) But isn't being able to do WHERE(struc_array.name EQ filename) just plain cool?

By cutting out LUNs 0-99, you are trusting your users not to (foolishly) manage their own LUNs. Only you can tell if that is a wise decision.

Best, Rich

Hi Ben

Richard Younger younger@spaMIT.edu (with obvious modification) Phone: (781)981-4464