

---

Subject: indexing arrays with arrays

Posted by [ljg](#) on Thu, 30 Jun 1994 14:31:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I have an application where I'm trying to re-bin an array of data sampled at arbitrary coordinates into an array whose indices indicate sampling coordinates. (First sum the data into appropriate bins, then average as necessary, and finally interpolate as appropriate.)

I'm trying to do this "the IDL way" (IMHO) by calculating an index mapping array and using this map to sum the data into appropriate bins. However, the behavior isn't what I expect. A simple example:

```
IDL> a = fltarr(3)
IDL> map = [1, 1, 2]
IDL> a(map) = a(map) + [1.0, 2.0, 3.0]
IDL> print, a
      0.00000      2.00000      3.00000
```

Here "a" will hold the accumulated data and "[1, 1, 2]" is the index re-mapping array (map the first and second data items to the second destination position and map the third data item to the third destination position). When I try to add the data "[1.0, 2.0, 3.0]" I had hoped that "1.0" and "2.0" would have been summed into a(1) and "3.0" into a(2), resulting in a = [0.0, 3.0, 3.0].

Why doesn't this work?

[larry-granroth@uiowa.edu](mailto:larry-granroth@uiowa.edu)

---

---

Subject: Re: indexing arrays with arrays

Posted by [GeoffS](#) on Tue, 07 Aug 2007 19:25:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

The only way I know to do that is using an "array of indices" to index into the source array. For example, the answer to your specific problem would be to create an array containing:

```
indexes = [0,1, 1,2, 2,3, 3,4, 4,5]
then the extraction statement is simply:
extract = res[indexes]
```

The extraction statement should be pretty efficient as it uses implicit loops inside the IDL interpreter/VM. If you need to extract the same elements many times, then this would be a reasonable solution, but if you need an efficient/loopless way to create the 'indexes' array then it probably won't help you.

Cheers,

Geoff S.

On Aug 7, 8:39 am, Conor <cmanc...@gmail.com> wrote:

> It seems to me that a highly useful syntax for IDL would be something  
> like this:

>

> res = randomu(seed,10)

> start\_ind = indgen(5)

> end\_ind = start\_ind + 1

>

> extract = res[start\_ind:end\_ind]

>

> In this case, I would envision extract being a 10 element array.

> Namely, it would be the equivalent of:

>

> extract = [res[start\_ind[0]:end\_ind[0]], res[start\_ind[1]:end\_ind[1]],

> res[start\_ind[2]:end\_ind[2]], etc...]

---