
Subject: Re: Help: multiple linear regression fit
Posted by [Craig Markwardt](#) on Tue, 12 Aug 2003 15:55:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

fishdick91@hotmail.com (fishdick91@hotmail.com) writes:

> Hi everyone,
>
> I've set up a model: $y = a_1 \cdot x_1 + a_2 \cdot x_2 + a_3 \cdot x_3$ to fit my experiment
> data,
> and am using 'regress' function to perform this fit.
> However, the 'regress' function always return a big const which I
> don't need.
> So question 1:
> how can I fix the const when fitting?

And what of the MPFIT family of functions? Using the driver MPFITEXPR, you can set up an expression that almost exactly matches your case:

```
EXPR = 'P(0)*X(*,0) + P(1)*X(*,1) + P(2)*X(*,2)'
```

(of course you need the core routine MPFIT too). You would need to set up X as an Nx3 array, and Y as an N-vector.

Some people seem to resist using a non-linear regression tool for a linear problem on the basis of, "it's *ONLY* linear regression!" Originally I might explain that the heart of any non-linear regression package is a linear solver, so it's really all the same. Recently I just shrug and say, "their loss."

Happy fitting,
Craig

<http://cow.physics.wisc.edu/~craigm/idl/idl.html> (under fitting)

Subject: Re: Help: multiple linear regression fit
Posted by [fishdick91](#) on Wed, 13 Aug 2003 02:54:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Craig Markwardt <craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu> wrote in message news:<onoeyurhtw.fsf@cow.physics.wisc.edu>...

> And what of the MPFIT family of functions? Using the driver
> MPFITEXPR, you can set up an expression that almost exactly matches
> Some people seem to resist using a non-linear regression tool for a
Yes, we once thought so, although my colleagues and I have used your tools to fit all kinds of spectra lines. :)

Now it seems the time for us to change our mind, and use MPFITEXPR to do 'linear regression' also.

Thank you, for your procedures and your advice.

Good luck,

Dick

- > linear problem on the basis of, "it's **ONLY** linear regression!"
 - > Originally I might explain that the heart of any non-linear regression
 - > package is a linear solver, so it's really all the same. Recently I
 - > just shrug and say, "their loss."
 - >
 - > Happy fitting,
 - > Craig
 - >
 - > <http://cow.physics.wisc.edu/~craigm/idl/idl.html> (under fitting)
-