Subject: MPFIT2DPEAK with constraints Posted by c.carrano on Thu, 09 Oct 2003 22:40:07 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Greetings. I'm trying to understand how Craig Markwardt's peak fitting function MPFIT2DPEAK works when you wish to require that certain parameters be held constant.

Specifically, I know that my data should peak at the origin and so I'd like to force the fitted Gaussian to peak there as well.

Despite specifying that A[4] and A[5] be fixed using the PARINFO structure, the fitted Gaussian is not centered at the origin. Supplying PARINFO to MPFIT2DPEAK does change the fit but it actually makes it slighly worse. Anyone know what I might be doing wrong?

Here's my sample code:

```
Construct a sample gaussian surface in range [-5,5] centered at
[0,0]
 x = findgen(100)*0.1 - 5. & y = x
 xx = x \# (y*0 + 1)
 yy = (x*0 + 1) # y
 rr = sqrt((xx-0*2.)^2 + (yy+0*3.)^2)
Gaussian surface with sigma=0.5, peak value of 3, noise with
sigma=0.2
 seed=6
 z = 3.*exp(-(rr/0.5)^2) + randomn(seed,100,100)*.2
 pi = replicate({value:0.D, fixed:0, limited:[0,0], $
               limits:[0.D,0]}, 7)
 pi(4).fixed = 1
 pi(5).fixed = 1
 a0=[0., 3., 1.0, 1.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0]
 pi(*).value = a0
; Fit gaussian parameters A
 zfit = mpfit2dpeak(z, a1, x, y, /tilt, PARINFO=pi)
 zfit = mpfit2dpeak(z, a2, x, y, /tilt)
```

print,a1 print,a2

IDL prints:

a1=[-0.000156282, 2.82180, 0.382853, 0.347286, -0.0999999, 0.0999999, 0.716917]

a2=[-0.000266513, 3.06073, 0.345753, 0.351519, -0.000407959, 0.00455947, 1.98130]

Subject: Re: MPFIT2DPEAK

Posted by Craig Markwardt on Thu, 23 Jun 2005 07:50:23 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Greetings,

"angela" <Angeliki.Pollatou@gmail.com> writes:

> Hello.

>

- > I was wondering if someone can help me out with a problem I have in
- > MPFIT2DPEAK.
- > Fist I am describing the problem: I have an image with stars and I am
- > trying to fit the distribution to a gaussian and determine the
- > coordinates of which there is a peak density of stars.
- > I have an array of 400x400 pixels (centered where I believe the center
- > shoud be) and I have calculated the number density of the stars in a
- > specific radius for all the coordinate combinations starting from the
- > (0,0) coordinates.
- > I am using the MPFIT2DPEAK to fit a gaussian and to get a better handle
- > on the center I am trying to find.
- > I have two questions:

>

- > 1. When I am using MPFIT2DPEAK without a 'guess' about the center (I
- > use it with the tilt keyword), I get:
- > 3.02628 3.92726 40.2412 13.1586 37.6854
- > 24.7872 2.99398
- > I have read from the tutorial that if you do not give an initial guess
- > the program will try to guess what is the center. How do I know what
- > the program thinks as a center? In other words, this result tells me
- > that the center is at
- > (x,y)=(37.6854,24.7872) or it understands that the center that I used
- > is (200,200), so the result is that the center is at
- > (200+37.6854,200+24.7872)?

You assign X and Y according to the arrays you pass when you call MPFIT2DPEAK. The routine returns the centroid using the same coordinate system. So if the command was called like this, MPFIT2DPEAK(START,A,X,Y) then (37.6854,24.7872) should refer to a position in the (X,Y) coordinate system.

- > 2.I tried to run the MPFIT2DPEAK using the estimates keyword:
- > result=mpfit2dpeak(start,B,start(200,*),start(*,200),/TILT)
- > where (200,200) is approximately where I think the center is and
- > 'start' is my 400x400 array that has all the densities stored (they are
- > all integers). ...

First of all, you didn't actually use the ESTIMATES keyword in your example, so it's hard to comment. Second of all, you are not passing proper X and Y values. These should be the *coordinate labels*, not rows and columns of the array itself. Look at how CONTOUR is called... same thing here.

- > ... I get this message:
- > % Attempt to subscript XX with WHMAX is out of range.
- > % Execution halted at: MPFIT2DPEAK 390
- > /raid1/home/angeliki/IDL/mpfit2dpeak.pro
- > % \$MAIN\$

Yes, fix the problems above and you should be okay. The other thing is that you passed START(200,*) as a column vector, when it should be a row vector, and vice versa for START(*,200).

Good luck, Craig	
•	EMAIL: craigmnet@REMOVEcow.physics.wisc.edu Derivatives Remove "net" for better response

Subject: Re: MPFIT2DPEAK

Posted by angela on Mon, 27 Jun 2005 16:24:08 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Thank you! It works really well now:-)

Angela