Subject: Virtual Machine license questions Posted by Harvey Rarback on Thu, 30 Oct 2003 23:07:38 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Folks,

I have a couple of questions about the IDL VM. We use networked floating licenses and have our IDL Windows clients set the environment variable LM_LICENSE_FILE to point to our license server.

- If we run the VM with the variable set, IDL still grabs a license. We need to delete the variable in order not to use up a floating license.
- If we try to run the VM on a Windows 2000 Server running Terminal Services, remote users see the Alert "Unable to obtain a license to run as a Windows Terminal Server client".

It seems to me both of these behaviors run counter to the intent of the Virtual Machine.

Any comments?

--

--Harvey Rarback

Subject: Re: Virtual Machine license questions
Posted by Harvey Rarback on Wed, 05 Nov 2003 01:50:43 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Folks,

I <rarback@slac.stanford.edu> wrote in message news:bns5jr\$rmm\$1@news.Stanford.EDU...

- > I have a couple of questions about the IDL VM. We use networked floating
- > licenses and have our IDL Windows clients set the environment variable
- > LM_LICENSE_FILE to point to our license server.
- > If we run the VM with the variable set, IDL still grabs a license. We
- > need to delete the variable in order not to use up a floating license.
- > If we try to run the VM on a Windows 2000 Server running Terminal
- > Services, remote users see the Alert "Unable to obtain a license to run as
- > Windows Terminal Server client".

>

а

>

- > It seems to me both of these behaviors run counter to the intent of the
- > Virtual Machine.

> Any comments?

Since no one in the newsgroup had anything to say, I submitted these conserns to RSI and got two quick responses:

- VM grabbing the license inappropriately is a recognized bug which has been fixed in 6.1.
- The VM currently runs for remote users of Unix, Linux and Mac OS X, so support sees no reason to disable VM for Windows Terminal Services; a feature request (CR 32879) has been submitted.

I am satisfied that RSI support is still supporting.

--Harvey Rarback