Subject: PostScript output from iTools

Posted by Ralph. Gailis on Tue, 11 Nov 2003 00:52:47 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Has anyone tried to produce PostScript output from iTools (in particular iPlot)? The only way I can find is to select File->Print from the menu, and then check the box "Print to File". This doesn't seem to produce the same quality as PostScript generated from direct graphics using the PS device. Fonts, symbols and lines appear somewhat blurry, much the same way as exporting a plot figure to an image file format such as bitmap, jpeg etc.

If PostScript is not the answer, is there some other way of creating publication quality figures (such as for a journal article) using iPlot? Exporting to some image file format (which appears to be the only option at present) just doesn't give acceptable results.

Are there any plans to give PostScript support to iTools, such as is currently available for direct graphics, in a future release?

Subject: Re: PostScript output from iTools
Posted by David Fanning on Mon, 17 Nov 2003 00:48:16 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Ralph Gailis writes:

- > Has anyone tried to produce PostScript output from iTools (in particular iPlot)?
- > The only way I can find is to select File->Print from the menu, and then check the
- > box "Print to File". This doesn't seem to produce the same quality as PostScript
- > generated from direct graphics using the PS device. Fonts, symbols and lines
- > appear somewhat blurry, much the same way as exporting a plot figure to an image
- > file format such as bitmap, jpeg etc.

As I understand it, object graphics output to PostScript can only be accomplished currently by sending an "image" to PostScript.

- > If PostScript is not the answer, is there some other way of creating publication
- > quality figures (such as for a journal article) using iPlot? Exporting to some
- > image file format (which appears to be the only option at present) just doesn't
- > give acceptable results.

Oh, well. Back to MPI_PLOT, I guess. :-)

http://www.dfanning.com/programs/mpi_plot.zip

- > Are there any plans to give PostScript support to iTools, such as is currently
- > available for direct graphics, in a future release?

If RSI has been paying attention (I'm sure they have been), then they are well aware that a vector engine for object graphics PostScript output would be a GOOD IDEA. :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David W. Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Phone: 970-221-0438, E-mail: david@dfanning.com

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155

Subject: Re: PostScript output from iTools Posted by Mark Hadfield on Mon, 17 Nov 2003 01:38:27 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

David Fanning wrote:

> Ralph Gailis writes:

> >

>> Has anyone tried to produce PostScript output from iTools (in particular iPlot)?

>

- > As I understand it, object graphics output to PostScript
- > can only be accomplished currently by sending an "image"
- > to PostScript.

Not so. Object graphics output to Postscript can be done in raster or vector form, depending on the setting of the VECTOR keyword.

However to the best of my knowledge, vector Postscript output is not available from iTools. There are probably two reasons for this:

- * Nobody got around to it
- * Vector renditions of object graphics have various peculiarities and limitations, as described in the documentation.

--

Mark Hadfield "Ka puwaha te tai nei, Hoea tatou" m.hadfield@niwa.co.nz
National Institute for Water and Atmospheric Research (NIWA)

Subject: Re: PostScript output from iTools Posted by David Fanning on Mon, 17 Nov 2003 01:54:07 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Mark Hadfield writes:

- > Not so. Object graphics output to Postscript can be done in raster or
- > vector form, depending on the setting of the VECTOR keyword.

>

- > However to the best of my knowledge, vector Postscript output is not
- > available from iTools. There are probably two reasons for this:

>

- > * Nobody got around to it
- > * Vector renditions of object graphics have various peculiarities
- > and limitations, as described in the documentation.

I realize the VECTOR keyword is available for the CLIPBOARD object, but I think this is a hacked together vector approximation rather than a true vector engine, in the sense that IDL writes true vector output in direct graphics PostScript. I think they would have "gotten around to it" if it had been any good. I suspect they are waiting to do it right before they talk about it.

For what it's worth, I always hear "yes, yes, yes" whenever I bring it up with them. :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David W. Fanning, Ph.D. Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Phone: 970-221-0438, E-mail: david@dfanning.com

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155

Subject: Re: PostScript output from iTools Posted by John Mariska on Mon, 17 Nov 2003 14:31:23 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Mark Hadfield <m.hadfield@niwa.co.nz> writes:

> David Fanning wrote:

```
>> Ralph Gailis writes:
>>> Has anyone tried to produce PostScript output from iTools (in particular iPlot)?
>> As I understand it, object graphics output to PostScript
>> can only be accomplished currently by sending an "image"
>> to PostScript.
> Not so. Object graphics output to Postscript can be done in
> raster or vector form, depending on the setting of the VECTOR
> keyword.
> However to the best of my knowledge, vector Postscript output is
```

- > not available from iTools. There are probably two reasons for
- > this:

> >

- * Nobody got around to it
- * Vector renditions of object graphics have various peculiarities > and limitations, as described in the documentation. >

>

- > Mark Hadfield "Ka puwaha te tai nei, Hoea tatou"
- > m.hadfield@niwa.co.nz
- > National Institute for Water and Atmospheric Research (NIWA)

I was once very excited about iTools, especially after RSI spent a morning giving us a demo here at NRL. In my view, it would at last provide a way to prepare figures for publication without going through all the usual agony of placing labels and text at various places on the figure. Thus it was a major disappointment to discover that iTools are basically useless for producing figures for publication. Virtually all publishers require that figures be submitted as encapsulated postscript. (Color figures usually need to by CMYK postscript files--another place RSI has not helped much, though I hear they are working on it.)

John Mariska Naval Research Laboratory mariska@nrl.navy.mil

Subject: Re: PostScript output from iTools Posted by David Fanning on Mon, 17 Nov 2003 16:48:13 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

John Mariska writes:

> I was once very excited about iTools, especially after RSI spent

- > a morning giving us a demo here at NRL. In my view, it would at
- > last provide a way to prepare figures for publication without
- > going through all the usual agony of placing labels and text at
- > various places on the figure. Thus it was a major disappointment
- > to discover that iTools are basically useless for producing
- > figures for publication. Virtually all publishers require that
- > figures be submitted as encapsulated postscript. (Color figures
- > usually need to by CMYK postscript files--another place RSI has
- > not helped much, though I hear they are working on it.)

I've heard from my usual anonymous sources this morning that RSI is well aware of these problems and that the calvary is on the way. Tell your boss you want to "think deeply" about the problem you are working on and don't want to publish until you are sure you won't embarrass him. He'll appreciate the extra time it takes. :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David W. Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Phone: 970-221-0438, E-mail: david@dfanning.com

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155

Subject: Re: PostScript output from iTools
Posted by Ralph.Gailis on Thu, 20 Nov 2003 23:33:23 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

David Fanning <david@dfanning.com> wrote in message news:<MPG.1a22a9b62448b6fc98973f@news.frii.com>...

> John Mariska writes:

>

- >> I was once very excited about iTools, especially after RSI spent
- >> a morning giving us a demo here at NRL. In my view, it would at
- >> last provide a way to prepare figures for publication without
- >> going through all the usual agony of placing labels and text at
- >> various places on the figure. Thus it was a major disappointment
- >> to discover that iTools are basically useless for producing
- >> figures for publication. Virtually all publishers require that
- >> figures be submitted as encapsulated postscript. (Color figures
- >> usually need to by CMYK postscript files--another place RSI has
- >> not helped much, though I hear they are working on it.)

>

- > I've heard from my usual anonymous sources this morning
- > that RSI is well aware of these problems and that the
- > calvary is on the way. Tell your boss you want to "think
- > deeply" about the problem you are working on and don't
- > want to publish until you are sure you won't embarrass
- > him. He'll appreciate the extra time it takes. :-)

>

> Cheers,

>

> David

Oh well, seeing the wisdom out there on PostScript from iTools, I guess that I will just forget about using iTools for now - it doesn't seem much use to me. I like IDL Direct Graphics for being able to write automated programs to produce LOTS of figures automatically when crunching through big datasets, but when it comes to producing some final figures for publication which require a lot of tweaking, it can be a hassle to have to spend the time writing a program for each one (or using the command line in a slow and iterative process). I thought that iTools might be the answer, but no...

Cheers, Ralph.