
Subject: Re: Cleaning up inherited object classes

Posted by [btt](#) on Wed, 03 Dec 2003 20:17:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

M. Katz wrote:

> Cleaning up is my least favorite activity. Were my living room an IDL
> object I'm sure it'd be full of dangling pointer references. Here's a
> question regarding objects' Cleanup methods and inheritance.
>
> When an object inherits another object, methods can be overridden. So
> what happens to the Cleanup method? It is special.
>
> If my House object inherits the Living_Room and Bathroom object
> classes, will a call to HOUSE::Cleanup also call Living_Room::Cleanup
> and Bathroom::Cleanup when obj_destroy, self is called?
>
> Let me put that another way. Suppose an object class, A, has pointer
> fields. Unless someone tells me otherwise, I assume it's a good idea
> two specifically free the pointers in that object's Cleanup routine.
> Now, suppose another object class, B, inherits A. B has its own
> pointers to clean up as well, so I write that into its cleanup
> routine.
>
> It is sufficient to write the Cleanup methods like this?
>
> pro Bobj::Cleanup
> ptr_free, self.Bpointer
> obj_destroy, self
> end
>
> pro Aobj::Cleanup
> ptr_free, self.Apointer
> obj_destroy, self
> end
>
> Will Bobj::Cleanup's call to "obj_destroy, self" also call
> Aobj::Cleanup so that self.Apointer can be freed as the object is
> destroyed?
>
> Also, does the destruction of an object that contains a pointer field
> also inherently free the pointer? or is it necessary to specifically
> ask for that in the Cleanup?
>
> Now if I could only get the House::TakeOutTheTrash method to work
> reliably my wife would be thrilled.
>
> M. Katz
Hello,

I think you simply call the cleanup method for each superclass.
The following is the way I do it.

```
PRO House::Cleanup
```

```
DoMyOwnCleanUpofLocalPointersAndObjects
```

```
Self->Living_Room::CleanUp
```

```
Self->Bath_Room::Cleanup
```

```
END
```

Provided that HOUSE was defined this way.

```
PRO House__Define
```

```
struct = {House, $
```

```
  INHERITS Bath_Room, $
```

```
  INHERITS Living_Room}
```

```
END
```

If Bath_Room inherits from some other object, such as READING_ROOM, then it will call that superclass' cleanup method if you have Bath_Room's cleanup as ...

```
PRO Bath_Room::Cleanup
```

```
self->Reading_Room::Cleanup
```

```
END
```

I would defer to other's on the question if a subclass MUST have its own CLEANUP method. I haven't tried it, but I'm not sure that it does (unless it has its own pointers and objects to cleanup.)

Cheers,
Ben

Subject: Re: Cleaning up inherited object classes

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Wed, 03 Dec 2003 20:28:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ben Tupper writes:

- > I would defer to other's on the question if a subclass MUST have its own
- > CLEANUP method. I haven't tried it, but I'm not sure that it does
- > (unless it has its own pointers and objects to cleanup.)

I'll tell you this, I have spent an *untold* number of hours chasing down memory leaks only to find that I forget to call a superclass CLEANUP method from my object's CLEANUP method! I've done it so often this is the *FIRST* thing I look for when I'm chasing memory leaks now.

Who says you can't teach an old dog new tricks?

Cheers,

David

--

David W. Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Phone: 970-221-0438, E-mail: david@dfanning.com

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155

Subject: Re: Cleaning up inherited object classes

Posted by [marc schellens\[1\]](#) on Thu, 04 Dec 2003 02:38:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well another way would be to consider it already when writing your program.

I always have the cleanup method just before the XX__define procedure. So for every inheritance I immediately add the superclass cleanup call and for every pointer the ptr_free (object, obj_destroy) function.

marc

David Fanning wrote:

> Ben Tupper writes:

>

>

>> I would defer to other's on the question if a subclass MUST have its own
>> CLEANUP method. I haven't tried it, but I'm not sure that it does
>> (unless it has its own pointers and objects to cleanup.)

>

>
> I'll tell you this, I have spent an *untold* number
> of hours chasing down memory leaks only to find that
> I forget to call a superclass CLEANUP method from my
> object's CLEANUP method! I've done it so often this
> is the *FIRST* thing I look for when I'm chasing
> memory leaks now.
>
> Who says you can't teach an old dog new tricks?
>
> Cheers,
>
> David
>

Subject: Re: Cleaning up inherited object classes
Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Thu, 04 Dec 2003 04:15:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Marc Schellens writes:

> Well another way would be to consider it already
> when writing your program.
> I always have the cleanup method just before the
> XX__define procedure. So for every inheritance I immediately add
> the superclass cleanup call and for every pointer the ptr_free (object,
> obj_destroy) function.

Well, yeah. Now I do too. :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David W. Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
Phone: 970-221-0438, E-mail: david@dfanning.com
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>
Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155
