Subject: Re: Weird error with SURFACE and log axes. Posted by K. Bowman on Wed, 07 Apr 2004 21:21:34 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In article <c51p43\$hrq\$1@news.nems.noaa.gov>, Paul Van Delst <paul.vandelst@noaa.gov> wrote:

> http://cimss.ssec.wisc.edu/~paulv/tmp/logarithmic.gif

This seems vaguely familiar. I think it may have to do with hidden-line removal for high-resolution wire meshes. Have you tried rotating the plot in XSURFACE or using a rendered surface instead of wiremesh?

Ken Bowman

Subject: Re: Weird error with SURFACE and log axes. Posted by Paul Van Delst[1] on Thu, 08 Apr 2004 17:17:43 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Kenneth Bowman wrote:

- > In article <c51p43\$hrq\$1@news.nems.noaa.gov>,
- > Paul Van Delst <paul.vandelst@noaa.gov> wrote:

>

>> http://cimss.ssec.wisc.edu/~paulv/tmp/logarithmic.gif

>

- > This seems vaguely familiar. I think it may have to do with hidden-line
- > removal for high-resolution wire meshes. Have you tried rotating the
- > plot in XSURFACE or using a rendered surface instead of wiremesh?

Hello,

This is what I get when I use SHADE SURF instead of SURFACE:

http://cimss.ssec.wisc.edu/~paulv/tmp/logarithmic_shade_surf .gif

Pretty crappy looking. Going back to the wire mesh, if I rotate around the Z axis by 50 degrees I get the following:

http://cimss.ssec.wisc.edu/~paulv/tmp/logarithmic az50.gif

Yuck. IDL apparently cannot handle logarithmic axes for its surfacing procedures at all.

Would this be considered a bug in the hidden-line removal algorithm, or an oversight?

paulv