

---

Subject: Re: HASHTABLE class available  
Posted by [Paul Van Delst\[1\]](#) on Mon, 19 Apr 2004 20:56:20 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Craig Markwardt wrote:

> Greetings--  
>  
> For those people who inquired about the HASHTABLE class that I  
> mentioned previously (which actually was zero people), I put it on my  
> web page if you are interested.

Hello,

I just had a lookie and noticed there are two methods `_after_` the structure definition (KEYS and STRUCT). Is this right? How will they get compiled? I know little about how object definitions work so maybe it's normal? Has this got something to do with using `forward_function` or `resolve_names` or something like that? (I know even less about those than I do objects)

cheers,

paulv

---

---

Subject: Re: HASHTABLE class available  
Posted by [Craig Markwardt](#) on Tue, 20 Apr 2004 00:13:44 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Paul Van Delst <[paul.vandelst@noaa.gov](mailto:paul.vandelst@noaa.gov)> writes:

> Craig Markwardt wrote:  
>> Greetings--  
>>  
>> For those people who inquired about the HASHTABLE class that I  
>> mentioned previously (which actually was zero people), I put it on my  
>> web page if you are interested.  
>  
> Hello,  
>  
> I just had a lookie and noticed there are two methods `_after_` the  
> structure definition (KEYS and STRUCT). Is this right? How will they  
> get compiled? I know little about how object definitions work so  
> maybe it's normal? Has this got something to do with using  
> `forward_function` or `resolve_names` or something like that? (I know  
> even less about those than I do objects)

Good point. I'm enough of an object novice to have screwed this up.  
I did too much testing with `".COMPILE"` for me to notice the problem.

I have a fixed version available which simply reorders the methods.

Thanks!

Craig

--

-----  
Craig B. Markwardt, Ph.D.    EMAIL: craigmnet@REMOVEcow.physics.wisc.edu  
Astrophysics, IDL, Finance, Derivatives | Remove "net" for better response  
-----

---