Subject: Re: converting ieee-float-format to pw-wave-float-format Posted by caron on Thu, 25 Aug 1994 15:52:02 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

you need to examine the binary representation of floating points on the two machines you are using. If you say what the cpus are, perhaps someone here could tell you the representations.

I remember converting VAX 11/70 to Intel x86; only difference was byte order and the exponent bias. if exponent bias differed by 2, that would give you a factor of 4.

The nice thing about ieee is that its the same across all machines (is that true for its byte order also?).

Subject: Re: converting ieee-float-format to pw-wave-float-format Posted by grunes on Thu, 25 Aug 1994 19:39:16 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In article <33ien2\$17v@ncar.ucar.edu> caron@acd.ucar.edu (John Caron) writes:

- > The nice thing about ieee is that its the same across all machines (is that
- > true for its byte order also?).

No. IEEE does not define byte order--at least it is in oposite order on PCs from the most common useage on most Unix Workstations (Suns, SGIs,... -- but watch out for Dec Alpha, which someone told me use backwards (least significant byte first, like the PC) byte order.

The easiest translation method is to read the data on someone's Sun, print it out formatted, and send it as text!

One thing puzzles me: Most floating point numbers translate between Suns and PCs simply throught BYTEORDER. But NAN values seem to behave differently. Does anyone know why?

Mitchell R Grunes (grunes@imsy1.nrl.navy.mil)

Allied-Signal Technical Services
c/o Code 7230 Naval Research Lab

Subject: converting ieee-float-format to pw-wave-float-format Posted by SLAMECZKA on Fri, 26 Aug 1994 08:07:33 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In <33ien2\$17v@ncar.ucar.edu> caron@acd.ucar.edu writes:

> you need to examine the binary representation of floating points on the

- > two machines you are using. If you say what the cpus are, perhaps someone
- > here could tell you the representations.

>

> [snip]

>

- > The nice thing about ieee is that its the same across all machines (is that
- > true for its byte order also?).

The manual of the program (EDAS) I am using on my PC 386 says, that the datas will be written binary to the harddrive. I copy this datas to my network harddrive, start pw_wave 4.01 (Dec VAX), read the datas and all the values are correct, exept the floating points.

The manual only says; that the float is a 4 Byte floating point (float in "c", IEEE Format). Thats everything.

Now what is this IEEE format? Which bits are the exponent, which are the mantisse and how to translate it to pw_wave??

I hope for help thanks Michael

Subject: Re: converting ieee-float-format to pw-wave-float-format Posted by grunes on Fri, 26 Aug 1994 12:47:11 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In article <33k7s5\$972@elna.ethz.ch> SLAMECZKA@EZINFO.VMSMAIL.ETHZ.CH (SLAMECZKA,MICHAEL) writes:

- > Now what is this IEEE format?
- > Which bits are the exponent, which are the mantisse and how to translate it
- > to pw wave??

Be clear on one thing: there is no IDL or PV-Wave floating point format, when used with readu and writeu.

They use what ever is normal for their machines. i.e.--if you read it back on the same computer that you wrote it on, everything would be fine.

I don't recall the exact format, but on a MSB first machine I believe the order is:

Sign Bit (NOT twos complement format)

Base 2 exponent, excess notation

Mantissa, with assumed (not present) leading 1 (except for true zero, which is represented by all zero bits)

On your PC (a LSB first machine) the bytes (NOT the fields) are in reversed order. Also: IEEE includes a variety of NANs to represent overflows, underflows and undefined values.

If you experiment, you should be able determine how many bits of exponent and mantissa work. I seem to remember about 11 exponent bits, which would give about 32-1-11 mantissa bits (+ the assumed leading 1 bit). Mitchell R Grunes (grunes@imsy1.nrl.navy.mil)
Allied-Signal Technical Services
c/o Code 7230 Naval Research Lab

Subject: Re: converting ieee-float-format to pw-wave-float-format Posted by grunes on Fri, 26 Aug 1994 13:51:38 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I was wrong: 8 bit exponent.

If you understand Fortran, these routines, which haven't been completely tested, might help:

```
function FromVaxR4(x)
c Function to convert Vax real*4 number to local floating point.
c Cannot handle NANs or numbers which are too small or too large.
c By mitchell r grunes.
     integer*4 x,y,i
                             ! Really Vax real*4--but
                         ! must be kept in integers
                         ! so won't be "normalized".
     character*1 a(4)
     equivalence (y,a)
     parameter (Mask23=2**23-1)
     parameter (ioffset=128+24)
     V=X
     i=
                iand(ichar(a(2)),255)
     i=ior(ishft(i,8),iand(ichar(a(1)),255))
     i=ior(ishft(i,8),iand(ichar(a(4)),255))
     i=ior(ishft(i,8),iand(ichar(a(3)),255))
     iexponent=iand(ishft(i,-23),255)-ioffset
     mantissa=iand(i,Mask23)
     if(i.eq.0)then
      FromVaxR4=0
     else
```

```
mantissa=ior(ishft(1,23),mantissa)
      if(i.qt.0)then
       FromVaxR4= mantissa*2.**iexponent
      else
       FromVaxR4=-mantissa*2.**iexponent
      endif
    endif
    end
    function FromIEEER4(x)
c Function to convert IEEE real*4 number to local floating point.
c Assumes number written on a "most significant byte first" machine like
c a Sun or SGI workstation.
c Cannot handle NANs or numbers which are too small or too large.
c By mitchell r grunes.
    integer*4 x,y,i
                             ! Really IEEE real*4--but
                         ! must be kept in integers
                         ! so won't be "normalized".
    character*1 a(4)
    equivalence (y,a)
    parameter (Mask23=2**23-1)
    parameter (ioffset=128+22)
    y=x
                iand(ichar(a(1)),255)
    i=
    i=ior(ishft(i,8),iand(ichar(a(2)),255))
    i=ior(ishft(i,8),iand(ichar(a(3)),255))
    i=ior(ishft(i,8),iand(ichar(a(4)),255))
    iexponent=iand(ishft(i,-23),255)-ioffset
    mantissa=iand(i,Mask23)
    if(i.eq.0)then
      FromIEEER4=0
    else
      mantissa=ior(ishft(1,23),mantissa)
      if(i.gt.0)then
       FromIEEER4= mantissa*2.**iexponent
       FromIEEER4=-mantissa*2.**iexponent
      endif
    endif
    end
    function FromRIEEER4(x)
c Function to convert IEEE real*4 number to local floating point.
c Assumes number written on a "least significant byte first" machine like
c a PC.
```

```
c Cannot handle NANs or numbers which are too small or too large.
c By mitchell r grunes.
    integer*4 x,y,i
                             ! Really IEEE real*4--but
                         ! must be kept in integers
                         ! so won't be "normalized".
    character*1 a(4)
    equivalence (y,a)
    parameter (Mask23=2**23-1)
    parameter (ioffset=128+22)
    V=X
    i=
                iand(ichar(a(4)),255)
    i=ior(ishft(i,8),iand(ichar(a(3)),255))
    i=ior(ishft(i,8),iand(ichar(a(2)),255))
    i=ior(ishft(i,8),iand(ichar(a(1)),255))
    iexponent=iand(ishft(i,-23),255)-ioffset
    mantissa=iand(i,Mask23)
    if(i.eq.0)then
      FromRIEEER4=0
    else
      mantissa=ior(ishft(1,23),mantissa)
      if(i.at.0)then
       FromRIEEER4= mantissa*2.**iexponent
       FromRIEEER4=-mantissa*2.**iexponent
      endif
    endif
    end
```

Subject: Re: converting ieee-float-format to pw-wave-float-format Posted by thompson on Fri, 26 Aug 1994 14:44:35 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

grunes@imsy1.nrl.navy.mil (Mitchell R Grunes) writes:

- > No. IEEE does not define byte order--at least it is in oposite order
- > on PCs from the most common useage on most Unix Workstations (Suns,
- > SGIs,... -- but watch out for Dec Alpha, which someone told me use
- > backwards (least significant byte first, like the PC) byte order.

I've often seen the byte order with the most significant byte first, i.e. that use on most Unix workstations, referred to as the "network" byte order. Most interchange formats, such as FITS, which are meant to be transportable from machine to machine, use that byte order.

- > One thing puzzles me: Most floating point numbers translate between
- > Suns and PCs simply throught BYTEORDER. But NAN values seem to
- > behave differently. Does anyone know why?

If both machines follow the IEEE standard, then both should recognize the same NaN values. However, many bit patterns can represent NaN. Perhaps the two machines use a different *default* NaN value.

Bill Thompson