

---

Subject: IsoSurface

Posted by [siliconcube](#) on Wed, 09 Jun 2004 21:44:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi all,

ok here is the problem I have: I'm trying to run a test on different images to figure out how things work. Previously I was helped to find the size of my tiff image. I modified the code accordingly.

Unfortunately, when I try to run this code on my images the first Window function shows me all my images but when the execution gets to the second Window Function I lose IDL ie it crashes. I have 81 nearly identical image. (if you would like to see the image you can check it out here [http://www.geocities.com/siliconcube/tree\\_01.tif](http://www.geocities.com/siliconcube/tree_01.tif) images were modified with MatLab). Can anyone help me identify the problem, is my image not suitable for Iso Surface or am I doing somethign wrong =/.

Thanks

Aleks

```
files=findfile('*.tif')
index=bsort(files, sortedfiles)
```

```
volume=bytarr(761,671,81)
```

```
for j=0,80 do begin
image=read_tiff(sortedfiles[j])
  volume[0,0,j] = image
endfor
```

```
Window, Title='Original Image Slices', XSize=800, YSize=500, 1,
XPos=0, YPos=0
LoadCT, 0
Device, Decomposed=0
FOR j=0,49 DO TV, volume[*,*,j], j
```

```
Window, 6, XSize=800, YSize=800, Title='Test IsoSurface'
Scale3, XRange=[0,760], YRange=[0,670], ZRange=[0,80], AZ=-150
Shade_Volume, volume, 81, vertices, polygons, /Low
theHead = PolyShade(vertices, polygons, /T3D)
TV, theHead
```

```
end
```

---

---

Subject: Re: IsoSurface

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Thu, 10 Jun 2004 15:46:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Aleks writes:

> Previously I used MatLab to combine slices of data and construct 3D  
> models. My advisor chose to switch to IDL because of its "powerfull"  
> features. 81 came from the number of slices I have. I'm not really  
> sure what you mean by range of my values?

When you use Shade\_Volume you are trying to create a 3D contour of your data at some "value". If your data values range from 100 to 200 and you contour at 81, and look for the values "lower" than the contour value, you are not likely to see much in your plot. :-)

So, in the above example, if your data ranges in value from 100 to 200, setting a contour level of 150 might be reasonable. The contour level should be set based on the data values in the volume, not the number of frames in the volume.

One way to see what range of data values you have is to plot a histogram of the data values in the volume:

```
IDL> Plot, Histogram(vol)
```

You might see some likely looking peaks in the histogram. Just above or below, or perhaps right in the middle of a peak might be an appropriate place to choose a contouring value for a 3D contour.

For a quick test to see if your contouring value is "reasonable" you might pick something between the minimum and maximum of the data:

```
IDL> Print, Min(vol), Max(vol)
```

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

---

---

Subject: Re: IsoSurface

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Thu, 10 Jun 2004 15:48:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Aleks writes:

> I'm not sure if memory is the problem in my case because my machine  
> has 5GB of RAM =(

I think you probably have enough memory. But how did you  
get the keys to the Ferrari is what I'm wondering. :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

---

---

Subject: Re: IsoSurface

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Thu, 10 Jun 2004 17:57:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Aleks writes:

> This is the compilation log that I have when I run the program:  
>  
> IDL Version 6.0, Microsoft Windows (Win32 x86 m32). (c) 2003, Research Systems, Inc.  
>  
> IDL> .compile test  
> % Compiled module: \$MAIN\$.  
> IDL> .go  
> % Compiled module: BSORT.  
> % Loaded DLM: TIFF.  
> % Compiled module: LOADCT.  
> % Compiled module: FILEPATH.  
> % Compiled module: PATH\_SEP.  
> % LOADCT: Loading table B-W LINEAR  
> % Compiled module: SCALE3.  
> % Compiled module: T3D.  
> % POLYSHADE: Expression must be an array in this context: POLYGONS.  
> % Execution halted at: \$MAIN\$ 19 C:\RS\IDL60\test.pro  
>  
> And this is the code

Yes, well, the polygons are suppose to be coming from the command you have commented out:

```
> ;Shade_Volume, volume, 81, vertices, polygons, /Low  
> theHead = PolyShade(vertices, polygons, /T3D)
```

Why did you comment that line out? Have you figured out what is a better contouring value than 81? What is the minimum and maximum of your data? How is your data distributed?

Are you getting all of the messages in this thread? :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

---

Subject: Re: IsoSurface

Posted by [siliconcube](#) on Fri, 11 Jun 2004 01:41:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

David Fanning <davidf@dfanning.com> wrote in message news:<MPG.1b3230cefddeb8fa989796@news.frii.com>...

> Aleks writes:

>

>> I'm not sure if memory is the problem in my case because my machine  
>> has 5GB of RAM =(

>

> I think you probably have enough memory. But how did you  
> get the keys to the Ferrari is what I'm wondering. :-)

>

> Cheers,

>

> David

Ferarri? Is that a nickname for my '94 Altima because I like it =).  
Hehehe I run my programs on university cluster computers. I don't  
think I can afford 5GB of DDR yet on my salary  
thanks for the help, gonna try to figure this out  
the night is young  
Aleks

Subject: Re: IsoSurface

Posted by [Karl Schultz](#) on Fri, 11 Jun 2004 13:47:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"David Fanning" <davidf@dfanning.com> wrote in message  
news:MPG.1b324f1129a39d0d989798@news.frii.com...

> Aleks writes:

>

>> This is the compilation log that I have when I run the program:

>>

>> IDL Version 6.0, Microsoft Windows (Win32 x86 m32). (c) 2003, Research  
Systems, Inc.

>>

>> IDL> .compile test

>> % Compiled module: \$MAIN\$.

>> IDL> .go

>> % Compiled module: BSORT.

>> % Loaded DLM: TIFF.

>> % Compiled module: LOADCT.

>> % Compiled module: FILEPATH.

>> % Compiled module: PATH\_SEP.

>> % LOADCT: Loading table B-W LINEAR

>> % Compiled module: SCALE3.

>> % Compiled module: T3D.

>> % POLYSHADE: Expression must be an array in this context: POLYGONS.

>> % Execution halted at: \$MAIN\$ 19 C:\RS\IDL60\test.pro

>>

>> And this is the code

>

> Yes, well, the polygons are suppose to be coming

> from the command you have commented out:

>

>> ;Shade\_Volume, volume, 81, vertices, polygons, /Low

>> theHead = PolyShade(vertices, polygons, /T3D)

>

> Why did you comment that line out? Have you figured out

> what is a better contouring value than 81? What is the

> minimum and maximum of your data? How is your data

> distributed?

>

> Are you getting all of the messages in this thread? :-)

Aside from the good advice David gave about making sure you are really  
calling Shade\_Volume and picking the right isovalue, you might try the  
ISOSURFACE procedure. It has the same arg list (not kwds) as Shade\_Volume,  
but uses a different algorithm. It is easy to give it a try.

The error message you got above from POLYSHADE indicates that your vertices  
variable is empty, because either you didn't really call SHADE\_VOLUME or the

call to SHADE\_VOLUME resulted in no surface being generated. The latter can happen if you don't pick an isovalue appropriate for your data.

Karl

---