
Subject: RADON/RIENMANN TRANSFORMATION
Posted by [555777555](#) on Mon, 14 Jun 2004 20:14:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I have data aquired over 360 degrees
Want to do backprojection with Radon/reinmann transformation
Seemingly Radon / Rienmann transformations inherently work over 180 degrees
How do i impliment the above without having to discard the data aquired at
> 180 degrees
Thanks , any suggestions / references welcome
Max

Subject: Re: RADON/RIENMANN TRANSFORMATION
Posted by [lovedale27](#) on Tue, 15 Jun 2004 19:00:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Radon transform over 180 is enough. 360 degrees is redundant.

Subject: Re: RADON/RIENMANN TRANSFORMATION
Posted by [peter.julyan](#) on Wed, 16 Jun 2004 08:29:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

lovedale27@hotmail.com (Karthikayan B.) wrote in message
news:<f4f859c4.0406151100.43672617@posting.google.com>...
> Radon transform over 180 is enough. 360 degrees is redundant.

If your data is noisy then the 180-360 certainly isn't redundant. You
just need to add it to the 0-180 data (reversed as approriate) to use
with RADON.

Pete.

Subject: Re: RADON/RIENMANN TRANSFORMATION
Posted by [Timm Weitkamp](#) on Wed, 16 Jun 2004 13:18:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Today at 01:29 -0700, Peter Julyan wrote:

> If your data is noisy then the 180-360 certainly isn't redundant. You
> just need to add it to the 0-180 data (reversed as approriate) to use
> with RADON.

Oh, I'd say it's a lot easier than that. The THETA keyword to RADON allows
you to specify the values of the angles in your sinogram, in radians. It

works nicely if you stick to the instructions (RADON is a bit picky about whether parameters are given as named variables or not), and for any angular range, not only 0-180 degrees.

Have fun
Timm

Subject: Re: RADON/RIENMANN TRANSFORMATION
Posted by [555777555](#) on Sat, 19 Jun 2004 14:47:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks for everyones answers and suggestions, i appreciate it very much
1. Assuming the data is acquired in 128*128 matrix, over 360 degrees at 1 image per angle
(for simplicity)

- > is it correct to say :
 - > the sinogram will be 128 images of 128*360 matrix
 - > From the discussion the Theta should be an array of 360 elements, (one element per angle)
 - > For every Theta there should be an associated RHO, which means it should also be an array of 360 elements.

I have tried using the above but IDL respond that the dimensions do not agree
? What is the correct way / Where am i wrong
Max

"Timm Weitkamp" <dont.try@this.address> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.44.0406161510030.30529-100000@localhost.localdomain...
> Today at 01:29 -0700, Peter Julyan wrote:
>
>> If your data is noisy then the 180-360 certainly isn't redundant. You
>> just need to add it to the 0-180 data (reversed as appropriate) to use
>> with RADON.
>
> Oh, I'd say it's a lot easier than that. The THETA keyword to RADON allows
> you to specify the values of the angles in your sinogram, in radians. It
> works nicely if you stick to the instructions (RADON is a bit picky about
> whether parameters are given as named variables or not), and for any
> angular range, not only 0-180 degrees.
>
> Have fun
> Timm
>

Max:

- > -> is it correct to say :
- > -> the sinogram will be 128 images of 128*360 matrix

Yes.

- > -> From the discussion the Theta should be an array of 360 elements
,(one
> element per angle)

Yes. If the angles are equidistant with 1 degree spacing, then you might set

```
IDL> theta = FINDGEN(360) * !DTOR
```

- > -> For every Theta there should be an associated RHO , which means it should
> also be an array of 360 elements.

No! RHO is the spatial coordinate and is therefore, in your case, a vector of 128 elements. For example:

```
IDL> rho = FINDGEN(128) - (127 / 2.0)
```

For the backprojection, you can then call RADON with the previously defined variables "theta" and "rho" and the sinogram data. For example:

```
IDL> rec = RADON(/BACKPROJECT, sinogram $  
    , THETA=theta, RHO=rho, NX=128, NY=128).
```

(where "sinogram" should be the array containing your sinogram [which you will probably have to filter before]).

Good luck
Timm

On 19.06.04 at 16:47, 555777555 wrote:

- > Thanks for everyones answers and suggestions ,i appreciate it very much
- > 1. Assuming the data is acquired in 128*128 matrix , over 360 degrees at 1
> image per angle
- > (for simplicity)
- > -> is it correct to say :
- > -> the sinogram will be 128 images of 128*360 matrix

> -> From the discussion the Theta should be an array of 360 elements ,(one
> element per angle)
> -> For every Theta there should be an associated RHO , which means it should
> also be an array of 360 elements.
>
> I have tried using the above but IDL respond that the dimentions do not
> agree
> ? What is the correct way / Where am i wrong
> Max

--

Timm Weitkamp <<http://people.web.psi.ch/weitkamp>>
