Subject: Re: Singular arrays in structures...
Posted by R.Bauer on Fri, 25 Jun 2004 15:49:20 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Tom McGlynn wrote:

```
> I'm sure that this probably has come up before, but I just haven't
  noticed it but every once in a while I run regression tests...
  In IDL 5.2 if one starts with
>
     x = \{a:intarr(1),b:0\}
>
     y = replicate(a, 10)
>
>
  Then
     y.a = intarr(10)
                        works
>
     y.a = intarr(1,10)
                         fails
>
  In IDL 5.6 the results are reversed, the second method works
  while the first fails.
>
  Does anyone have any workarounds that will handle
> both cases or has this inconsistency perhaps been fixed
> in later versions of IDL? I'd prefer not to have to
  refer to the IDL version explicitly in the code.
> Thanks,
> Tom McGlynn
Dear Tom,
A workaround like this should help
sz=size(y.a,/dimensions)
y.a=reform(intarr(10),sz)
regards
Reimar
Forschungszentrum Juelich
email: R.Bauer@fz-juelich.de
http://www.fz-juelich.de/icg/icg-i/
a IDL library at ForschungsZentrum Juelich
http://www.fz-juelich.de/icg/icg-i/idl icglib/idl lib intro. html
```

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Reimar Bauer wrote:

```
> Tom McGlynn wrote:
>
>
>> I'm sure that this probably has come up before, but I just haven't
>> noticed it but every once in a while I run regression tests...
>> In IDL 5.2 if one starts with
>>
     x = \{a:intarr(1),b:0\}
>>
     y = replicate(a, 10)
>>
>>
>> Then
>>
      y.a = intarr(10)
                         works
>>
      y.a = intarr(1,10)
                         fails
>>
>>
>> In IDL 5.6 the results are reversed, the second method works
>> while the first fails.
>>
>> Does anyone have any workarounds that will handle
>> both cases or has this inconsistency perhaps been fixed
>> in later versions of IDL? I'd prefer not to have to
>> refer to the IDL version explicitly in the code.
>>
>> Thanks,
>> Tom McGlynn
  Dear Tom,
>
>
  A workaround like this should help
>
  sz=size(y.a,/dimensions)
  y.a=reform(intarr(10),sz)
>
  regards
> Reimar
```

Thanks Reimar,

I think that's exactly what I need. I can probably use

the /overwrite option in the reform to make it a little faster since I can modify the array being assigned to the structure.

Regards, Tom