

---

Subject: how do I create an image file from an object graphics window?

Posted by [holgi0251](#) on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 06:21:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi,

I want to save the RGB-image displayed in an object graphics window (IDLgrWindow) in a TIFF or JPEG file. When I use TVRD to copy the image from the window, the resulting image is empty. My impression is, that TVRD does not work with object graphics.

My question: how do I create an image file from an object graphics window?

Thanks,  
Holger

---

---

Subject: Re: how do I create an image file from an object graphics window?

Posted by [Antonio Santiago](#) on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 10:27:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Diract graphics is not compatible with objects graphics.

If you want a screenshot of the IDLgrWindow you can use:

```
oWindow->GetProperty, IMAGE_DATA=img  
WRITE_PNG, name, img
```

or you can use the method "read" of the idlgrwindow.

Bye.

Holger B. wrote:

> Hi,

>

> I want to save the RGB-image displayed in an object graphics window  
> (IDLgrWindow) in a TIFF or JPEG file. When I use TVRD to copy the  
> image from the window, the resulting image is empty. My impression is,  
> that TVRD does not work with object graphics.  
> My question: how do I create an image file from an object graphics  
> window?

>

> Thanks,  
> Holger

---

Subject: Re: how do I create an image file from an object graphics window?

Posted by [Haje Korth](#) on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 11:41:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Holger,

I have used 'idlgrclipboard' object in the past, which can create Postscript file. However, the implementation in 6.0 is still buggy. 6.1 will be better, there may still be some issues with alpha blending. Any way, if your view/scene is not too complicated it may work for you. A note on the side: It amazes me that RSI worked out so many details on making object graphics look pretty and totally forgot to spend the time working on creating descent quality output of the graphics. In order to get what I want, I have to write every code twice, once in object graphics for the screen and then use direct graphics techniques to create the PS file. Not very efficient.....

Haje

"Holger B." <holgi0251@lycos.de> wrote in message  
news:2c8cff70.0407222221.1f90f37d@posting.google.com...

> Hi,

>

> I want to save the RGB-image displayed in an object graphics window  
> (IDLgrWindow) in a TIFF or JPEG file. When I use TVRD to copy the  
> image from the window, the resulting image is empty. My impression is,  
> that TVRD does not work with object graphics.  
> My question: how do I create an image file from an object graphics  
> window?

>

> Thanks,  
> Holger

---

Subject: Re: how do I create an image file from an object graphics window?

Posted by [Karl Schultz](#) on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 16:28:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Haje Korth" <haje.korth@jhuapl.edu> wrote in message  
news:cdqth5\$gro\$1@aplcore.jhuapl.edu...

> Holger,

> I have used 'idlgrclipboard' object in the past, which can create  
Postscript  
> file. However, the implementation in 6.0 is still buggy. 6.1 will be  
better,

> there may still be some issues with alpha blending. Any way, if your  
> view/scene is not too complicated it may work for you. A note on the side:  
> It amazes me that RSI worked out so many details on making object graphics

- > look pretty and totally forgot to spend the time working on creating
- > descent quality output of the graphics. In order to get what I want, I have
- > to write every code twice, once in object graphics for the screen and then
- > use direct graphics techniques to create the PS file. Not very
- > efficient.....

Remember that the clipboard has both bitmap and vector modes. The bitmap mode captures the contents of the scene exactly as you would see it on the screen. You can also do pretty much the same thing by getting the data out of the grBuffer and grWindow objects.

Yes, vector output in 6.1 is quite a bit better, but we still need to understand that vector output cannot possibly recreate all the graphical features that you might use on the display. Vector output systems (e.g., PostScript, Windows metafiles) are not really "3D" in any way, while Object Graphics obviously is a 3D system. It's difficult to map a system with high capabilities onto ones with lesser capabilities. For example, vector systems do not have depth buffers. IDL does a crude depth sort in vector output to approximate the effect of a depth buffer, but it won't sort things out completely. Similar restrictions apply for things like alpha blending.

One of the main motivations for Object Graphics vector output was to reduce the size of the graphics output. In bitmap mode, even a simple plot with a few dozen lines and some text would require several MB of space, depending on the dimensions of the drawable, which seems silly when there is so little data actually in the plot. With vector output, the same data can be represented with a few dozen line plot commands and some text strings, which adds up to a PostScript file of 1K or so in length. So vector output can be a big win when working with plots, charts, and other visualizations that are more "2D" than "3D" and don't use a lot of advanced rendering features. Bitmap output is better when you need to preserve all those "3D" qualities.

Karl

---

Subject: Re: how do I create an image file from an object graphics window?  
Posted by [JD Smith](#) on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 18:30:47 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Fri, 23 Jul 2004 10:28:10 -0600, Karl Schultz wrote:

- >
- > "Haje Korth" <haje.korth@jhuapl.edu> wrote in message
- > news:cdqth5\$gro\$1@aplcore.jhuapl.edu...
- >> Holger,
- >> I have used 'idlgrclipboard' object in the past, which can create
- > Postscript

>> file. However, the implementation in 6.0 is still buggy. 6.1 will be  
> better,  
>> there may still be some issues with alpha blending. Any way, if your  
>> view/scene is not too complicated it may work for you. A note on the  
>> side: It amazes me that RSI worked out so many details on making object  
>> graphics look pretty and tootally forgot to spend the time working on  
>> creating descent quality output of the graphics. In order to get what I  
>> want, I  
> have  
>> to write every code twice, once in object graphics for the screen and  
>> then use direct graphics techniques to create the PS file. Not very  
>> efficient.....  
>  
> Remember that the clipboard has both bitmap and vector modes. The bitmap  
> mode captures the contents of the scene exactly as you would see it on the  
> screen. You can also do pretty much the same thing by getting the data  
> out of the grBuffer and grWindow objects.  
>  
> Yes, vector output in 6.1 is quite a bit better, but we still need to  
> understand that vector output cannot possibly recreate all the graphical  
> features that you might use on the display. Vector output systems (e.g.,  
> PostScript, Windows metafiles) are not really "3D" in any way, while  
> Object Gaphics obviously is a 3D system. It's difficult to map a system  
> with high capabilities onto ones with lesser capabilities. For example,  
> vector systems do not have depth buffers. IDL does a crude depth sort in  
> vector output to approximate the effect of a depth buffer, but it won't  
> sort things out completely. Similar restrictions apply for things like  
> alpha blending.  
>  
> One of the main motivations for Object Graphics vector output was to  
> reduce the size of the graphics output. In bitmap mode, even a simple  
> plot with a few dozen lines and some text would require several MB of  
> space, depending on the dimensions of the drawable, which seems silly when  
> there is so little data actually in the plot. With vector output, the  
> same data can be represented with a few dozen line plot commands and some  
> text strings, which adds up to a PostScript file of 1K or so in length. So  
> vector output can be a big win when working with plots, charts, and other  
> visualizations that are more "2D" than "3D" and don't use a lot of  
> advanced rendering features. Bitmap output is better when you need to  
> preserve all those "3D" qualities.

Speaking entirely without having used Object Graphics, but the problem  
with bitmap output is always the tradeoff between absurdly large  
sizes, and too coarse resolution. When object scenes contains lines,  
text, and other glyphs, the output will not be good if copied at  
screen resolution and printed or sent to a journal. Ideally, an  
output system could do a combination: take a bitmap "background" of  
everything which is too complicated for, e.g., Postscript to handle,

and overlay then lines, text, glyphs, etc. as vector entities. It may not be trivial to divide items into non-handleable vs. handleable, but it would surely produce better results at smaller output sizes.

JD

---

---

Subject: Re: how do I create an image file from an object graphics window?

Posted by [stef](#) on Tue, 27 Jul 2004 17:17:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hello Holger

The following code snippets might help you. The input argument is the object graphic window object. Depending on the chosen file ending it creates a JPEG, PNG or TIFF image from the object window. If you want higher quality (e.g. for printing, change the dimensions arguments to a higher value)

Also, below are the procedure I use to copy the image to the clipboard, one is for BMP, the other for Vector output. (Can be easily copied into MS Word or Powerpoint)

Hope this helps,  
Stefan

```
PRO CV_Save_Image, window_o
  filters = [['*.jpg', '*.png', '*.tif'], $
    ['JPG', 'PNG (lossless)', 'TIF']]
  filename = DIALOG_PICKFILE(/WRITE, FILTER = filters,
/OVERWRITE_PROMPT, DEFAULT_EXTENSION='JPG')
  print, filename
  IF (filename) THEN BEGIN
    image_o=OBJ_NEW('IDLgrImage')
    image_o=window_o->Read()
    image_o->GetProperty, DATA=image_data
    extension=STRLOWCASE(STRMID(filename,STRLEN(filename)-4,4))
    CASE extension OF
      '.jpg': WRITE_JPEG, filename, image_data, TRUE=1
      '.png': WRITE_PNG, filename, image_data
      '.tif': WRITE_TIFF, filename, Reverse(image_data,3)
      ELSE: ;do nothing
    ENDCASE
    Obj_Destroy, image_o
  ENDIF
END
```

```
PRO CV_CopyClipboardBMP, window_o
```

```
window_o->GetProperty, GRAPHICS_TREE=view_o  
window_o->GetProperty, DIMENSIONS=dimensions
```

```
clipboard_o= OBJ_NEW('IDLgrClipboard', QUALITY = 2,  
DIMENSIONS=dimensions)  
clipboard_o->Draw, view_o  
Obj_Destroy, clipboard_o  
END
```

```
PRO CV_CopyClipboardVector, window_o  
window_o->GetProperty, GRAPHICS_TREE=view_o  
window_o->GetProperty, DIMENSIONS=dimensions
```

```
clipboard_o= OBJ_NEW('IDLgrClipboard', QUALITY = 2,  
DIMENSIONS=dimensions)  
clipboard_o->Draw, view_o, /VECTOR  
Obj_Destroy, clipboard_o  
END
```

holgi0251@lycos.de (Holger B.) wrote in message  
news:<2c8cff70.0407222221.1f90f37d@posting.google.com>...

> Hi,  
>  
> I want to save the RGB-image displayed in an object graphics window  
> (IDLgrWindow) in a TIFF or JPEG file. When I use TVRD to copy the  
> image from the window, the resulting image is empty. My impression is,  
> that TVRD does not work with object graphics.  
> My question: how do I create an image file from an object graphics  
> window?  
>  
> Thanks,  
> Holger

---

Subject: Re: how do I create an image file from an object graphics window?  
Posted by [Haje Korth](#) on Wed, 28 Jul 2004 12:46:08 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Karl,  
oops, for some reason I did not see your post until now. I do not expect the  
clipboard object to produce miracles. All I expect it to do is to produce  
the same quality as set\_plot,'ps', which produces nice vector output. Is  
this asking for too much? I do not use any projections, shadings, etc. All I  
do is overlaying images with alpha blending. The bitmapped mode of the  
clipboard object is extremely lousy, as all fonts are bitmapped too. The  
vector mode still has problems with alpha blending. I am not sure whether

RSI is aware of this problem. I tested this for IDL 6.1 but never reported the problem because my example is longer than 10 lines. My past experience shows that they reject examples that are too long. Too bad, now they have to wait until I find the time to boil this down. And since I do not get paid for debugging IDL, this might just take some time.

Cheers,  
Haje

"Karl Schultz" <kschultz\_no\_spam@rsinc.com> wrote in message  
news:10g2f4f7ra0roed@corp.supernews.com...

>  
> "Haje Korth" <haje.korth@jhuapl.edu> wrote in message  
> news:cdqth5\$gro\$1@aplcore.jhuapl.edu...  
>> Holger,  
>> I have used 'idlgrclipboard' object in the past, which can create  
> Postscript  
>> file. However, the implementation in 6.0 is still buggy. 6.1 will be  
> better,  
>> there may still be some issues with alpha blending. Any way, if your  
>> view/scene is not too complicated it may work for you. A note on the  
side:  
>> It amazes me that RSI worked out so many details on making object  
graphics  
>> look pretty and totoally forgot to spend the time working on creating  
>> descent quality output of the graphics. In order to get what I want, I  
> have  
>> to write every code twice, once in object graphics for the screen and  
then  
>> use direct graphics techniques to create the PS file. Not very  
>> efficient.....  
>  
> Remember that the clipboard has both bitmap and vector modes. The bitmap  
> mode captures the contents of the scene exactly as you would see it on the  
> screen. You can also do pretty much the same thing by getting the data  
out  
> of the grBuffer and grWindow objects.  
>  
> Yes, vector output in 6.1 is quite a bit better, but we still need to  
> understand that vector output cannot possibly recreate all the graphical  
> features that you might use on the display. Vector output systems (e.g.,  
> PostScript, Windows metafiles) are not really "3D" in any way, while  
Object  
> Graphics obviously is a 3D system. It's difficult to map a system with  
high  
> capabilities onto ones with lesser capabilities. For example, vector  
> systems do not have depth buffers. IDL does a crude depth sort in vector  
> output to approximate the effect of a depth buffer, but it won't sort



things

> out completely. Similar restrictions apply for things like alpha  
blending.

>

> One of the main motivations for Object Graphics vector output was to  
reduce

> the size of the graphics output. In bitmap mode, even a simple plot with  
a

> few dozen lines and some text would require several MB of space, depending

> on the dimensions of the drawable, which seems silly when there is so  
little

> data actually in the plot. With vector output, the same data can be

> represented with a few dozen line plot commands and some text strings,  
which

> adds up to a PostScript file of 1K or so in length. So vector output can  
be

> a big win when working with plots, charts, and other visualizations that  
are

> more "2D" than "3D" and don't use a lot of advanced rendering features.

> Bitmap output is better when you need to preserve all those "3D"  
qualities.

>

> Karl

>

>

---

Subject: Re: how do I create an image file from an object graphics window?

Posted by [Rick Towler](#) on Thu, 29 Jul 2004 16:19:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Haje Korth wrote:

> Karl,

> oops, for some reason I did not see your post until now. I do not expect the

> clipboard object to produce miracles. All I expect it to do is to produce

> the same quality as `set_plot,'ps'`, which produces nice vector output. Is

> this asking for too much? I do not use any projections, shadings, etc. All I

> do is overlaying images with alpha blending.

Yes, you are asking too much. :)

I don't know about WMF but postscript 3 doesn't support alpha blending  
for vector elements. The current pdf spec does, and word is that 4 will  
but for now the only way is thru hacks of one sort or another. Even the  
major vector illustration packages have trouble with alpha blending  
elements (most produce decent on screen results but fall down when you  
go to print). So for now I don't think you'll get your alpha blending.



> The bitmapped mode of the clipboard object is extremely lousy, as all fonts  
> are bitmapped too.

Everyone's bustin' on the bitmap.

FWIW, we submit bitmaps for publication all of the time and I think they look pretty good on the page. For really big figures you are out of luck but a max of around 10" x 10" should cover most situations. (I am still trying to work out a way to stitch together multiple images from a perspective projection. Any thoughts are welcome :)

And you can always export your bitmap and add your annotations in a vector drawing program.

-Rick

---