Subject: Re: database connection with idl without dataminer Posted by Michael Wallace on Wed, 21 Jul 2004 15:24:30 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

- > I noticed this has come up every so often in the group. I wanted to
- > ask if anybody has had any success in interface to a database(i.e.
- > access or mysql) without the use of dataminer. We are currently using
- > IDL in a windows XP environment.

The only way I know to communicate with a database without using Dataminer is to use external code. I use Java to handle all of my database interactions from IDL. You could potentially use C code to handle the database communication if you're more familiar with C than Java.

The IDL-Java Bridge (new in IDL 6.0) allows you to create Java objects within IDL. Since there are a plethora of classes within the java.sql package, I wrote a couple of Java classes which act as a facade into the package. By doing this, I only have to instantiate one or two Java classes in IDL which keeps the IDL code much cleaner. So far this has worked pretty well for what I need to do.

-Mike

Subject: Re: database connection with idl without dataminer Posted by pashas77 on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 17:19:37 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Thanks for your response. That's kinda what I figured. Do you mind if I ask what database you guys are using. I'm playing the idea of Mysql with a C interface. So i was just trying to gauge how much work it would be.

Have a good one.

Sabir Pasha

Michael Wallace <mwallace.no.spam@no.spam.swri.edu.invalid> wrote in message news:<10ft2lln8rl1j43@corp.supernews.com>...

- >> I noticed this has come up every so often in the group. I wanted to
- >> ask if anybody has had any success in interface to a database(i.e.
- >> access or mysql) without the use of dataminer. We are currently using
- >> IDL in a windows XP environment.

>

- > The only way I know to communicate with a database without using
- > Dataminer is to use external code. I use Java to handle all of my
- > database interactions from IDL. You could potentially use C code to
- > handle the database communication if you're more familiar with C than Java.

>

- > The IDL-Java Bridge (new in IDL 6.0) allows you to create Java objects
- > within IDL. Since there are a plethora of classes within the java.sql
- > package, I wrote a couple of Java classes which act as a facade into the
- > package. By doing this, I only have to instantiate one or two Java
- > classes in IDL which keeps the IDL code much cleaner. So far this has
- > worked pretty well for what I need to do.

>

> -Mike