Subject: database connection with idl without dataminer
Posted by pashas77 on Wed, 21 Jul 2004 14:57:48 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I noticed this has come up every so often in the group. I wanted to ask if anybody has had any success in interface to a database(i.e. access or mysql) without the use of dataminer. We are currently using IDL in a windows XP environment.

We have our home-grown database, and now we are thinking of moving to a more formal database(most likely mysql). For a variety of reasons, we would like avoid the use of dataminer.

Any opinons or comments would be greatly apperciated.

Thank you very much

Sabir Pasha

Subject: Re: database connection with idl without dataminer
Posted by Michael Wallace on Fri, 23 Jul 2004 19:27:43 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Sabir Pasha wrote:
> Thanks for your response. That's kinda what I figured. Do you mind
> if I ask what database you guys are using. I'm playing the idea of
> Mysql with a C interface. So i was just trying to gauge how much work
> it would be.

We are currently using Oracle in our production databases. However, I have played around with MySQL and there the only minor differences with regards to the database connectivity.

To really gauge how much work it would be is dependent on whether you've done a lot of C database programming before. When I took on the task of integrating IDL and Java, it took a few hours to get something basic running, but I was already familiar with JDBC and the IDL-Java bridge.

> Have a good one.

I will. You too.

-Mike

Subject: Re: database connection with idl without dataminer

Posted by pashas77 on Mon, 26 Jul 2004 15:06:32 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I have a feeling, that I will use dlm's to implent the mysql-c api,
this seems too bad of an option. My inclination would be wrap a odbc
interface in an dlm, which is what dataminer essentially is. Man the
things you do to avoid buying something...:)

I very much apperciate your incite. Thank you

have a great day!

Sabir Pasha

Michael Wallace <mwallace.no.spam@no.spam.swri.edu.invalid> wrote in message
news:<10g2plikuthbi27@corp.supernews.com>...
> Sabir Pasha wrote:
>> Thanks for your response. That's kinda what I figured. Do you mind
>> if I ask what database you guys are using. I'm playing the idea of
>> Mysql with a C interface. So i was just trying to gauge how much work
>> it would be.
>
> We are currently using Oracle in our production databases. However, I
> have played around with MySQL and there the only minor differences with
> regards to the database connectivity.
>
> To really gauge how much work it would be is dependent on whether you've
> done a lot of C database programming before. When I took on the task of
> integrating IDL and Java, it took a few hours to get something basic
> running, but I was already familiar with JDBC and the IDL-Java bridge.
>
>> Have a good one.
>
> I will. You too.
>
> -Mike