Subject: Re: mpfit of parametric data?
Posted by Craig Markwardt on Wed, 28 Jul 2004 20:05:51 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"jamiesmyth_uni@yahoo.ca" <jamiesmyth_uni@yahoo.ca> writes:

- > I suppose this is really a question for Craig but I figure here is as
- > good a place to ask as any... Does anyone know how I can go about
- > fitting parametric data using MPFIT? I have done a fair bit of 1d
- > fitting with mpfit (MPFITEXPR) but I'm really stumped on this one. I
- > want to fit to the following parametric parameterisation:

> x = (a0+a1*t) + sin(a2*t+phase) > y = (b0+b1*t) + cos(b2*t+phase)

> where, a0, a1, a3, b0, b1, b2 and phase are all fit parameters.

> The intention is to try and fit the motion of a spinning top that

- > precesses. I have very long running (but noisy) time series data for
- > the x and y values. Alternatively, you can think of me having x(t) and
- > y(t) sampled at identical times. I am mainly interested in the phase
- > parameter.

>

>

> This is proving considerably more difficult than I expected it to be!

Greetings,

This is actually really easy.

You are actually trying to fit two functions simultaneously, X and Y. On the other hand, you could consider this to be one *single* function which has twice as many elements.

Your independent variable is still T, but your new function would be the concatenation of X and Y. For example,

$$U = [X, Y]$$

You do the same for your error or weight values. Within your function, you need to perform the same operations to join the model X and Y values into a single model function.

You may be worried that U and T are not of the same size, but that doesn't matter! Formally you don't even need an independent variable at all. It's just there as a convenience.

That's it. Happy fitting! Craig

Craig B. Markwardt, Ph.D. EMAIL: craigmnet@REMOVEcow.physics.wisc.edu Astrophysics, IDL, Finance, Derivatives | Remove "net" for better response

Subject: Re: mpfit of parametric data? Posted by jamiesmyth_uni@yahoo. on Thu, 29 Jul 2004 03:50:49 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Thanks for your reply Craig. I think I have a handle on fitting both X and Y but I've taken a step backward this evening. I am having a very difficult time fitting even one of these time series and I'm not entirely sure why. I've read the FAQ again...

Here is a quick and dirty example of what I observe. Essentially, I am completely unable to fit the sine oscillation unless I start with a very good first guess at the parameters. In particular, I cannot seem to fit both the linear trend and the oscillations. Can anyone take a stab at why this is so?

```
Thanks in advance.
Jamie
--- snip ---
function nutation_fm, p, X=x, Y=y, err=err, forward=fw
     linear terms + cosine w phase
model = p(0) + p(1)*x + p(2)*cos(p(3)*x + p(4))
if keyword set(fw) then return, model $
else return, (y-model)/err
end
;;; MAIN
; Create some realistic data (1% noise)
n = 1024; number of samples
t = dindgen(n) * 0.25 ; time
p0 = [3.02d, 0.0057, 0.03, 0.435, 2.3]; emperically determined to be
ok
data = nutation fm(p0,x=t,/forward) + 0.01 * randomn(seed, n, /double)
; Try and fit to the nutation model
err = dblarr(n)+0.02d; lax error
p1 = [1.0d, 1.0, 1.0, 1.0, 1.0]; initial guess parameters
f = {x:t, y:data, err:err}
;p = mpfit('nutation_fm', p0, functargs=f); use 'correct' first guess
p = mpfit('nutation_fm', p1, functargs=f)
```

```
: Plot the result
model = nutation_fm(p,x=t,/forward)
plot, (data-model)/err
End--- snip ---
> Greetings,
> This is actually really easy.
>
> You are actually trying to fit two functions simultaneously, X and Y.
> On the other hand, you could consider this to be one *single*
function
> which has twice as many elements.
  Your independent variable is still T, but your new function would be
> the concatenation of X and Y. For example,
> U = [X, Y]
> You do the same for your error or weight values. Within your
> function, you need to perform the same operations to join the model X
  and Y values into a single model function.
>
> You may be worried that U and T are not of the same size, but that
> doesn't matter! Formally you don't even need an independent variable
> at all. It's just there as a convenience.
>
> That's it. Happy fitting!
```

Subject: Re: mpfit of parametric data?
Posted by Craig Markwardt on Thu, 29 Jul 2004 05:56:08 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"jamiesmyth_uni@yahoo.ca" <jamiesmyth_uni@yahoo.ca> writes:

- > Thanks for your reply Craig. I think I have a handle on fitting both X
- > and Y but I've taken a step backward this evening. I am having a very
- > difficult time fitting even one of these time series and I'm not
- > entirely sure why. I've read the FAQ again...
- > Here is a quick and dirty example of what I observe. Essentially, I am
- > completely unable to fit the sine oscillation unless I start with a
- > very good first guess at the parameters. In particular, I cannot seem
- > to fit both the linear trend and the oscillations. Can anyone take a

> Craig

> stab at why this is so?

Greetings, this is not a big surprise. As I say on the FAQ page, choosing the initial parameters is one of the key elements in getting a good fit. This is especially true for an oscillatory function such as yours, which can have multiple minima. Your initial guess, P1 = [1,1,1,1,1], is too far from the global solution, so MPFIT gets trapped at a different local minimum.

In these types of situations, you will probably have to proceed iteratively. For example, first removing long term trends, then fitting periodic signals. You may have to perform a Fourier transform to locate periodic signals and to provide a good inital estimate of the period/frequency.

Good luck, Craig	
Craig B. Markwardt, Ph.D. EMAIL: craigmnet@REMOVEcow.physics.wisc.edu Astrophysics, IDL, Finance, Derivatives Remove "net" for better response	