
Subject: The answer: overcome postscript transparency ?
Posted by [Klemens Barfus](#) on Mon, 23 Aug 2004 09:37:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello together,

Sometimes the solution to your problem is sitting next to you - but not on Saturdays, when I posted the first message :-)

The transparency was created by the ghostview anti aliasing algorithm and not by the IDL program. Changing the display settings in ghostview or displaying the postscript in Photoshop determined the -transparency- .

Klemens

Klemens Barfus wrote:

```
> Hello David,  
> there seems to be the possibility for transparency in postscript plots.  
> Googling I found something called the alpha transparency described for  
> example in  
> www.tinaja.com/glib/alphadem.pdf  
> The question is now: is there keyword in IDL to change these  
> transparency ? Or do I have to change / can I change the transparency in  
> the postscript file, when I open it with the text editor ?  
> Searching for the word alpha in the postscript file, I have not found it.  
> - by the way: I use IDL Version 5.2.1 -  
>  
> Thanks for your help !  
>  
> Klemens  
>  
>  
> David Fanning wrote:  
>  
>> Klemens Barfus writes:  
>>  
>>  
>>> is there any chance to overcome the transparency of postscript plots.  
>>> Making a 3 dimensional plot, the post script output looks bad because  
>>> of the transparency of the -poly- filled areas. But I do not know in  
>>> advance, which areas have to be plotted because they can be seen in  
>>> the completed plot. Otherwise, the same plot in tif format looks bad  
>>> even if I scale up the window to 2400 x 2400 pixel, espacially the  
>>> font, but the lines, too.  
>>> -working with direct graphics-  
>>> Any suggestions ?  
>>  
>>
```

>>
>> As far as I know, there is no "transparency" in PostScript
>> output. If you are having problems with this, it is probably due to
>> the order in which things are being
>> drawn. Can we see some code? A picture of a plot?
>> Something that might give us a clue?
>>
>> Cheers,
>>
>> David
>>
>
