## Subject: Re: Object Graphics Vector Output Posted by Antonio Santiago on Wed, 15 Sep 2004 06:25:02 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Sorry, i'm on Solaris & IDL6.1.

Executed with IDL6.0 result the same and with or without /NOTEXT too.

I hope it will be usefull for you.

```
Antonio Santiago wrote:
> hi, here is my output in a screenshot.
>
>
 lam A. Lurker wrote:
>
>> In the trivial code below, I get two axes of different thickness and I
>> am not sure why. Has anyone else run into this when using the NOTEXT
>> keyword with IDLgrAxis?
>>
>> <grumble>Looking back through my notebook (i.e. 6 months), I seem to
>> have an awful lot of postscript plots with multiple axes where the
>> first 'notext' axis ismuch thicker than the others</grumble>
>>
>> Thanks,
   lam
>>
>> Linux & OS X; IDL 6.0 & 5.6
>>
>>
>> oModel = obj_new('IDLgrModel')
>>
>> ; Removing NOTEXT makes the axes the same thickness?!?
>> oXAxis1 = obj_new('IDLgrAxis', 0, /NOTEXT, THICK=1, TICKLEN=0.02)
>> oYAxis1 = obj_new('IDLgrAxis', 1, /NOTEXT, THICK=1, TICKLEN=0.02)
>>
>> oModel -> Add, oXAxis1
>> oModel -> Add, oYAxis1
>>
>> oView = obj_new('IDLgrView', viewplane_rect=[-0.5,-0.5,2,2])
>> oView -> add, oModel
>>
>> oWindow = obj_new('IDLgrWindow', GRAPHICS_TREE=oView,
>> DIMENSIONS=[500,500])
>> oWindow -> erase
>> oWindow -> draw
>>
```

Subject: Re: Object Graphics Vector Output Posted by btt on Wed, 15 Sep 2004 12:04:31 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

#### lam A. Lurker wrote:

- > In the trivial code below, I get two axes of different thickness and I
- > am not sure why. Has anyone else run into this when using the NOTEXT
- > keyword with IDLgrAxis?

>

- > <grumble>Looking back through my notebook (i.e. 6 months), I seem to
- > have an awful lot of postscript plots with multiple axes where the
- > first 'notext' axis ismuch thicker than the others</grumble>

>

- > Thanks,
- > lam

----

> Linux & OS X; IDL 6.0 & 5.6

Hello,

Hmmm. When I run your example, the axes have the same thickness in MacOS 10.3.5 running idl version...

IDL> print, !version { ppc darwin unix Mac OS X 6.1 Jul 14 2004 32 32}

Have you looked at these before printing them, perhaps with Preview? Do they have varying thicknesses in Preview?

Ben

Subject: Re: Object Graphics Vector Output

# Posted by David Fanning on Wed, 15 Sep 2004 12:06:43 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

### Antonio Santiago writes:

- > Sorry, i'm on Solaris & IDL6.1.
- > Executed with IDL6.0 result the same and with or without /NOTEXT too.

>

> I hope it will be usefull for you.

No line thickness difference in IDL 6.0 and 6.1 on Windows XP. I would suspect a graphics card, frankly. :-)

Have you tried this with software rendering?

Cheers.

David

--

David W. Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/ Phone: 970-221-0438, IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155

## Subject: Re: Object Graphics Vector Output Posted by Iam A. Lurker on Wed, 15 Sep 2004 13:49:48 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

> Hmmm.

>

- > When I run your example, the axes have the same thickness in MacOS 10.3.5
- > running idl version...

>

- > IDL> print, !version
- > { ppc darwin unix Mac OS X 6.1 Jul 14 2004 32 32}

>

- > Have you looked at these before printing them, perhaps with Preview?
  Do they
- > have varying thicknesses in Preview?

>

> Ben

IDL> print, !version { ppc darwin unix Mac OS X 6.0.3 Feb 26 2004 32 32}

Yes, they do have one thick line when I vew in preview. For what it is

worth, my primary computer is a 1GHz TiBook using a 64MB ATI Radeon 9000 mobility graphics card (http://www.apple.com/pr/library/2002/nov/06pbg4.html). I too am running 10.3.5 with Apple's X11.

I've tried setting Idl.renderer = 1 in ~/Xdefaults but to no avail.

I just tried using 6.1 and, in general, the axes and text in look to be much improved. Most importantly, both axes have the same thickness!! Sadly, this is only an eval license and it isn't clear if/when we'll upgrade to 6.1 (5.1 -> 6.0 last year).

Subject: Re: Object Graphics Vector Output Posted by Karl Schultz on Wed, 15 Sep 2004 15:21:03 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
"lam A. Lurker" <lam.a.Lurker@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ci9h9s$qq8@odah37.prod.google.com...
>> Hmmm.
>>
>> When I run your example, the axes have the same thickness in MacOS
> 10.3.5
>> running idl version...
>> IDL> print, !version
>> { ppc darwin unix Mac OS X 6.1 Jul 14 2004
                                                  32
                                                        32}
>> Have you looked at these before printing them, perhaps with Preview?
> Do they
>> have varying thicknesses in Preview?
>>
>> Ben
>
> IDL> print, !version
> { ppc darwin unix Mac OS X 6.0.3 Feb 26 2004
                                                    32
                                                          32}
>
> Yes, they do have one thick line when I vew in preview. For what it is
> worth, my primary computer is a 1GHz TiBook using a 64MB ATI Radeon
> 9000 mobility graphics card
(http://www.apple.com/pr/library/2002/nov/06pbg4.html). I too am
> running 10.3.5 with Apple's X11.
 I've tried setting Idl.renderer = 1 in \sim/Xdefaults but to no avail.
>
> I just tried using 6.1 and, in general, the axes and text in look to be
> much improved. Most importantly, both axes have the same thickness!!
> Sadly, this is only an eval license and it isn't clear if/when we'll
```

```
> upgrade to 6.1 (5.1 -> 6.0 last year).
```

Yes, this does seem to be fixed in IDL 6.1. We fixed a lot of issues with vector output in this release.

Also, the graphics card shouldn't have any effect on vector output. And selecting hardware/software rendering also has no effect on vector output.

It is easy to see the difference by looking at the generated PostScript, rather than relying on some view tool.

In 6.0 we had the following (clearly wrong) PostScript code to draw the main axis lines:

3 setlinewidth n 375 375 M 1125 375 L .... 1 setlinewidth [] 0 setdash n 375 375 M 375 1125 L

In 6.1:

3.52778 setlinewidth [] 0 setdash 0 0 0 R ... 375 375 M 375 1125 L

... 375 375 M 1125 375 L

So, there is a very definite difference.

Karl

Subject: Re: Object Graphics Vector Output Posted by Iam A. Lurker on Wed, 15 Sep 2004 15:55:27 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I'm not sure how 'clear' it is to me looking at that... the whole

reason I use IDL is so that I don't need to look at postscript code;) Thanks. Perhaps I'll need to consider upgrading again...

Subject: Re: Object Graphics Vector Output Posted by Mark Hadfield on Thu, 16 Sep 2004 04:20:25 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

### Karl Schultz wrote:

>

- > Yes, this does seem to be fixed in IDL 6.1. We fixed a lot of issues with
- > vector output in this release.

>

And introduced a bug: text appears several times too large when a view has its PROJECTION property set to 2 (perspective). Randall Skelton brought this to my attention today and I narrowed it down. I've reported it to support@rsinc.com, but this seemed like a good place to mention it :-)

--

Mark Hadfield "Ka puwaha te tai nei, Hoea tatou" m.hadfield@niwa.co.nz
National Institute for Water and Atmospheric Research (NIWA)

Subject: Re: Object Graphics Vector Output Posted by Mark Hadfield on Thu, 16 Sep 2004 23:53:55 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

#### Karl Schultz wrote:

- > "lam A. Lurker" <lam.a.Lurker@gmail.com> wrote in message
- > news:ci9h9s\$qq8@odah37.prod.google.com...

>

- >> I just tried using 6.1 and, in general, the axes and text in look to be
- >> much improved. Most importantly, both axes have the same thickness!!
- >> Sadly, this is only an eval license and it isn't clear if/when we'll
- >> upgrade to 6.1 (5.1 -> 6.0 last year).

>>

>

- > Yes, this does seem to be fixed in IDL 6.1. We fixed a lot of issues with
- > vector output in this release.

My experience is quite different (apart from the text-size bug I mentioned in another posting in this thread). I find, like the original poster, that postscript vector output from IDL 6.1 looks very poor mainly due (I think) to lines having very narrow widths.

The following URLs point to EPS and PNG files of a simple line plot

produced by IDL 6.0 and 6.1 on Windows:

```
ftp://ftp.niwa.co.nz/incoming/m.hadfield/X-Y_line_plot_6.0.e ps.gz ftp://ftp.niwa.co.nz/incoming/m.hadfield/X-Y_line_plot_6.0.p ng ftp://ftp.niwa.co.nz/incoming/m.hadfield/X-Y_line_plot_6.1.e ps.gz ftp://ftp.niwa.co.nz/incoming/m.hadfield/X-Y_line_plot_6.1.p ng
```

When I view the EPS file produced by version 6.1 with Gsview, the text looks fine, but the axis lines are barely visible and the tick marks not visible at all. Ditto when I print it to a Postscript printer.

These are all produced from a view with UNITS = 2 and DIMENSIONS 12.15 x 12.15 (cm). The RESOLUTION of the IDLgrClipboard object from which the EPS files were drawn was 0.028 (which my code takes from an IDLgrWindow object to make the various forms of output as similar as possible, but it makes no difference if I use the IDLgrClipboard default of 0.035).

Mark Hadfield "Ka puwaha te tai nei, Hoea tatou" m.hadfield@niwa.co.nz
National Institute for Water and Atmospheric Research (NIWA)

Subject: Re: Object Graphics Vector Output Posted by Randall Skelton on Wed, 29 Sep 2004 20:09:06 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

- > My experience is quite different (apart from the text-size bug I
- > mentioned in another posting in this thread). I find, like the original
- > poster, that postscript vector output from IDL 6.1 looks very poor
- > mainly due (I think) to lines having very narrow widths.

Having learned the bulk of my object graphics knowledge from reading through Mark's code, I am either falling into the same pitfalls or I generally agree with his comments. In the code below, I observe the following:

- (1) The box and the vertical/horizontal lines are of slightly different thickness. Pay particular attention to the bottom of the box when previewing or printing
- (2) The ordering of the filled polygon objects is consistently incorrect. No matter what I try, I cannot get filled polygon (within the same model) to lie beneath polylines. I would like black lines around the red box!

I realise these are a little pedantic compared with the original post

where an axis itself is of different width but it is a bit of an annoyance nevertheless. Depending on the resolution settings, the lines can get quite thick and the difference becomes more apparent.

Are these bugs in IDL are bugs in the code below?

Cheers. Randall Create the plot canvas (roughly one letter sized sheet of paper) CanvasDim = [19.25,24.75]oCanvasView = obj\_new('IDLgrView', UNITS=2, DIMENSION=CanvasDim, \$ VIEWPLANE\_RECT=[0,0,1,1]) Create the parameter box (top) ParamDim = [19.0, 5.0]; cm : Boxes and lines oParamBox = obj\_new('IDLgrPolyline', [0,1,1,0,0], [0,0,1,1,0]) oTitleBox = obj\_new('IDLgrPolygon', [0.95,1.0,1.0,0.95], \$ [0.0,0.0,1.0,1.0], COLOR=[255,0,0], \$ DEPTH\_OFFSET=1) ; Vertical line oTitleLine = obj\_new('IDLgrPolyline', [0.95,0.95], [0,1]) ; Horizontal line oParamLine = obj\_new('IDLgrPolyline', [0.00,0.95,0.95,0.00], \$ [0.85, 0.85, 1.00, 1.00]: Parameter Model oParamModel = obj\_new('IDLgrModel', NAME='Parameters') oParamModel -> add, oTitleBox oParamModel -> add, oTitleLine oParamModel -> add, oParamBox oParamModel -> add, oParamLine : Create the parameter view oParamView = obj\_new('IDLgrView', UNITS=2, DIMENSION=ParamDim, \$ LOCATION=[0.125, CanvasDim[1]-ParamDim[1]-0.125], /TRANSPARENT, \$ VIEWPLANE\_RECT=[0,0,1,1]) oParamView -> add, oParamModel

```
; Group the objects
ogroup = obj_new('IDLgrViewgroup')
ogroup -> Add, oCanvasView
ogroup -> Add, oParamView

owin = obj_new('IDLgrWindow', GRAPHICS_TREE=ogroup, UNITS=2, $
DIMENSIONS=CanvasDim)
owin -> draw

resolution = 1.0/CanvasDim * 0.5

oclip = obj_new('IDLgrClipboard', GRAPHICS_TREE=ogroup, UNITS=2, $
DIMENSIONS=CanvasDim, RESOLUTION=resolution)
oclip -> draw, FILENAME='test_vector.eps', /VECTOR, /POSTSCRIPT
oclip -> draw, FILENAME='test_bitmap.eps', /POSTSCRIPT
```