
Subject: Re: event_pro for compound widgets -- fsc_field issues and handler field
Posted by [Benjamin Hornberger](#) on Wed, 08 Sep 2004 18:39:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

David Fanning wrote:

> Benjamin Hornberger writes:

>

>

>> I am quite confused now and can't figure out how to write a compound
>> widget which has an event_pro keyword and behaves like a regular basic
>> widget. Sorry for the lengthy message...

>>

>> My compound widget is supposed to control a stepping motor and will
>> consist of a non-editable field with the current position, editable text
>> fields for "move to position" and "move by distance", a slider to set a
>> step size and two buttons to move forward and backward in steps whose
>> step size is set by the slider. As text fields, I am using David
>> Fanning's fsc_field.

>>

>> This compound widget I want to include in other widgets by something like

>>

>> motor1_id = cw_move_motor(parent, ..., event_pro = 'motor1_event')

>>

>> When I change the step size of the slider, this should only affect the
>> CW itself (updating its internal "stepsize" value and a displayed
>> number"). I could manage to do that already.

>>

>> All other events (hitting enter in the moveto or moveby field, or
>> clicking the forward / backward buttons) should send an event structure
>> to motor1_event.pro (something like { CW_MOVE_MOTOR, id: id, top: top,
>> handler: handler, type: 0L, moveby: 0D, moveto: 0D, stepsize: 0D } where
>> type holds a code for whether it was a moveto or moveby request, etc.).

>>

>> Then, of course I would want to write

>>

>> PRO motor1_event, event

>>

>> ...
>> IF event.type EQ 0 ...

>>

>>

>> Now, what do I do? In the CW definition function, I define
>> event_func='cw_move_motor_event' for my fsc_fields, buttons etc., This
>> cw_move_motor_event.pro will create and return the desired event
>> structure. But where is that returned to?

>>

>> And of course I have to define a keyword event_pro in my CW definition
>> function which will hold a string with the event handler procedure's
>> name. How do I make sure that in case of an event, my event structure is

```

>> created and passed to that procedure?
>
>
> You are on the right track here, in fact you have done almost
> everything right. Almost. :-)
>
> The way event handling works is that an event that gets into
> an event handler *procedure* is acted upon and the event is
> then said to be "swallowed". It doesn't go any further.
>
> But if the event handler is a *function*, there are other
> possibilities. Functions return values. If the return value
> from an event handler function is a structure, and if that
> structure has ID, TOP, and HANDLER fields, then the return
> value is treated as if it were an event structure, and the
> event "bubbles up" from that event handler in the normal
> way all events "bubble up" a widget hierarchy.
>
> So, if your compound widget event handler is a function (use
> the EVENT_FUNC keyword instead of the EVENT_PRO keyword to
> assign it), then you have the possibility of having an event
> "bubble up" to the next higher widget in the widget hierarchy,
> i.e., the parent of the compound widget. If you don't want the
> event to bubble up (that is, you wish to swallow the event),
> then just return something from your event handler function
> that is not a structure. (A zero is typically used.)
>
> *But* you want to be able to *assign* an event handler to
> the compound widget (and who wouldn't?). So you are
> probably going to have to create EVENT_PRO (and, to be
> complete) EVENT_FUNC keywords for your compound widget,
> and store the results in your info structure.
>
> So then, you get to the end of the compound widget event
> handler, and you have some choices to make:
>
>   myEvent = {ID:info.tlb, TOP:event.top, HANDLER:0L, ...}
>
>   ; Do I want to return anything? No!?
>   IF handled THEN RETURN, 0
>
>   ; Did the user provide an EVENT_PRO?
>   IF info.event_pro NE "" THEN BEGIN
>     Call_Procedure, info.event_pro, myEvent
>     RETURN, 0
>   ENDIF
>
>   ; Did the user provide an EVENT_FUNC?

```

```

> IF info.event_func NE "" THEN BEGIN
>   ok = Call_Function(info.event_pro, myEvent)
>   RETURN, 0
> ENDIF
>
> ; Do I want to pass the event along to my parent? Yes!
> RETURN, myEvent
>
> That should get you going. :-)
>
> Cheers,
>
> David
>

```

Thanks, David, that helped a lot. Actually I was almost getting there myself after finding the right section in the IDL help. One more thing I wanted to ask:

Is there a reason that `fsc_field` will handle all events internally unless `event_pro` or `event_func` are specified? Which means, it's not possible to have events "bubble up" the hierarchy directly (or is it?).

I am using `fsc_fields` in my compound widget and want to have their events handled by the CW's base's event handler. It is important that `event.handler` is that base's ID because I store the CW's internal variables in the first child's user value (as recommended by the IDL help) and I access that in the event handler by `widget_info(event.handler, /child)`. If I specify the event handler for the `fsc_fields` directly (using the same function as for the CW's base), `event.handler` will be wrong.

Currently, I am working around by specifying an `event_func='fsc_field_event'` for all `fsc_fields`, which reads

```

FUNCTION fsc_field_event, event
  return, event
END

```

which will make the `fsc_fields` handle their events externally while having the event bubble up the hierarchy (yes, I understood the point you were describing above!). That works, but seems a little ugly.

Ok, since I have a workaround it's not serious. I was just wondering why `fsc_field` behaves like that.

Thanks for your help,

Subject: Re: event_pro for compound widgets -- fsc_field issues and handler field
Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Thu, 09 Sep 2004 12:31:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Benjamin Hornberger writes:

- > Is there a reason that fsc_field will handle all events internally
- > unless event_pro or event_func are specified? Which means, it's not
- > possible to have events "bubble up" the hierarchy directly (or is it?).

Oh, it is probably possible. I just have never used field widgets that would do that, so it never occurred to me to implement the capability. I prefer dumb fields that just collect information. When I am interested in what is in the fields I inquire of them directly, test the values, etc. Otherwise, the only event I could possibly imagine being interested in is a Carriage Return. But you could certainly modify FSC_FIELD to "bubble" events to the parent.

- > I am using fsc_fields in my compound widget and want to have their
- > events handled by the CW's base's event handler. It is important that
- > event.handler is that base's ID because I store the CW's internal
- > variables in the first child's user value (as recommended by the IDL
- > help) and I access that in the event handler by
- > widget_info(event.handler, /child). If I specify the event handler for
- > the fsc_fields directly (using the same function as for the CW's base),
- > event.handler will be wrong.

My first thought, if I were trying to work around this, would be to put the ID of the widget I wanted to find in the FSC_FIELD user value. Then, in the assigned event handler, I could just look in the user value of event.id for the "handler" widget.

- > Currently, I am working around by specifying an
- > event_func='fsc_field_event' for all fsc_fields, which reads
- >
- > FUNCTION fsc_field_event, event
- > return, event
- > END
- >
- > which will make the fsc_fields handle their events externally while
- > having the event bubble up the hierarchy (yes, I understood the point
- > you were describing above!). That works, but seems a little ugly.

Yes, well if the author was writing for others more than he was writing for himself, he would probably think more about these issues in advance. As it is, there are only 24 hours in a day. :-)

>

> Ok, since I have a workaround it's not serious. I was just wondering why
> fsc_field behaves like that.

Laziness, basically. :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David W. Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Phone: 970-221-0438, IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155
