

---

Subject: NVIDIA Quadro FX 4000 Card (Any experience in using with IDL)

Posted by [gambler\\_1650](#) on Thu, 18 Nov 2004 14:13:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Greetings all,

I'm an IDL programmer at National Marine Fisheries and was wondering if anyone has had any experience using an NVIDIA Quadro FX 4000 card with IDL. We're looking for a workstation card due to the graphically intensive programs we hope to write down the road. It supports OpenGL, and we're hoping to also use it under linux so if anyone also happens to be using linux, IDL and said card or even earlier models of the Quadro FX line... (yeah, I know, probably pretty unlikely), any real world info would be helpful.

Thanks,  
Robert Gamble

---

---

Subject: Re: NVIDIA Quadro FX 4000 Card (Any experience in using with IDL)

Posted by [Matt Feinstein](#) on Thu, 18 Nov 2004 15:38:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 18 Nov 2004 06:13:31 -0800, gambler\_1650@yahoo.com (Robert Gamble) wrote:

> I'm an IDL programmer at National Marine Fisheries and was wondering  
> if anyone has had any experience using an NVIDIA Quadro FX 4000 card  
> with IDL. We're looking for a workstation card due to the graphically  
> intensive programs we hope to write down the road. It supports  
> OpenGL, and we're hoping to also use it under linux so if anyone also  
> happens to be using linux, IDL and said card or even earlier models of  
> the Quadro FX line... (yeah, I know, probably pretty unlikely), any  
> real world info would be helpful.

I have a Quadro FX 1000 card on my workstation, and it works with all applications. I also do OpenGL programming, and it works for that too.

As with just about any recent nVidia (or ATI) card, the only real requirement is to have the current video driver installed. For the Quadro cards, you've got to be somewhat careful about the power demands-- they are significantly higher than for, say, an off-the-shelf FX 5200 (which also works and is much cheaper).

FYI, here is the output of a program that queries the various extension strings and some framebuffer parameters:

---

alpha = 8 blue = 8 red = 8 green = 8  
 depth bits = 24 texture size = 4096 viewport size = (4096, 4096)  
 acc alpha = 16 acc red = 16 acc green = 16 acc blue = 16  
 max clip planes = 6 max vertices = 4096 max indices = 4096 stencil  
 bits = 0  
 Vendor = NVIDIA Corporation  
 Renderer = Quadro FX 1000/AGP/SSE2  
 OpenGL version = 1.5.1  
 Gl extensions = GL\_ARB\_depth\_texture GL\_ARB\_fragment\_program  
 GL\_ARB\_fragment\_program\_shadow GL\_ARB\_fragment\_shader GL\_ARB\_imaging  
 GL\_ARB\_multisample GL\_ARB\_multitexture GL\_ARB\_occlusion\_query  
 GL\_ARB\_point\_parameters GL\_ARB\_point\_sprite GL\_ARB\_shadow  
 GL\_ARB\_shader\_objects GL\_ARB\_shading\_language\_100  
 GL\_ARB\_texture\_border\_clamp GL\_ARB\_texture\_compression  
 GL\_ARB\_texture\_cube\_map GL\_ARB\_texture\_env\_add  
 GL\_ARB\_texture\_env\_combine GL\_ARB\_texture\_env\_dot3  
 GL\_ARB\_texture\_mirrored\_repeat GL\_ARB\_transpose\_matrix  
 GL\_ARB\_vertex\_buffer\_object GL\_ARB\_vertex\_program GL\_ARB\_vertex\_shader  
 GL\_ARB\_window\_pos GL\_S3\_s3tc GL\_EXT\_texture\_env\_add GL\_EXT\_abgr  
 GL\_EXT\_bgra GL\_EXT\_blend\_color GL\_EXT\_blend\_func\_separate  
 GL\_EXT\_blend\_minmax GL\_EXT\_blend\_subtract GL\_EXT\_compiled\_vertex\_array  
 GL\_EXT\_Cg\_shader GL\_EXT\_draw\_range\_elements GL\_EXT\_fog\_coord  
 GL\_EXT\_multi\_draw\_arrays GL\_EXT\_packed\_pixels GL\_EXT\_paletted\_texture  
 GL\_EXT\_pixel\_buffer\_object GL\_EXT\_point\_parameters  
 GL\_EXT\_rescale\_normal GL\_EXT\_secondary\_color  
 GL\_EXT\_separate\_specular\_color GL\_EXT\_shadow\_funcs  
 GL\_EXT\_shared\_texture\_palette GL\_EXT\_stencil\_two\_side  
 GL\_EXT\_stencil\_wrap GL\_EXT\_texture3D GL\_EXT\_texture\_compression\_s3tc  
 GL\_EXT\_texture\_cube\_map GL\_EXT\_texture\_edge\_clamp  
 GL\_EXT\_texture\_env\_combine GL\_EXT\_texture\_env\_dot3  
 GL\_EXT\_texture\_filter\_anisotropic GL\_EXT\_texture\_lod  
 GL\_EXT\_texture\_lod\_bias GL\_EXT\_texture\_object GL\_EXT\_vertex\_array  
 GL\_HP\_occlusion\_test GL\_IBM\_rasterpos\_clip  
 GL\_IBM\_texture\_mirrored\_repeat GL\_KTX\_buffer\_region GL\_NV\_blend\_square  
 GL\_NV\_copy\_depth\_to\_color GL\_NV\_depth\_clamp GL\_NV\_fence  
 GL\_NV\_float\_buffer GL\_NV\_fog\_distance GL\_NV\_fragment\_program  
 GL\_NV\_fragment\_program\_option GL\_NV\_half\_float  
 GL\_NV\_light\_max\_exponent GL\_NV\_multisample\_filter\_hint  
 GL\_NV\_occlusion\_query GL\_NV\_packed\_depth\_stencil  
 GL\_NV\_pixel\_data\_range GL\_NV\_point\_sprite GL\_NV\_primitive\_restart  
 GL\_NV\_register\_combiners GL\_NV\_register\_combiners2  
 GL\_NV\_texgen\_reflection GL\_NV\_texture\_compression\_vtc  
 GL\_NV\_texture\_env\_combine4 GL\_NV\_texture\_expand\_normal  
 GL\_NV\_texture\_rectangle GL\_NV\_texture\_shader GL\_NV\_texture\_shader2  
 GL\_NV\_texture\_shader3 GL\_NV\_vertex\_array\_range  
 GL\_NV\_vertex\_array\_range2 GL\_NV\_vertex\_program GL\_NV\_vertex\_program1\_1  
 GL\_NV\_vertex\_program2 GL\_NV\_vertex\_program2\_option

GL\_SGIS\_generate\_mipmap GL\_SGIS\_texture\_lod GL\_SGIX\_depth\_texture  
GL\_SGIX\_shadow GL\_SUN\_slice\_accum GL\_WIN\_swap\_hint  
WGL\_EXT\_swap\_control GL\_Autodesk\_valid\_back\_buffer\_hint  
Glu version = 1.2.2.0 Microsoft Corporation  
Glu extensions = GL\_EXT\_bgra  
wgl extensions = WGL\_ARB\_buffer\_region WGL\_ARB\_extensions\_string  
WGL\_ARB\_make\_current\_read WGL\_ARB\_multisample WGL\_ARB\_pbuffer  
WGL\_ARB\_pixel\_format WGL\_ARB\_render\_texture WGL\_EXT\_extensions\_string  
WGL\_EXT\_swap\_control WGL\_NV\_float\_buffer WGL\_NV\_render\_depth\_texture  
WGL\_NV\_render\_texture\_rectangle WGL\_I3D\_genlock WGL\_NV\_swap\_group  
Pbuffer extensions initialized:  
wglCreatePbufferARB  
wglGetPbufferDCARB  
wglReleasePbufferDCARB  
wglDestroyPbufferARB  
wglQueryPbufferARB  
wglGetPixelFormatAttribfvARB  
wglGetPixelFormatAttribivARB  
wglChoosePixelFormatARB

-----  
Matt Feinstein

--

There is no virtue in believing something that can be proved to be true.

---

---

Subject: Re: NVIDIA Quadro FX 4000 Card (Any experience in using with IDL)  
Posted by [netnews.comcast.net](http://netnews.comcast.net) on Fri, 19 Nov 2004 05:36:03 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Robert Gamble wrote:

> Greetings all,  
>  
> I'm an IDL programmer at National Marine Fisheries and was wondering  
> if anyone has had any experience using an NVIDIA Quadro FX 4000 card  
> with IDL.

Hey Robert,

FWIW, I don't think it is worth dropping that kind of cash on a  
"professional" graphics adapter. The industry changes so fast that  
you'll get a lot more bang-for-buck dropping \$300/year on a card instead  
of \$2k every 3 years or so (unless Mike is willing to buy you a new  
Quadro each year :)

It would be nice if we could bench the Quadro/Geforce and FireGL/Radeon

cards in IDL. Each of these products pairs are based on the same silicon. My suspicion is that the gain in performance over the equivalent consumer cards in IDL is small (10-15%).

Take all of this with a shaker of salt. The last quadro I worked with was based on the geforce 2 core. That being said, the way ATI and nVidia market these products hasn't changed.

Give me a shout if you have any questions.

-Rick works at NMFS too Towler

---

---

Subject: Re: NVIDIA Quadro FX 4000 Card (Any experience in using with IDL)  
Posted by [netnews.comcast.net](mailto:netnews.comcast.net) on Sat, 20 Nov 2004 06:16:55 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

netnews.comcast.net wrote:

> It would be nice if we could bench the Quadro/Geforce and FireGL/Radeon  
> cards in IDL. Each of these products pairs are based on the same  
> silicon. My suspicion is that the gain in performance over the  
> equivalent consumer cards in IDL is small (10-15%).

Has anyone with a Radeon card looked into the soft FireGL mods out there? Adrian Wong over at rojakpot.com put together a guide on the freefiregl soft mod:

<http://www.rojakpot.com/default.aspx?location=3&var1=105 &var2=0>

Anyone with a newer radeon card willing to give it a go and report their findings?

It's a nVidia shop around here so I haven't played with this. Supposedly there are similar soft mods for nVidia cards but the last time I tried (2+ years) they didn't do much. Maybe it is time for me to investigate this again.

-Rick

---