

---

Subject: Re: Array extraction, multiple slices from paired values

Posted by [Andrew\[2\]](#) on Sat, 05 Feb 2005 04:12:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hopefully my reply is what you meant,

you can extract the data at the points you want by doing the following

```
new_data=subdata[loc[0,*],loc[1,*],*]
```

This would give you a 4x4xt array for new\_data that is sampled at the locations specified in LOC

Cheers  
Andrew

---

---

Subject: Re: Array extraction, multiple slices from paired values

Posted by [Mariolncandenza](#) on Sat, 05 Feb 2005 23:01:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Not what I wanted. See, each row of LOC is an XY pair corresponding to a location. So the 4x4xt output from the command in your post gives me the 4 time series I want, plus 12 others I don't want, and doesn't get me any closer to extracting them. I need to get 2-dimensional output.

In loop form, this would work like this:

```
WHAT_I_WANT = fltarr(NLOC,NT)
```

```
for I=0,NLOC-1 do WHAT_I_WANT[I,*]=SUBDATA[LOC[0,I],LOC[1,I],*]
```

Which works great, but that step is currently 75% of the total processor time for my script, which seems excessive, since it's not a processing step at all but a simple subset.

---

---

Subject: Re: Array extraction, multiple slices from paired values

Posted by [Chris Lee](#) on Sun, 06 Feb 2005 12:43:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In article <1107644491.108963.151620@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>, "Mariolncandenza" <ejhyer@gmail.com> wrote:

> Not what I wanted. See, each row of LOC is an XY pair corresponding to a  
> location. So the 4x4xt output from the command in your post gives me the  
> 4 time series I want, plus 12 others I don't want, and doesn't get me  
> any closer to extracting them. I need to get 2-dimensional output. In  
> loop form, this would work like this: WHAT\_I\_WANT = fltarr(NLOC,NT)

- > for l=0,NLOC-1 do WHAT\_I\_WANT[l,\*]=SUBDATA[LOC[0,l],LOC[1,l],\*] Which
- > works great, but that step is currently 75% of the total processor time
- > for my script, which seems excessive, since it's not a processing step
- > at all but a simple subset.

Hi,

If you want to access individual elements you have to explicitly index all of the dimensions, to get the element pairs you want you need to index the array as...

```
; data(nx, ny, nz)
; x(nr) & y(nr)
; res = data[x#replicate(1,nz), y#replicate(1,nz), replicate(1,nr)#lindgen(nz)]
```

The following shows it working with data(100,100,100) and x(100), y(100). For these numbers you get a factor of 2 improvement. If you try and subset data from an array with more than three dimensions, REPLICATE won't work and you need REFORM & REBIN. (The 'i' loops are simply for timing purposes)

```
nx=100 & ny=100 & nz=100 & nr=1000
data=randomu(100,nx,ny,nz)
x=long(randomu(200,nr)*nx)
y=long(randomu(300,nr)*ny)
```

```
res=fltarr(nr,nz)
```

```
t1=systime(1)
for i=0, 1000 do for j=0, nr-1 do res[j,*]=data[x[j],y[j],*]
t2=systime(1)
```

```
rz=replicate(1L,nz)
rr=replicate(1L,nr)
lz=lindgen(nz)
t3=systime(1)
for i=0, 1000 do res2=data[x#rz,y#rz,rr#lz]
t4=systime(1)
```

```
print, "Loop method: ",t2-t1
print, "Explicit index: ",t4-t3
```

```
;Chris
```