Subject: Nice ways to compile
Posted by Robert Barnett on Sun, 10 Apr 2005 02:38:37 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi,

I'm looking for an easier way to compile my code into a .sav file. It would save a considerable of time an effort if compilation was just one step only. It would also make it much more repoducible and less bug prone.

I've included a synopsis of how I go about things starting with my development workflow:

- \* Program concept and design
- \* Demonstrate concept using snippets of code and interactive mode
- \* Package the code so it can be easily accessed and reused
- \* Test the package, particularily for interopability with other pakcages.
- \* Continually refine test and refine package until it does what it is supposed to do
- + Complile the package and depenancies to a save file and run in an IDL VM "sandbox" environment.
- \* Briefly test that it works in the VM as I would expect it to.
- \* Install application on target computer.

I develop using emacs. I expect to be able to compile my IDL packages with a single UNIX script (step marked as a +). I also expect that my compilation depends solely on the contents of the filesystem rather than the current state of the IDL command prompt. This ensures that I can always return to a snapshot of my idl directory as it was when I compiled my code.

For example, I currently compile like the following:

./compiler.pl ../deployed/ra\_dualrenal.sav robbies\_tools/ snaptools/ renal\_analysis/

compiler.pl is just a simple perl script with the syntax:

./compiler <save file> <path1> <path2> ...

compiler.pl compiles all .pro files in any sub-directories in the given paths. I always run a cvs commit or do a backup of my IDL directory when I do this step.

I appreciate that

there are smart compilation scripts out there, but I prefer to specify

each path individually because I use call\_method, call\_procedure, call\_function and obj\_new quite a bit. I also cannot afford to find out that I missed a dependacy buried somewhere in a widget control. This is particularly troublesome after I've installed the IDL runtime application at a hospital which is an hours drive away.

Specifically, I've had problems reliably compiling some IDL graphics classes for use on the IDL VM. I thought that running IDLITRESOLVEITOOLS might do the trick, but it evidently hasn't. At the same time I don't really want to compile the entire IDL library every time. I just want to resolve the IDL graphics classes which I want to use (or at least just compile a single category of classes).

Perhaps I am looking at this problem completely wrong. I would expect there to be some way I can append a compiler directive which indicates that blah\_\_define.pro requires 'idlgrlegend\_\_define'. Compiler directives are not really in the IDL idiom, so I'm wondering what other choices I might have.

Cheers, Robbie

--

nrb@ Robbie Barnett imag Research Assistant wsahs Nuclear Medicine & Ultrasound nsw Westmead Hospital gov Sydney Australia au +61 2 9845 7223

Subject: Re: Nice ways to compile

Posted by R.Bauer on Sat, 16 Apr 2005 22:32:59 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear Robert,

The sav files of our library were all created by

http://www.fz-juelich.de/icg/icg-i/idl\_icglib/idl\_source/idl \_html/dbase compile\_dbase.pro.html

```
; EXAMPLE:
; compile,'f_names'
:
```

cheers

Reimar

## Robert Barnett wrote:

>

> Hi.

>

- > I'm looking for an easier way to compile my code into a .sav file. It
- > would save a considerable of time an effort if compilation was
- > just one step only. It would also make it much more repoducible and less
- > bug prone.

- > I've included a synopsis of how I go about things starting with my
- > development workflow:

>

- > \* Program concept and design
- > \* Demonstrate concept using snippets of code and interactive mode
- > \* Package the code so it can be easily accessed and reused
- > \* Test the package, particularily for interopability with other
- > pakcages.
- > \* Continually refine test and refine package until it does what it is
- > supposed to do
- > + Complile the package and depenancies to a save file and run in an IDL
- > VM "sandbox" environment.
- > \* Briefly test that it works in the VM as I would expect it to.
- > \* Install application on target computer.

- > I develop using emacs. I expect to be able to compile my IDL packages
- > with a single UNIX script (step marked as a +). I also expect that my
- > compilation depends solely on the contents of the filesystem rather than
- > the current state of the IDL command prompt. This ensures that I can
- > always return to a snapshot of my idl directory as it was when I
- > compiled my code.

>

> For example, I currently compile like the following:

- ./compiler.pl ../deployed/ra dualrenal.sav robbies tools/ snaptools/ >
- > renal analysis/

>

compiler.pl is just a simple perl script with the syntax: >

>

- ./compiler <save file> <path1> <path2> ...
- > compiler.pl compiles all .pro files in any sub-directories in the given
- > paths. I always run a cvs commit or do a backup of my IDL directory when

```
> I do this step.
>
> I appreciate that
> there are smart compilation scripts out there, but I prefer to specify
> each path individually because I use call method, call procedure.
> call_function and obj_new quite a bit. I also cannot afford to find out
> that I missed a dependacy buried somewhere in a widget control. This is
> particularly troublesome after I've installed the IDL runtime
> application at a hospital which is an hours drive away.
>
> Specifically, I've had problems reliably compiling some IDL graphics
> classes for use on the IDL VM. I thought that running
> IDLITRESOLVEITOOLS might do the trick, but it evidently hasn't. At the
> same time I don't really want to compile the entire IDL library every
> time. I just want to resolve the IDL graphics classes
> which I want to use (or at least just compile a single category of
> classes).
>
> Perhaps I am looking at this problem completely wrong. I would expect
> there to be some way I can append a compiler directive which indicates
> that blah define.pro requires 'idlgrlegend define'. Compiler
> directives are not really in the IDL idiom, so I'm wondering what other
> choices I might have.
>
> Cheers.
> Robbie
Forschungszentrum Juelich
email: R.Bauer@fz-juelich.de
http://www.fz-juelich.de/icg/icg-i/
_____
a IDL library at ForschungsZentrum Juelich
http://www.fz-juelich.de/icg/icg-i/idl_icglib/idl_lib_intro. html
```