

---

Subject: Re: non-integer binsize  
Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Thu, 05 May 2005 00:54:43 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

patrick.gatlin@msfc.nasa.gov writes:

- > This may be a very elementary question, but I was wondering if the
- > histogram function can accept a binsize < 1?

Since the example in the on-line help for HIST\_2D uses a bin size of 0.02, I think you might be in good shape here. ;-)

- > I am using the hist\_2d function to determine the density field of some
- > lat/lon locations in a dataset. These values range 4 deg lat and 4 deg
- > lon. In order to determine the # of points per square km, I have setup
- > the hist\_2d function as follows:
- >
- > IDL> result=hist\_2d(lon,lat,max1=lonmax,min1=lonmin,max2=latmax,\$
- > min2=latmin,bin1=1/111.,bin2=1/111.)
- >
- > where the size of bin1 and bin2 are the approximate conversions from km
- > to degrees (1km=.09 deg).
- > Since hist\_2d needs two bins, then is the result equal the number of
- > points within a box that is 1/111. long on all sides? Thus am assuming
- > result will give me the # of points per square kilometer. Is this a
- > correct assumption based upon the way hist\_2d works?

This explanation reminds me of editing my middle son's big essay last night (sigh...), but I think you've probably got the gist of it, anyway. :-)

I think a better way of looking at it is that the box is 1/111. times the number of bins between the min and max values, but I think you are correct that the numbers you get back represent the number of points in a square kilometer.

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.  
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.  
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

---

Subject: Re: non-integer binsize  
Posted by [JD Smith](#) on Thu, 05 May 2005 16:44:47 GMT

On Wed, 04 May 2005 17:28:04 -0700, patrick.gatlin wrote:

- > This may be a very elementary question, but I was wondering if the
- > histogram function can accept a binsize < 1?
- >
- > I am using the hist\_2d function to determine the density field of some
- > lat/lon locations in a dataset. These values range 4 deg lat and 4 deg
- > lon. In order to determine the # of points per square km, I have setup
- > the hist\_2d function as follows:
- >
- > IDL> result=hist\_2d(lon,lat,max1=lonmax,min1=lonmin,max2=latmax,\$
- > min2=latmin,bin1=1/111.,bin2=1/111.)
- >
- > where the size of bin1 and bin2 are the approximate conversions from km
- > to degrees (1km=.09 deg).
- > Since hist\_2d needs two bins, then is the result equal the number of
- > points within a box that is 1/111. long on all sides? Thus am assuming
- > result will give me the # of points per square kilometer. Is this a
- > correct assumption based upon the way hist\_2d works?

If you peek inside HIST\_2D (or HIST\_ND for that matter), you'll see that the way non-integer bin-sizes work is by first converting the data to some suitable integer representation over the range of interest, typically where the binsize is normalized to 1, and then taking the histogram. This is also quite likely how HISTOGRAM itself works internally. You might also like to read up on the rounding issues you can have when working with floating point histograms:

[http://www.dfanning.com/math\\_tips/razoredge.html](http://www.dfanning.com/math_tips/razoredge.html)

JD

---

---

Subject: Re: non-integer binsize  
Posted by [patrick.gatlin](#) on Thu, 05 May 2005 20:23:53 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Thank you David and JD for the help and some insight into the histogram. I wanted to make sure I was not misinterpreting the hist\_2d output.

At least you didn't have to break out the red pen on this one David.

;-)

Thanks again!

---