
Subject: Re: Program architecture question
Posted by [R.G. Stockwell](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 20:54:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Marc Reinig" <Marco@newsgroups.nospam> wrote in message
news:4277e12d@darkstar...

...
> The image array might be 1024x1024 x 16 bits. There would be other arrays
> passed between the modules that would be 100x100 floating point values.
> So my structure would be fairly large, >2MB.
>
> Is that an unreasonable size for a structure?
>
> Marco
>
> _____
> Marc Reinig
> UCO/Lick Observatory
> Laboratory for Adaptive Optics

I think that is tiny :)

Cheers,
bob

Subject: Re: Program architecture question
Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 21:48:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Marc Reinig writes:

> Is that an unreasonable size for a structure?

You mean "object", not "sturcture", right. :-)

Cheers,

David

P.S. No, it's fine.

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Subject: Re: Program architecture question
Posted by [Marc Reinig](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 23:50:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks, I always like to find the limits before I code, rather than after
;=)

Marco

Marc Reinig
UCO/Lick Observatory
Laboratory for Adaptive Optics

"R.G. Stockwell" <no@email.please> wrote in message
news:MxRde.46\$PH5.4323@news.uswest.net...

>

> "Marc Reinig" <Marco@newsgroups.nospam> wrote in message

> news:4277e12d@darkstar...

> ...

>> The image array might be 1024x1024 x 16 bits. There would be other
>> arrays passed between the modules that would be 100x100 floating point
>> values. So my structure would be fairly large, >2MB.

>>

>> Is that an unreasonable size for a structure?

>>

>> Marco

>>

>> Marc Reinig
>> UCO/Lick Observatory
>> Laboratory for Adaptive Optics

>

>

> I think that is tiny :)

>

> Cheers,

> bob

>

Subject: Re: Program architecture question
Posted by [Marc Reinig](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 23:54:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hmmm, well actually I did mean structure, but now having looked objects up,
they seem to be well suited, I assume you are suggesting that I use objects
instead ;=)

Marco

Marc Reinig
UCO/Lick Observatory
Laboratory for Adaptive Optics

"David Fanning" <davidf@dfanning.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.1ce19f85367c9d949899e7@news.frii.com...

> Marc Reinig writes:

>

>> Is that an unreasonable size for a structure?

>

> You mean "object", not "sturcture", right. :-)

>

> Cheers,

>

> David

>

> P.S. No, it's fine.

>

> --

> David Fanning, Ph.D.

> Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

> Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Subject: Re: Program architecture question

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Wed, 04 May 2005 00:04:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Marc Reinig writes:

> Hmmm, well actually I did mean structure, but now having looked objects up,
> they seem to be well suited, I assume you are suggesting that I use objects
> instead ;=)

That would be my suggestion, yes. Your project sounds
perfectly suited to them. :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>
