
Subject: Re: Data format for spherical data
Posted by [R.G. Stockwell](#) on Wed, 25 May 2005 15:43:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Wayne Landsman" <vze49sx6@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:1117031988.627310.122490@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com.. .
> Sorry if this posting appears twice. Also, this is not really an IDL
> question, though I will be using IDL to convert to the new data format.
>
> I've only worked only within the provincial field of astronomy, and so
> I've never had to deal with data formats other than FITS. But our
> data center is now being asked to make our all-sky data available in a
> more popular (but still scientific) data format, where suggestions so
> far for the new format have included NetCDF and GeoTIFF. The data
> will consist of various quantities (e.g. flux, error, # of
> observations) attached to ~60,000 longitude, latitude points covering
> the entire sphere.
>
> I suppose the most important criterion for the format is popularity (we
> only want to do this once) although an ability to naturally handle
> spherical data is a plus, as is the ease of the conversion within IDL.
> Any suggestions?
>
> Thanks, -Wayne Landsman

NetCDF is very nice. It is always my format of choice. The size of your
data
does not seem restrictive. What other languages do you anticipate needing to
supply?
netcdf has builds on a variety of platforms:
<http://my.unidata.ucar.edu/content/software/netcdf/builds/index.html>

Cheers,
bob

Subject: Re: Data format for spherical data
Posted by [Michael Wallace](#) on Wed, 25 May 2005 16:49:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> NetCDF is very nice. It is always my format of choice. The size of your
> data
> does not seem restrictive. What other languages do you anticipate needing to
> supply?
> netcdf has builds on a variety of platforms:
> <http://my.unidata.ucar.edu/content/software/netcdf/builds/index.html>

NetCDF is also my format of choice. I have found it much easier to work with than some of the other data formats (e.g. HDF, CDF) and is supported under a number of different programming languages. I've never used GeoTIFF, so I don't know how they compare.

-Mike

Subject: Re: Data format for spherical data
Posted by [James Kuyper](#) on Thu, 26 May 2005 03:16:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Wayne Landsman wrote:

- > This is not really an IDL question, though I will be using IDL to convert to
- > the new data format.
- >
- > I've only worked only within the provincial field of astronomy, and so I've
- > never had to deal with data formats other than FITS. But our data center
- > is now being asked to make our all-sky data available in a more popular (but
- > still scientific) data format, where suggestions so far for the new format
- > have included NetCDF and GeoTIFF. The data will consist of various
- > quantities (e.g. flux, error, # of observations) attached to ~60,000
- > longitude, latitude points covering the entire sphere.
- >
- > I suppose the most important criterion for the format is popularity (we only
- > want to do this once) although an ability to naturally handle spherical data
- > is a plus. Any suggestions?

NetCDF provides no special support for spherical data. You can store such data without any problems, but NetCDF provides no special interpretation of such data.

HDF-EOS is built on HDF, which is implemented in a fashion compatible with NetCDF. HDF-EOS has as a fundamental concept that data fields and geographic fields (latitude, longitude, and altitude) are linked together. It provides three different formats for geographically organized data.

The Point format is for an unorganized collection of individual data points, and associates a latitude and longitude with each of those data points. However, there's nothing in the Point interface which interprets the latitude and longitude fields as latitudes and longitudes. You could fill those field with temperatures and pressures, and it would work the same.

The Swath format organizes the data and geographic fields as 2-dimensional arrays, which might have different resolutions. Typically

the dimensions of the data fields will represent image coordinates for data collected from a satellite. It attaches HDF metadata to the file documenting a simple linear mapping relationship between each dimension of the data arrays and the corresponding dimension of the arrays containing latitude and longitude. It's intended that the lower resolution fields be interpolated to match the higher resolution fields using that mapping, but the Swath interface doesn't actually provide a routine for carrying out that interpolation. As a result, just like the Point interface, the geographic fields don't have to actually contain geographic data.

Only the Grid interface actually provides routines that interpret latitude and longitude as geographic coordinates. HDF-EOS Grids are 2-dimensional arrays of data, arrays represent positions evenly spaced on a rectangular grid in some map projection, and the grid has to be oriented to the U-V coordinates in that projection. Unlike the Point and Swath formats, the Grid format does not store latitude and longitude directly in the file. It only stores information about the map projection used, the position on that map projection of the corners of the rectangular grid, and the number of rows and columns in the grid. The grid interface includes a routine (represented by `EOS_GD_INTERPOLATE` in the IDL interface) that takes a series of latitude/longitude pairs, interprets them according to the map projection, and interpolates specified data fields in that map projection to those locations. Oddly enough, it doesn't provide routines for calculating latitudes and longitudes that correspond to the data grid points.
