

---

Subject: deallocating ptrs

Posted by [R.G. Stockwell](#) on Sat, 11 Jun 2005 15:53:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi,

I am reading an HDF, and have a template created with the HDF\_browser. The template is a structure that has over 200 pointers deeply nested in several labrynthical "subnested structure arrays of structure ptr array structures".

:)

So, I tried to deallocate this monster with a heap\_free call, and it doesn't get

deallocated. (IDL 6.1)

In fact I have for the moment been reduced to a heap\_gc call, which seems inelegant.

So why doesn't heap\_free free the heap? It looks like is isn't even trying. It goes from 238 to 164 ptrs.

Anyone have a nice generic deallocating routine to crawl down the structure.

I actually have an old routine that prints out a structure nicely, that recursively

steps through each element. It could be modified to test each element and deallocate it if it is a ptr, but there has to be a routine that already does that.

Cheers,

bob

---

---

Subject: Re: deallocating ptrs

Posted by [Michael Wallace](#) on Mon, 13 Jun 2005 20:38:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

> So, I tried to deallocate this monster with a heap\_free call, and it doesn't

> get

> deallocated. (IDL 6.1)

> In fact I have for the moment been reduced to a heap\_gc call, which seems

> inelegant.

>

> So why doesn't heap\_free free the heap? It looks like is isn't even trying.

> It goes from 238 to 164 ptrs.

I don't know what could be going on here, but the heap\_free documentation includes this little nugget:

HEAP\_FREE releases the referenced heap variables in an unspecified order

which depends on the current state of the internal data structure used by IDL to hold them. This can be confusing for object destructor methods that expect all of their contained data to be present. If your application requires a specific order for the release of its heap variables, you must explicitly free them in the correct order. `HEAP_FREE` cannot be used in such cases.

Something like this is the only reason I can think of where IDL would be unable to free everything. You could also try adding the `/VERBOSE` flag to `heap_free` to see if anything strange is happening.

-Mike

---