Subject: Re: Programming annoyances
Posted by Kenneth P. Bowman on Fri, 09 Sep 2005 21:44:41 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In article <1126300702.285794.90680@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>, swingnut@gmail.com wrote:

- > I tried putting N_REFR into a separate pro file, but I got the same
- > behavior. I eventually changed the function name to be N_refr, and now
- > it seems to work but unreliably. I've fiddled with changing the
- > function call to match, but the subtleties of case sensitivity seem to
- > be showing up. This happens whether both at the IDL prompt and in
- > idlde. In any case, what can cause this happen?

The function N_REFR should be in a file called n_refr.pro. Obviously that file must be in IDL's search path. Internally IDL is case insensitive, but filenames should be all lowercase for portability.

- > Second, on the occasions when the program does run, when it reaches the
- > end of the main procedure, IDL prints two or three error messages to
- > the log:

>

- > Program caused arithmetic error: Floating point divide by 0
- > Program caused arithmetic error: Floating underflow

>

> and sometimes

>

- > Program caused arithmetic error: Floating overflow>
- I added a tracing statement to determine for sure that this happens at
 the end, and sure enough, it is not generated by anything in the code,
- > though I could imagine it being generated by something being left ot at
- > the end. Any ideas?

Floating underflow errors can usually be ignored safely.

Overflow and divide by zero errors generally should not be ignored (unless you don't mind getting Inf's and NaN's for answers). You can use the FINITE function to find Inf's and NaN's in your variables. Personally, I make a point of ensuring that my programs do not generate exceptions other than, possibly, underflows.

Check out the !EXCEPT system variable. Setting !EXCEPT = 2 forces IDL to report exceptions after each IDL statement, which should help you identify the exact location where the exception occurs.

Ken Bowman

Subject: Re: Programming annoyances
Posted by Antonio Santiago on Mon, 12 Sep 2005 05:52:00 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

swingnut@gmail.com wrote:

```
Ok, I've got some code. I've tweaked it so that it actually runs again
(sortof; it was written by another grad student back in 2001-2002 but
hasn't been used much since then). Two issues that have come up, which
I don't understand.
***********
First, the pro file contains a function, N_REFR. IDL compiles this when
I select the compile all option, and sometimes IDL sees it but most of
the time IDL gives a variable undefined error.
... (other modules compiled)
% Compiled module: N_REFR
% Compiled module: MASSPROFILE4
IDL> massprofile 4
... (log messages)
% Variable is undefined: N_REFR
% Execution halted at: RPIPROFILER
```

Perhaps a bad assig instruction is the problem. Although you have been compiled the N_REFR function and MASSPROFILE4 procedure/function you could have a:

667

a= NREFR ---> BAD (without parenthesis) Variable N_REFR undefined. a= NREFR() --> OK

- > I tried putting N_REFR into a separate pro file, but I got the same
- > behavior. I eventually changed the function name to be N_refr, and now
- > it seems to work but unreliably. I've fiddled with changing the

/data/aramisgm/research/tracing/testing/massprofile4.pro

/data/aramisgm/research/tracing/testing/massprofile4.pro

MASSPROFILE4

> % Program caused arithmetic error: Floating underflow

\$MAIN\$

- > function call to match, but the subtleties of case sensitivity seem to
- > be showing up. This happens whether both at the IDL prompt and in
- > idlde. In any case, what can cause this happen?
- > BTW, this is IDL 6.2 on a Red Hat 8 cluster.
- > *******

>

>

- > Second, on the occasions when the program does run, when it reaches the
- > end of the main procedure, IDL prints two or three error messages to

```
> the log:
>
> Program caused arithmetic error: Floating point divide by 0
 Program caused arithmetic error: Floating underflow
>
 and sometimes
>
 Program caused arithmetic error: Floating overflow
>
> I added a tracing statement to determine for sure that this happens at
> the end, and sure enough, it is not generated by anything in the code,
> though I could imagine it being generated by something being left ot at
 the end. Any ideas?
>
 Thanks.
Antonio Santiago P�rez
( email: santiago<<at>>grahi.upc.edu
 www: http://www.grahi.upc.edu/santiago)
( www: http://asantiago.blogsite.org
GRAHI - Grup de Recerca Aplicada en Hidrometeorologia
Universitat Polit�cnica de Catalunya
-----
```