

---

Subject: Re: pointers and IF statement  
Posted by [btt](#) on Wed, 16 Nov 2005 20:27:26 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

JJMeyers2@gmail.com wrote:

> Hello,  
>  
> I am trying to learn pointers and I had a problem while writing a  
> program.  
> My program is comparing two lists of data (list1,list2) and is trying  
> to find in which positions the data match, but because that might be in  
> more than 2 positions I am using the pointers to store the data. In the  
> case that the program does not find a match I want to be able to  
> substitute with another value.  
> So my program goes like this:  
>  
> match1=ptarr(number,/allocate\_heap)  
> FOR i=0,number-1 DO BEGIN  
> \*match1[i]=WHERE(list1 GT list2(i))  
> IF \*match1[i] EQ -1 THEN BEGIN  
> \*match1[i]=WHERE(list1-list2(i) EQ min(list1-list2(i))  
> ENDIF  
> ENFOR  
>  
> When i try to run this i get:  
> Expression must be a scalar or 1 element array in this context: <BYTE  
> Array[2]>.  
> and I can not figure out how to correct the problem.  
>

I would suspect that \*match[i] is not a scalar as you are assuming.  
That is why you get the error asking for a scalar.

Perhaps you want

```
IF (*match1[i])[0] EQ -1 THEN BEGIN
```

note the indexing of the vector stored in \*match[i].

Sante,  
Ben

---

---

Subject: Re: pointers and IF statement  
Posted by [peter.albert@gmx.de](mailto:peter.albert@gmx.de) on Thu, 17 Nov 2005 07:30:10 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi,

I am not sure whether I get you right, but what you are looking for are those indices where both lists show the same value, right? I guess, with the line

```
WHERE(list1-list2(i) EQ min(list1-list2(i))
```

you try to find those indices where list1 is closest to list2[i]. If so, you should use

`min(abs(list1-list2[i]))` instead, as otherwise the minimum value is where the largest negative difference occurs, not the one closest to zero.

Apart from that, I'd strongly suggest to follow the suggestion to use square brackets `[]` for indexing and round brackets `()` for function calls. It makes the code better readable and helps avoiding confusion if you happen to name a variable after a function.

As for the error message, Ben's comment is perfectly right, generally `WHERE` returns an vector, so you have to check its first value against `-1`, which luckily does not throw an error if the `rreturn` value `*is* -1`, as you can index scalars with `[0]`. On the other hand, if you do something like

```
index = WHERE(this EQ that, n)
```

then `"n"` gives the number of matches, so you could continue with a line like

```
IF n gt 0 THEN do_the_rest
```

And now for your original problem. If you are dealing with integer values, I'd suggest using `histogram` instead of the `for` loop. Something like this:

Just a few example numbers:

```
list1 = [0,0,1,1,2,2,3,4,5,5,5]
list2 = [0,1,2,3,4]
```

We'll use `histogram`, so make sure to use the same min and max values in both calls:

```
min_value = min(list1) < min(list2)
max_value = max(list1) > max(list2)
h1 = histogram(list1, min = min_value, max = max_value, reverse = r1)
h2 = histogram(list2, min = min_value, max = max_value, reverse = r2)
```

Now, the key is the reverse index, which is just plain confusing when you first look at it, but it just basically tells you the indices where the list values do fall in each histogram bin.

If you want to see where list1 and list2 equal, say, 1, you can use

```
print, list1[r1[r1[1]:r1[2]-1]]  
print, list2[r2[r2[1]:r2[2]-1]]
```

(just printing a lot of "1"s)

which means that

r1[r1[1]:r1[2]-1] gives you the indices where list1 equals 1, while  
r2[r2[1]:r2[2]-1] gives the appropriate indices for list2.

Well, you are paying with weird looking code, but you'll gain a lot of processing speed.

If this approach seems to work for you, then take the time to read JD Smith's histogram tutorial at  
[http://www.dfanning.com/tips/histogram\\_tutorial.html](http://www.dfanning.com/tips/histogram_tutorial.html)

Cheers,

Peter  
end

---

Subject: Re: pointers and IF statement  
Posted by [JJMeyers2](#) on Thu, 17 Nov 2005 19:17:36 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Thank you both for your help.  
I will try the histogram, it seems it might be faster for what I am doing!

---