
Subject: Re: SMOOTH function for 3D

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Mon, 21 Nov 2005 18:00:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

IDLmastertobe writes:

> Hi, i'm working on smoothing 3D data. I can visualize a 3D object base on
> the data and rotate it . I have used SMOOTH function before to smooth 2D
> image and it worked fine. But now when I am using it for 3D as: result =
> SMOOTH(3Darray); and then use the result as my new 3D data, I observe no
> difference when I am visualizing it. It looked just like the original
> unsmoothed function. Does anyone know why?

No, I don't know why. Lousy visualization method would be my first guess. :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Subject: Re: SMOOTH function for 3D

Posted by [Bringfried Stecklum](#) on Tue, 22 Nov 2005 07:46:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

IDLmastertobe wrote:

> Hi, i'm working on smoothing 3D data. I can visualize a 3D object base on
> the data and rotate it . I have used SMOOTH function before to smooth 2D
> image and it worked fine. But now when I am using it for 3D as: result =
> SMOOTH(3Darray); and then use the result as my new 3D data, I observe no
> difference when I am visualizing it. It looked just like the original
> unsmoothed function. Does anyone know why? Thank you.
>

The syntax result =SMOOTH(3Darray) is wrong since a second argument is required which indicates the smoothing width (scalar or vector). For smoothing a 3D array in each direction the proper call would be, e.g.

result=SMOOTH(3Darray, [2,4,3])

which smooths the data in the x-direction over two pixels, in y-direction over 4, and in z-direction over 3 pixels.

regards,

Bringfried Stecklum

Subject: Re: SMOOTH function for 3D

Posted by [IDLmastertobe](#) on Tue, 22 Nov 2005 09:20:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks, but I also tried doing result = SMOOTH(3Darray,[3,3,3]) and I observe no difference. any ideas?

Subject: Re: SMOOTH function for 3D

Posted by [Rick Towler](#) on Tue, 22 Nov 2005 18:16:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

IDLmastertobe wrote:

> Thanks, but I also tried doing result = SMOOTH(3Darray,[3,3,3]) and I
> observe no difference. any ideas?

I don't mean to be rude, but how could anyone possibly answer your question given so little information? SMOOTH works fine on 3d data. I can easily observe a difference in the data:

```
IDL> x=randomu(s,3,3,3)*10.
```

```
IDL> print, x
```

```
7.79008    4.15141    7.48622
1.69548    6.31630    6.84862
7.00782    2.78159    7.85362
```

```
5.91996    0.659594   5.77286
8.38353    3.33698    9.03057
7.64424    0.474168   5.77164
```

```
3.62966    8.94523    4.11056
9.33464    1.19968    4.66864
5.79112    3.83709    1.43494
```

```
IDL> print, smooth(x,3,/edge)
```

```
5.31274    5.66250    6.01227
5.21623    5.58725    5.95827
5.11973    5.51200    5.90428
```

```
5.42783    5.47206    5.51628
5.41093    5.25468    5.09842
5.39403    5.03729    4.68056
```

```
5.54293    5.28162    5.02030
```

5.60563	4.92210	4.23857
5.66832	4.56258	3.45685

You could do something similar with your data to convince yourself that SMOOTH is working. Then you would know that your problem lies elsewhere and you could start looking for other possibilities.

-Rick
