

---

Subject: Re: Pass by value and performance

Posted by [peter.albert@gmx.de](mailto:peter.albert@gmx.de) on Wed, 14 Dec 2005 07:38:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi Ken,

if you used pointers in your structures and routines and only passed the pointers, your code could stay nice and you would save a lot of memory.

Cheers,

Peter

---

---

Subject: Re: Pass by value and performance

Posted by [Kenneth P. Bowman](#) on Wed, 14 Dec 2005 15:09:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In article <1134545885.801135.229150@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>, "Peter Albert" <peter.albert@gmx.de> wrote:

> Hi Ken,  
>  
> if you used pointers in your structures and routines and only passed  
> the pointers, your code could stay nice and you would save a lot of  
> memory.  
>  
> Cheers,  
>  
> Peter

True, and I considered that possibility. It would work fine for passing the arrays amongst my own routines, but I have to dereference the pointer to pass the array itself into system routines. Dereferencing a pointer is an expression, is it not?, and expressions are passed by value.

Ken

---

---

Subject: Re: Pass by value and performance

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Wed, 14 Dec 2005 15:53:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Kenneth P. Bowman writes:

> True, and I considered that possibility. It would work fine for passing  
> the arrays amongst my own routines, but I have to dereference the  
> pointer to pass the array itself into system routines. Dereferencing a  
> pointer is an expression, is it not?, and expressions are passed by  
> value.

Ah, you would be interested to read the new pointer section of my book that I just completely yesterday! (Well, actually, you could read the damn pointer tutorial where I learned about this, too.) Here is the news that even I didn't fully appreciate until I put it down in my own words. Pointers are just regular IDL variables!!

Consider this function:

```
PRO JUNK, var  
  var = var * 5  
END
```

And do this:

```
IDL> a = Ptr_New(5)  
IDL> junk, *a
```

Here is the question. What is the result of this command?

```
IDL> Print, *a
```

The answer might surprise you! :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.  
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.  
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

---

Subject: Re: Pass by value and performance  
Posted by [Paul Van Delst\[1\]](#) on Wed, 14 Dec 2005 17:02:14 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

David Fanning wrote:

> Kenneth P. Bowman writes:  
>  
>

>> True, and I considered that possibility. It would work fine for passing  
>> the arrays amongst my own routines, but I have to dereference the  
>> pointer to pass the array itself into system routines. Dereferencing a  
>> pointer is an expression, is it not?, and expressions are passed by  
>> value.

>  
>  
> Ah, you would be interested to read the new pointer  
> section of my book that I just completely yesterday!  
> (Well, actually, you could read the damn pointer tutorial  
> where I learned about this, too.) Here is the news that  
> even I didn't fully appreciate until I put it down in my  
> own words. Pointers are just regular IDL variables!!

In the context of this thread (and your example below), shouldn't one say that  
/dereferenced/ pointers are just regular IDL variables (i.e. they're not expressions) ??

I don't think I'm splitting hairs here (or am I?)

>  
> Consider this function:  
>  
> PRO JUNK, var  
> var = var \* 5  
> END  
>  
> And do this:  
>  
> IDL> a = Ptr\_New(5)  
> IDL> junk, \*a  
>  
> Here is the question. What is the result of this command?  
>  
> IDL> Print, \*a  
>  
> The answer might surprise you! :-)

After my recent grokking of objects (thanks to that "object for dummies" website posted  
earlier this month), the answer didn't surprise me as much it would of, but it's still a  
good reinforcement.

It makes me wonder though - have IDL pointers always behaved that way, or is it a moving  
target (ala relaxed structure definitions post IDL 5.3 talked about in another thread) ?

paulv

--

Paul van Delst

---

Subject: Re: Pass by value and performance  
Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Wed, 14 Dec 2005 17:08:30 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Paul Van Delst writes:

- > In the context of this thread (and your example below), shouldn't one say that
- > /dereferenced/ pointers are just regular IDL variables (i.e. they're not expressions) ??
- >
- > I don't think I'm splitting hairs here (or am I?)

No, I think that is what I meant. I was just so darned excited about knowing \*why\* this answer was true I mis-spoke. :-)

- > It makes me wonder though - have IDL pointers always behaved that way, or is it a moving
- > target (ala relaxed structure definitions post IDL 5.3 talked about in another thread) ?

I think they have always behaved this way. But often these things are introduced without, uh, too much fanfare, and it takes everyone a while to catch up.

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.  
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.  
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

---

---

Subject: Re: Pass by value and performance  
Posted by [Kenneth P. Bowman](#) on Wed, 14 Dec 2005 17:28:07 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Perhaps someone can clarify this for me.

I was doing this

```
data = {values : FLTARR(...), $
        other : other stuff ...}
```

Then pass "data" to a procedure and do this

```
result = INTERPOLATE(data.values, x, y, z)
```

In this case data.values is passed by reference. (p. 92 of Building IDL Applications)

If I were to use pointers, I could do something like this instead

```
data = {values : PTR_NEW(FLTARR(...)), $
       other : other stuff ...}
```

Pass "data" to a procedure and do this

```
result = INTERPOLATE(*data.values, x, y, z)
```

Is \*data.values passed by reference or by value? And how does one tell?

Ken

---

---

Subject: Re: Pass by value and performance  
Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Wed, 14 Dec 2005 17:54:31 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Kenneth P. Bowman writes:

```
> Perhaps someone can clarify this for me.
>
> I was doing this
>
> data = {values : FLTARR(...), $
>        other : other stuff ...}
>
> Then pass "data" to a procedure and do this
>
> result = INTERPOLATE(data.values, x, y, z)
>
> In this case data.values is passed by reference. (p. 92 of Building IDL
> Applications)
>
>
> If I were to use pointers, I could do something like this instead
>
> data = {values : PTR_NEW(FLTARR(...)), $
>        other : other stuff ...}
>
> Pass "data" to a procedure and do this
```

>  
> result = INTERPOLATE(\*data.values, x, y, z)  
>  
> Is \*data.values passed by reference or by value? And how does one tell?

I would test it with my little JUNK program from before,  
like so:

```
IDL> b = {ptr:Ptr_New(5)}  
IDL> junk, *b.ptr  
IDL> help, *b.ptr  
<PtrHeapVar26> INT      =      25
```

Whoa! Excuse me. I need to do a little more work on that  
pointer chapter.

Cheers,

David

--  
David Fanning, Ph.D.  
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.  
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

---

Subject: Re: Pass by value and performance  
Posted by [JD Smith](#) on Wed, 14 Dec 2005 19:04:10 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Wed, 14 Dec 2005 10:08:30 -0700, David Fanning wrote:

> Paul Van Delst writes:  
>  
>> In the context of this thread (and your example below), shouldn't one  
>> say that /dereferenced/ pointers are just regular IDL variables (i.e.  
>> they're not expressions) ??  
>>  
>> I don't think I'm splitting hairs here (or am I?)  
>  
> No, I think that is what I meant. I was just so darned excited about  
> knowing \*why\* this answer was true I mis-spoke. :-)  
>  
>> It makes me wonder though - have IDL pointers always behaved that way,  
>> or is it a moving target (ala relaxed structure definitions post IDL 5.3  
>> talked about in another thread) ?  
>

> I think they have always behaved this way. But often these things are  
> introduced without, uh, too much fanfare, and it takes everyone a while to  
> catch up.

Doesn't surprise me... see the pointer tutorial on using this to pass  
structure members by reference (sort of). In Ken's original example, it's  
easy to just pass `*data.array`, and it will go in by reference.

JD

---

---

Subject: Re: Pass by value and performance  
Posted by [Antonio Santiago](#) on Thu, 15 Dec 2005 06:56:50 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Kenneth P. Bowman wrote:

> Perhaps someone can clarify this for me.  
>  
> I was doing this  
>  
> data = {values : FLTARR(...), \$  
>       other : other stuff ...}  
>  
> Then pass "data" to a procedure and do this  
>  
> result = INTERPOLATE(data.values, x, y, z)  
>  
> In this case data.values is passed by reference. (p. 92 of Building IDL  
> Applications)  
>

Be carefull (p. 93 of Building ...)

Note:

IDL structures behave in two distinct ways. Entire structures are  
passed by reference, but individual structure fields are passed by  
value. See [Parameter Passing with Structures](#) on page 189 for  
additional details.

>  
> If I were to use pointers, I could do something like this instead  
>  
> data = {values : PTR\_NEW(FLTARR(...)), \$  
>       other : other stuff ...}  
>  
> Pass "data" to a procedure and do this  
>  
> result = INTERPOLATE(\*data.values, x, y, z)

>  
> Is \*data.values passed by reference or by value? And how does one tell?  
>

I suppose yes. In the previous example:

```
PRO JUNK, var  
  var = var * 5  
END
```

And:

```
IDL> a = Ptr_New(5)  
IDL> junk, *a
```

I like to understand pointers in IDL in this way:

1.- 'a' is a conventional variable managed by IDL and its "garbage collector".

2.- '\*a' is a HEAP variable, where 'a' stores a reference to it. Also, the content of the variable 'a' is stored in the heap memory.

Then 'a' is a reference for a "normal" variable that stores a reference, and '\*a' is a reference to a HEAP variable that stores a 5.

junk, \*a --> The content of the HEAP memory variable is passed by value.

And then:

\*a = \*a \* 5 is the same as b = b \* 5

the difference is first is a HEAP variable and the second is a conventional memory variable.

>  
> Ken

Really, I have never questioned this until I saw your post. These are the good things of the net :)

--

-----  
Antonio Santiago Pérez  
( email: [santiago@grahi.upc.edu](mailto:santiago@grahi.upc.edu) )  
( www: <http://www.grahi.upc.edu/santiago> )  
( www: <http://asantiago.blogspot.org> )



