

---

Subject: Minimum area ellipse - quadratic optimisation?

Posted by [Olivia](#) on Thu, 16 Feb 2006 14:19:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dear All,

My aim is to fit an ellipse with a known center onto a distribution of points, where all points have to be inside or on the ellipse, and the ellipse chosen is of the minimum area.

I thought a brute force and not very clever way of doing this would be to calculate the area taking each set of 3 points to solve the 3 remaining unknowns, (a, b, and orientation angle), in the ellipse equation, and finding which one had the smallest area. But this wouldn't work obviously as there would be no condition that all the other points have to be inside the ellipse. I have read up on quadratic optimization but have to admit I do not really understand the maths.

I posted on this topic before, but it is important that my ellipse fitting method does not rely on convex hulls. I wrote a program which does fit ellipses to the point distributions, but not the ellipses with the minimum area.

I am sure the problem can't be as hard as I am finding it, and I am feeling right now like drawing the 600 or so ellipses my program needs myself! Any suggestions really would be very helpful. Thanks,

Olivia

---

---

Subject: Re: Minimum area ellipse - quadratic optimisation?

Posted by [Olivia](#) on Mon, 20 Feb 2006 16:48:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Cheers Greg. I'd actually set it up that way already, as I've already found that IDL is not a loop happy creature...

Thanks to everyone for their comments, and if I find anything neat I'll post it.

---

---

Subject: Re: Minimum area ellipse - quadratic optimisation?

Posted by [Paolo Grigis](#) on Mon, 20 Feb 2006 17:41:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Olivia wrote:

>>> I posted on this topic before, but it is important that my ellipse

>>> fitting method does not rely on convex hulls.  
>  
>  
>> Is there a special reason for this?  
>  
>  
> There is a special reason. I am doing a project on galaxy cluster  
> shapes, comparing the shapes of the clusters as determined by voronoi  
> tessellations, and by the minimum area ellipses. So fitting ellipses to  
> convex hulls would give a false comparison.  
>  
>  
>> Perhaps  
>> [http://geometryalgorithms.com/Archive/algorithm\\_0107/algorithm\\_0107.htm](http://geometryalgorithms.com/Archive/algorithm_0107/algorithm_0107.htm)  
>> could be of some help  
>  
>  
> This looks like just the thing I am after. I don't think finding the  
> center point and then finding the minimum area ellipse is a valid  
> method, after experimenting with it today. I understand the idea of  
> this fitting algorithm, but after reading the paper by Gaertner and  
> Schoenherr I doubt if I would be able to write a program to fit the  
> ellipses myself. Do you know of anyone who might have written one of  
> these types of programs for IDL? Thanks very much for your idea, and  
> help.  
>  
> Olivia  
>

Well, if you don't want to write any new code, you could just do a brute force optimization like this: suppose you have an efficient function which, given whatever ellipse-parametrization you use as input, tells you if all your points are inside the ellipse or not (you should have that by now). Define a new function of the ellipse parameters which returns the area of the ellipse if all points are inside and a large number if at least one point is outside. Then use a function minimizer (many exist, already implemented in IDL, any which does not rely too much on the function being smooth will do) to find the set of ellipse parameters which minimize your new function. If you feed it reasonable starting guesses it should converge relatively well and it might not be too slow. Maybe it is a bit of overkill, but if you really want to avoid implementing other algorithms...

Ciao,  
Paolo

---

---

Subject: Re: Minimum area ellipse - quadratic optimisation?  
Posted by [greg michael](#) on Tue, 21 Feb 2006 15:56:38 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Thinking some more, I think I'd not pick the furthest point to choose the axis, but find the direction with greatest moment (is that what it's called?) - i.e. maximise  $\sigma(r \cos(\theta - \phi))$ , varying  $\phi$ , with  $r, \theta$  defining the point position from your centre. You might then pick radii which enclose some sensible proportion of the points (90%) to get better representative ellipses.

greg

---