Subject: MPFITFUN AND PARINFO

Posted by JJMeyers2 on Wed, 17 May 2006 15:45:48 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hello,

I am trying to use MPFITFUN to fit the sum of 2 Gaussians to my data. I need both of the Gaussians to give curves with positive numbers because a negative value will not make physical sense. When I run the MPFITFUN it gives me 1 Gaussian that has all positive values and one Gaussian that some values are negative. I tried to use PARINFO to costrain the values for the second Gaussian but I think I am using it wrong. Here is what I did:

guess_2g=[0.70,0.11,0.3,0.13,532.,1.]

parinfo(5).limited(0)=1. parinfo(5).limits(0)=0.

fit=mpfitfun('twogauss',X,Y,1,guess_2g,PARINFO=parinfo)

and the error message i receive is:

% Expression must be a structure in this context: PARINFO.

% Execution halted at: fit.pro

I am only interested in constraining the last number for the guess because that number gives the sign of the second Gaussian. I understand that I will have to make an array like guess_2g for parinfo but I do not want to do that because I want to the program to take the initial values from guess_2g and just turn to parinfo only for the constraints if it is needed.

Any suggestions on how to do that?

Thank you in advance, JJM

Subject: Re: MPFITFUN AND PARINFO

Posted by Craig Markwardt on Thu, 18 May 2006 21:32:13 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

JJMeyers2@gmail.com writes:

- > Thank you Graig for the response and the fitting routines of course!
- > It works fine now.
- >
- > I would like to ask you how MPFITFUN handles the case when both parinfo
- > and start_parms are set. Does start_parms take precedent over parinfo?

I'm confused, since I answered that question in the previous post. However, if one reads the documentation, one finds,

; START_PARAMS - ...

This parameter is optional if the PARINFO keyword is used (but see PARINFO). The PARINFO keyword provides a mechanism to fix or constrain individual parameters. If both START_PARAMS and PARINFO are passed, then the starting *value* is taken from START_PARAMS, but the *constraints* are taken from

PARINFO.

- > What happens in the case that start_parms and parinfo are in conflict?
- > For example if start_parms=[2,1] and parinfo(1).limited(0)=1,
- > parinfo(1).limits(0)=2.

Of course you could try it and find out :-)
An error message is returned. You might get one of these errors:

errmsg = 'ERROR: parameters are not within PARINFO limits'

errmsg = 'ERROR: PARINFO parameter limits are not consistent'

Craig

Craig B. Markwardt, Ph.D. EMAIL: craigmnet@REMOVEcow.physics.wisc.edu Astrophysics, IDL, Finance, Derivatives | Remove "net" for better response

Subject: Re: MPFITFUN

Posted by James Kuyper on Fri, 27 Oct 2006 18:20:04 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

nolan.smith1@gmail.com wrote:

- > Hello,
- >
- > I have a little problem with mpfitfun.pro and I was wondering if anyone
- > has any suggestions
- > on how to solve it. I am using mpfitfun to perform a fit in a function
- > that is the sum of 2 exponentials
- > and a quadratic. The fit works very good but the result is giving me
- > some negative values Y values

- > which physically do not make sense (the numbers on Y are numbers per
- > bin so they are positive).
- > The negative values are only 3 (out of the 50 bins) and they never go
- > lower than -1, so they do not go very low. Is there any way to limit
- > the Y to be positive (like we do with the parameters?).

The simplest approach is simply to treat fitted values less than 0 as if they were 0.

A more complicated approach would be to parameterize your model in such a way that it's easy to impose non-negative results as a constraint on model parameters. For instance, instead of using a*x^2+b*x+c for the quadratic part of your model, use a*(x-b)^2+c, so that non-negativety can be imposed by the requirements that "a GE 0 and c GE 0".