
Subject: Promised new IDE?

Posted by [swingnut](#) on Wed, 24 May 2006 00:21:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Back at the end of January I asked the question, Where are my friggin line numbers? Peter Clinch mentioned that there was possibly a new IDE being released with version 6.3, and to check in March. March has come and gone, but google shows no signs of a new IDE. Does anyone know the details of the story?

Subject: Re: Promised new IDE?

Posted by [Mark Hadfield](#) on Tue, 30 May 2006 22:48:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ben Panter wrote:

> Mark Hadfield wrote:

>

>> Eclipse is implemented in Java isn't it? Uh oh. I've worked with a
>> few Java GUIs on Windows and I've never seen one that works anywhere
>> near as well as native applications.

>

> I already use eclipse to manage my code, both IDL and non-IDL. It's
> great, and I have it running in both XP and linux environments with no
> problems.

That's reassuring.

> If RSI/ICRNA[1] are reading this and looking for beta testers, I'd be
> happy to oblige...

Me too.

--

Mark Hadfield "Kei puwaha te tai nei, Hoesa tahi tatou"

m.hadfield@niwa.co.nz

National Institute for Water and Atmospheric Research (NIWA)

Subject: Re: Promised new IDE?

Posted by [Michael Galloy](#) on Tue, 30 May 2006 23:12:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Mark Hadfield wrote:

> Ben Panter wrote:

>> Mark Hadfield wrote:

>>

>>> Eclipse is implemented in Java isn't it? Uh oh. I've worked with a
>>> few Java GUIs on Windows and I've never seen one that works anywhere
>>> near as well as native applications.

>>

>> I already use eclipse to manage my code, both IDL and non-IDL. It's
>> great, and I have it running in both XP and linux environments with no
>> problems.

>

> That's reassuring.

I use Eclipse as well and I'll agree that it is great (and I've used it on Windows, Linux, and Mac OS X). It has a bit of startup time, but after that I don't really notice it being any slower than a native app. It is also "project based" (i.e. every file is part of a project), so I'm interested in how that is going to work for the "interactive IDL" users.

The other thing that I think will be great is that it should be open to third party authors making plugins that extend (or change) the functionality of the DE. It only takes a few developers out there making plugins to greatly enhance the value of the new DE.

Mike

--

www.michaelgalloy.com

Subject: Re: Promised new IDE?

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Tue, 30 May 2006 23:23:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Michael Galloy writes:

> I use Eclipse as well and I'll agree that it is great (and I've used it
> on Windows, Linux, and Mac OS X). It has a bit of startup time, but
> after that I don't really notice it being any slower than a native app.
> It is also "project based" (i.e. every file is part of a project), so
> I'm interested in how that is going to work for the "interactive IDL" users.

Oh, oh. The thing isn't even released yet and already we are hearing about the Dark Side. This can't be good.

> The other thing that I think will be great is that it should be open to
> third party authors making plugins that extend (or change) the
> functionality of the DE. It only takes a few developers out there making

> plugins to greatly enhance the value of the new DE.

Well, now *this* sounds like the marketing model I'm more used to. :-)

Cheers,

David

P.S. Do you think the people making the value-added plug-ins will get a T-shirt?

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Subject: Re: Promised new IDE?

Posted by [Michael Galloy](#) on Wed, 31 May 2006 15:37:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

David Fanning wrote:

> Michael Galloy writes:

>> It is also "project based" (i.e. every file is part of a project), so

>> I'm interested in how that is going to work for the "interactive IDL" users.

>

> Oh, oh. The thing isn't even released yet and already we are

> hearing about the Dark Side. This can't be good.

I would just call it a lack of my imagination.

>> The other thing that I think will be great is that it should be open to

>> third party authors making plugins that extend (or change) the

>> functionality of the DE. It only takes a few developers out there making

>> plugins to greatly enhance the value of the new DE.

>

> Well, now *this* sounds like the marketing model I'm more

> used to. :-)

>

> Cheers,

>

> David

>

> P.S. Do you think the people making the value-added plug-ins

> will get a T-shirt?

>

Believe me, if there was anything I could have done about the Unix DE, I would have done it long ago. I think this is a step up.

Mike

--

www.michaelgalloy.com

Subject: Re: Promised new IDE?

Posted by [Paul Van Delst\[1\]](#) on Fri, 02 Jun 2006 16:59:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Michael Galloy wrote:

> Mark Hadfield wrote:

>

>> Ben Panter wrote:

>>

>>> Mark Hadfield wrote:

>>>

>>>> Eclipse is implemented in Java isn't it? Uh oh. I've worked with a
>>>> few Java GUIs on Windows and I've never seen one that works anywhere
>>>> near as well as native applications.

>>>

>>>

>>> I already use eclipse to manage my code, both IDL and non-IDL. It's
>>> great, and I have it running in both XP and linux environments with
>>> no problems.

>>

>>

>> That's reassuring.

>

>

> I use Eclipse as well and I'll agree that it is great (and I've used it
> on Windows, Linux, and Mac OS X). It has a bit of startup time, but
> after that I don't really notice it being any slower than a native app.
> It is also "project based" (i.e. every file is part of a project), so
> I'm interested in how that is going to work for the "interactive IDL"
> users.

I installed eclipse the other day based on this thread (and the fact that there is a f95 plugin).

Slightly off-topic, but what does one do if, for a new project, files from other projects are required?

paulv

--

Paul van Delst Ride lots.
CIMSS @ NOAA/NCEP/EMC Eddy Merckx
Ph: (301)763-8000 x7748
Fax:(301)763-8545

Subject: Re: Promised new IDE?
Posted by [Michael Galloy](#) on Mon, 05 Jun 2006 05:11:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Paul Van Delst wrote:
> Slightly off-topic, but what does one do if, for a new project, files
> from other projects are required?

Other files and folders can be linked to, if they are part of another project or not.

Mike
--
www.michaelgalloy.com

Subject: Re: Promised new IDE?
Posted by [Michael Galloy](#) on Mon, 05 Jun 2006 19:31:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Reimar Bauer wrote:
> which other version control systems were implemented?
>
> Is for example mercurial (<http://www.selenic.com/mercurial>) available too?

It looks like there might be a couple projects to do this. This one

<http://www.vectrace.com/mercurialeclipse/>

seems like the best chance.

Mike
--
www.michaelgalloy.com

Subject: Re: Promised new IDE?
Posted by [Paul Van Delst\[1\]](#) on Mon, 05 Jun 2006 19:47:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Michael Galloy wrote:

> Reimar Bauer wrote:

>

>> which other version control systems were implemented?

>>

>> Is for example mercurial (<http://www.selenic.com/mercurial>) available

>> too?

>

>

> It looks like there might be a couple projects to do this. This one

>

> <http://www.vectrace.com/mercurialeclipse/>

>

> seems like the best chance.

And there's a subversion plugin too:

<http://subclipse.tigris.org/>

paulv

--

Paul van Delst Ride lots.

CIMSS @ NOAA/NCEP/EMC

Eddy Merckx

Ph: (301)763-8000 x7748

Fax:(301)763-8545
