

---

Subject: Mac Intel benchmarks

Posted by [K. Bowman](#) on Tue, 29 Aug 2006 20:22:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Thanks to Lou Wicker at NSSL I have some IDL benchmarks for a 3 GHz Mac Pro.  
You can see the details at [http://idl.tamu.edu/mac\\_bench.php](http://idl.tamu.edu/mac_bench.php).

It looks like the Mac Pro is consistently about twice as fast as the 2.5 GHz PowerMac G5.

I'm always happy to have the opportunity to make mistakes faster. :-)

Cheers, Ken

---

---

Subject: Re: Mac Intel benchmarks

Posted by [usenet.spamacct](#) on Tue, 05 Sep 2006 18:54:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Unfortunately I have to run windows XP under boot camp on my flash new system until I can complete a particular project. Fortunately that gives me an excellent excuse to burn time while I configure and "assess" two systems in one...

The machine is only a 2.66 GHz Mac Pro, with 4x512MB = 2GB RAM. The numbers I get are, FYI:

(IDL 6.3, x86 native for each OS)

time\_test3:

OS X: 0.89

wxp: 0.55

JD's test:

OS X: 0.16 - 0.17

wxp: 0.17 - 0.18

Each test was run numerous times, ignoring the first instance. I'm too tired and harried to eyeball a mean for JD's test so I give the range. For what it's worth, XP is run off a seagate 7200.10 320GB satall drive in drive bay 3.

I wonder what the 3GHz running windows would do to time\_test3?

Cheers,  
kelly crowell, humble lurker

\$LN + "world" <spiralish-at-thing> pobox <period> com

---

---

Subject: Re: Mac Intel benchmarks

Posted by [Paolo Grigis](#) on Wed, 06 Sep 2006 07:23:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

usenet.spamacct@gmail.com wrote:

> Unfortunately I have to run windows XP under boot camp on my flash new  
> system until I can complete a particular project. Fortunately that  
> gives me an excellent excuse to burn time while I configure and  
> "assess" two systems in one...  
>  
> The machine is only a 2.66 GHz Mac Pro, with 4x512MB = 2GB RAM. The  
> numbers I get are, FYI:  
>  
> (IDL 6.3, x86 native for each OS)  
>  
> time\_test3:  
> OS X: 0.89  
> wxp: 0.55

That even seems larger than the XP vs. Linux performance gap...  
one really wonders why windows is so much faster?

Ciao,  
Paolo

>  
> JD's test:  
> OS X: 0.16 - 0.17  
> wxp: 0.17 - 0.18  
>  
> Each test was run numerous times, ignoring the first instance. I'm too  
> tired and harried to eyeball a mean for JD's test so I give the range.  
> For what it's worth, XP is run off a seagate 7200.10 320GB satall drive  
> in drive bay 3.  
>  
> I wonder what the 3GHz running windows would do to time\_test3?  
>  
> Cheers,  
> kelly crowell, humble lurker  
>  
> \$LN + "world" <spiralish-at-thing> pobox <period> com  
>

---